



Dr Ilan Bijaoui

PPP Models Boosting Economic Development in SSA Nations

SERVICES FOR SCIENCE AND EDUCATION
UNITED KINGDOM



Dr Ilan Bijaoui

PPP Models Boosting Economic Development in SSA Nations

Credits and acknowledgements borrowed from other sources and reproduced with permission in this book appear on appropriate pages within text.

Copyright © 2021, Society for Science and Education (United Kingdom)

Society for Science and Education – United Kingdom (SSE-UK) applies the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to this book. Under the CC BY license, authors retain ownership of the copyright for their article, but authors allow anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute, the published content by SSE-UK, so long as the original authors and source are cited.

DOI: 10.14738/eb.184.2021

Published by:
Services for Science and Education
Stockport, Cheshire, SK4 2BT
United Kingdom

Abstract

Huge assets have been lost by governments and local authorities because of failed public projects. Fundamental inspiration for utilizing PPPs in public projects is their capability to improve value for money (VfM) for shareholders. Principal political contention against PPPs is that privately-owned industries make benefits on public resources. Accompanying chapters present the PPP models, PPP effective experience of chosen nations, and the execution of PPP models in SSA nations in transport and ports, energy, water, health, agribusiness, education and housing sectors. Approaches to guarantee their positive effect on the value produced for the public in the various sectors are proposed.

In transport projects, political responsibility by an intra-agency planning board of trustees accountable for contract building and shareholder commitment is required. In health projects, the choice of improving existing establishments is desirable over costly new establishments. In education to incorporate the most vulnerable and impeded communities is required. In energy ventures to meet social and environmental targets in the contract is required. In water projects, the capacity of the administrator to create benefits must be straightforwardly identified with performance. In housing projects relevant planning and execution guarantee high public value.

Table of Content

Abstract

Glossary

Introduction

Why public projects fail?
PPPs as a solution to public incompetence

PPP management

PPPs selection process
PPP project cycle
PPP Databases

Models for Public-Private Partnerships

Management or operation and maintenance (O&M) contract
Lease - Affermage - Concession contract
Build-Operate-Own/Transfer (BOT, BOOT)
Design Construct-Manage-Finance (DCMF) or Design Build Finance-Operate (DBFO)
Rehabilitate

PPP and the risk of corruption

Highlands Water Project (LHWP), Lesotho
Rift Valley Railways (RVR), Kenya-Uganda
Pharma partnership, Zimbabwe
PPP Housing Programme in Abuja

PPP legal and institutional framework

PPP Units
International PPP law
PPP law in SAA countries

The world of finance in PPPs

The West African Development Bank (BOAD)
Africa 50
Special Purpose Vehicles
Equity Contributions
Debt Contributions
Syndication
Shari'a financing

Bank Guarantees/Letters of Loan/Performance Guarantees
Bond/Capital Markets Financing
The Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA)

PPP in transport and ports

Autostrade, Italy
Cross-Israel Highway 6, Israel
Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL), UK

The Dakar-Diamniadio toll road in Senegal
Nairobi Stateway toll road, Kenya
N4 Toll Road from South Africa to Mozambique and Maputo Port
Transport PPP in Cote d'Ivoire
Lekki stateway, Nigeria
Ports

PPP in energy

Waste recovering, Poznan Poland
Gas-fired authority project, Vietnam
The Azito gas-fired authority project in Côte d'Ivoire
The Southern Africa regional gas project
Nkhotakota solar plant, Malawi
The Kribi Gas-fired Plant, Cameroon
Thika Authority Plant Project, Kenya
107 MW Gas-fired plant in Mozambique
Liquefied environmental gas terminal in Ghana
Bujagali Hydropower, Uganda

PPP In water

Apa Nova, in Romania
BerlinWasser, Germany
The Conrad N. Hilton Foundation
Water and Electricity Services Provision in Gabon
PPP in the water sector, Niger

PPP in agri business

PPPs supported by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)
PPPs supported by Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO)
PPPs supported by other sources

PPP In Health

University College London Hospital (UCLH), London
B. Braun Dialysis Centers, Andhra Pradesh, India
Queen Mamohato Memorial Hospital (QMMH), Lesotho
Lesotho National Referral Hospital
Bloemfontein co-location in clinical care management, South Africa

Research and innovation generation, Zambia
Fighting the HIV/AIDS, Volkswagen Group South Africa
Last Mile Project, Coca Cola
The Lentegeur project, South Africa
Biomedical waste management, Burkina Faso
Renal/nephrology and cardiothoracic specialties, Zimbabwe

PPP in Education

US Charter Schools
School management and school adoption, India
Academies, England
Liberia Education Advancement Programme (LEAP)
Private K-12 education
BRIDGE
EducAid — Quality Enhancement Programme for Education (QEP4E)
BRAC Education Programme
AGE Africa
War Child Canada's Sudan
Educate! Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF) (Kenya)
Africa Integras (Ghana, Kenya) "Build-Operate-Transfer" contracts
Monash in South Africa
Shareholders for Possibility (PfP) (South Africa)
Teacher Education and Professional Development (TEPD) (Kenya)
Kidogo Pre Primary Education, Kenya
Common Low-Cost K-12
LEAP Science & Maths Schools
Educas Mid-Priced Premium K-12
Nova/ Pioneer Academies Pre-K-12 schools, South Africa

PPP in Housing

Regent Park, Toronto, Ontario
Woodward's, Canada
PPP in low-cost housing, Nakuru, Kenya
Fleurlhof mixed-income housing development, South Africa

PPP and local SMEs

Malawi
Nigeria
Rwanda
Tanzania
Zambia
South Africa
Benin, Small scale water projects
Niger

Uganda
Kenya

Conclusion: what to do and what to avoid

In transport infrastructure PPP projects
In health projects
In education projects
In energy projects
In water projects
In housing projects

References

Index

Glossary

ACA	African Trade Insurance Agency
ADB	African Development Bank
AfDB	African Development Bank
AIFP	Africa Infrastructure Fellowship Programme
AKFED	Aga Khan Fund for Economic Development
ANAS	Ente Nazionale per le Strade
ARM	Multisectoral Regulatory Authority
AUC	African Union Commission
BADEA	Arab Bank for Economic Development in Africa
BEL	Bujagali Energy
BIA	Bridge International Academies
BOAD	West African Development Bank
BoK	Bank of Khartoum
BOO	Build-Own-Operate
BOOT	Build-Operate- Own -Transfer
BOT	Build-Operate-Transfer
BRB	British Railway Board
CAD	China-Africa Development Fund
CHATS	Creating Healthy Approaches to Success (CHATS)
CHP	Combined Heat and Authority
CIDCA	Chinese Agency of international cooperation
CIFF	Children's Investment Fund Foundation
CLEF	Child Learning and Education Facility
CMH	Community Hospital Management
CTRL	Channel Tunnel Rail Link
DBFO	Design Build Finance-Operate
DCMF	Design Construct-Manage-Finance
DEC	Derech-Eretz
DFC	Development Finance Corporation
DFID	Department for International Development

DDI	Deep Dive Initiative
EAC	East African Community
EADB	East African Development Bank
EAGC	East African Grain Council
EBRD	European Bank for Redevelopment and Development
ECBA	Economic and Cost-Benefit Analysis
ECD	Early Childhood Development
EDF	Electricité de France
EDM	Electricidade de Moçambique
EPEC	European PPP Expertise Centre
FHI	Family Health International
FCT	Federal Capital Territory
EfW	Energy-from-Waste
EIB	European Investment Bank
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation
GAVI	Global Alliance for Vaccines initiative (GAVI)
GI	Global Infrastructure
GPA	General Contract on Government Procurement
GPE	Global Partnership for Education
GTAC	Government Technical Advisory Centre
HFO	Heavy Fuel Oil
HZI	Hitachi Zosen Inova
IBRD	International Bank for Redevelopment and Development
IDA	International Development Association
IFAD	International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)
IFC	International Finance Corporation
IRI	Institute for Industrial Redevelopment
IsDB	Islamic Development Bank
KIC	Kigali Innovation City
KETRACO	Kenya Electricity Transmission Company
KPLC	Kenya Authority & Lighting Company Ltd
KRC	Kenya Railways Corporation
LASG	Lagos State Government
LCC	Lekki Concession Company

LCR	London and Continental Railways
LEAP	Liberia Education Advancement Programme
LFZ	Lagos Independent Zone Company
LNRH	Lesotho National Referral Hospital (LNRH)
LPLEL	Lekki Port LFTW Enterprise Limited
LTSA	Long-Term Service Contract
MBSA	Mali Biocarburant
MCOP	Mentoring Community of Practice
MHI	Mitsubishi Heavy Industries
MIGA	Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency
MPDC	Maputo Port Development Company
MSMEs	Micro Small and Medium-sized Enterprises
MSW	Municipal Solid Waste
NAHP	Naivasha Affordable Housing Project
NCTB	New Coal Terminal Beira
NEPAD	New Partnership For Africa's Development
NGOs	Non Governmental Organizations
NHS	National Health Service
NPA	Nigerian Ports Authority
ODA	Overseas Development Agency
OECF	Overseas Economic Co-operation Fund
O&M	Operation and Maintenance
OPIC	Overseas Private Investment Corporation
PAMA	Agricultural Markets Support Programme (PAMA)
PDCRE	Smallholder Cash and Export Crops Development Project
PEAS	Promoting Equality in African Schools
PfP	Shareholders for Possibility
PFM	Public Finance Management
PPIAF	Public – Private Infrastructure Advisory Facility
PIDA	Programme for Infrastructure Development in Africa
PPA	Authority Purchase Contract
PRG	Partial Risk Guarantee
PRICE	Project for Rural Income through Exports
PROMER	Promotion Programme
PROSPERER	Programme for the Rural Microenterprise Poles and Regional Economies, Madagascar
PSL	Partnership Schools for Liberia
PSP	Private Sector Collaboration
PTTR	Pupil–Trained Teacher Ratio
QEP4E	Quality Enhancement Programme for Education
QMMH	Queen Mamohato Memorial Hospital

rAREH	Kenya-based responsAbility Renewable Energy Holding
RCT	Randomised Controlled Trial
RDB	Rwanda Development Board
REIPP Programme	Renewable Energy Independent Authority Producer Procurement
RGI	Rent-geared-to-income
RSLF	Regional Liquidity Support Facility
RUC	Railway Usage
RVRK	Rift Valley Railways Kenya Ltd.
RVRU	Rift Valley Railways Uganda Ltd
SAWHF	South Africa Workforce Housing Fund
SEEG	Société d'Énergie et d'Eau du Gabon
SEEN	Niger Water Exploitation Company
SetCo	Settlement Company
SIWI	Stockholm International Water Institute
SNCF	Société Nationale des Chemins de Fer Français
SPA	Service Provision Contract
SPEN	Niger Water Asset Company
SWADE	Swaziland Water and Agricultural Development Enterprise
TCHC	Toronto Community Housing Corporation
TEPD	Teacher Education and Professional Development
TRAC	Trans African Concessions
UCLH	University College London Hospital
UETCL	Uganda Electricity Transmission Company Limited
UNCITRAL	United Nations Commission on International Trade Law
UNECE	United Nations Economic Commission for Europe
URC	Uganda Railways Corporation
USE	Universal Secondary Education
VfM	Value for Money
WAMU	West African Economic Union
WAEMU	West African Economic and Economic Union
WASREB	Water Services Regulatory Board
WBG	World Bank Group
WRS	Warehouse Receipt System
WTO	World Trade Organisation

Introduction

The reasons for public projects' incompetence are primarily poor procurement practices and troublesome economic processes. This study presents herewith the purposes behind public ventures' incompetence and why dispatching PPPs could be an answer. Professional and economic issues are the most referred to explanations behind the public-private collaboration. The fundamental inspiration for utilizing PPPs is their capability to improve value for money (VfM) for the shareholders.

Why public projects fail

Huge assets have been lost by governments because of failed public projects (Fabian and Amir, 2011; Damoah, 2015; Yescombe, 2017).

Damoah (2015) looked at delays in Zambia's road development projects and distinguished causes like the absence of an experienced construction manager, wrong planning and poor communication between construction parties, lack of relevant equipment, delayed payment and land conflict alongside the road construction project.

The 2010 world cup in South Africa showed that the absence of required labor during the development periods of the arenas was one of the significant reasons for deferrals and cost invades (Baloyi and Bekker, 2011).

Numerous projects have failed internationally because of poor management, deficiency, or absence of money (Pourrastam and Ismail, 2011; Abbasi et al. 2014; Zuofa and Ochieng, 2014). The essential factors that lead to project incompetence are the change in project scope (Taherdoost and Keshavarzsalehc 2016; Sudhakar, 2016). The capacity to precisely appraise project cost is fundamental to make progress (Botchkarev, 2015).

Government projects incompetence in Nigeria

A project can be classified as total incompetence on the off chance that it is deserted before its last stage (Heeks, 2006). The Abia State road development projects in Nigeria were initiated in 2010 and were deserted before completion in 2013 because of the scarcity of assets (Amade, et al., 2015). Poor contractor assessment, time horizons for their execution, and cost assessments have firmly been set up to cause incompetence (Dosumu and Aigbavboa, 2017).

Aluminium Smelter Company of Nigeria (ALSCON)

ALSCON was incorporated in 1989 with the Federal Government of Nigeria (FGN), Ferostaal AG of Germany, and Reynolds of America as investors on a value holding of 70percent, 20percent, and 10percent, respectively (Otuh, 2020).

The company was set up to utilize and improve the nation's gas reserve. The expectation was to employ Nigerians, to acquire technologies and to earn foreign currency by exporting end products to the global market. On June 14, 2004, only BFIG had emerged as the favored bidder, after a Russian organization, UC RUSAL which was precluded by the National Council on Privatization (NCP) for abusing the bid rules. Yet, the BPE later excluded BFIG as well, supposedly for neglecting to comply with the time constraint for the installment of \$41 million, being 10 percent of the \$410 million proposals for the plant. UC RUSAL was re-welcomed for new dealings.

BFIG had reacted by moving toward the court to announce a legitimate dispute, which hauled for over years without any result.

On January 19th, 2018, was consenting to an arrangement between the Federal Government of Nigeria and UC RUSAL of Russia for the offer of ALSCON to the last mentioned.

A document endorsed by ALSCON in January 2019, which had spread out an arrangement for restarting the organization.

Ajakuta Iron Company

Nigeria's rich deposits of iron metal in Kogi state where Ajaokuta makes steel a practical objective for the government's income crusade and offers the extension to create different industries.

The plant has a 68 kilometers road network and is intended to oblige 24 housing estates, a seaport, and a 110mw authority generation plant. If operational, it could give almost 1,000,000 jobs.

Nigeria and Russia began to construct the Ajaokuta steel rolling plant in 1979 (Olurounbi, 2019). After forty years, Nigeria is again depending on a bilateral contract with Russia to revive the now-forsaken steel factory complex.

As of now, the derelict steel manufacturing plant complex has neglected to produce notwithstanding billions of dollars in public financing and numerous ownership changes.

The Gas Revolution Industrial Park (GRIP), Ogidigben

GRIP project of \$20 billion pointed toward delivering 250,000 direct and indirect jobs has been dropped in 2019 (Oil and Gas Coodness, 2019).

This was uncovered by Dr. David Ige, the previous Group Executive of Gas and Authority for the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) during the Nigeria Gas Business Forum coordinated by Nigeria Gas Association (NGA) in Lagos.

Number one of the difficulties is the ethnic competition between the Ijaw and the Itsekiri over the land and the name 'Ogidigben'. The second is the competition

between Nigeria Export Processing Zone Authority (NEPZA) and the Nigerian Port Authority (NPA).

Federal Road and Railway Development, Ethiopia

Time and overruns are extreme challenges for Federal road and railway development projects in the country. Kassa (2020) examined 25 asphalt concrete roads and three railway projects development completed from 2014 to May 2018.

The outcome shows that 88% of the road and 100% of rail line development projects were time overwhelms past their arranged culmination periods. The normal time invades of the road and rail development projects were 38% and 37%, respectively. Practically 80% of the road and 100% of the rail line development projects additionally cost invades past their assessed completion cost. The normal expense invades of the road and rail development projects were 15% and 8.83%, respectively. 23 projects defer factors incorporated into three classified inquiries: technical, over-confidence bias and self-interest of project stakeholders. The top most time extension determinants were the inadequate examination to project endorsement, poor project management and coordination, and incompetence capacities of contractors.

Inflation of material expense, scope change with the change order, and poor project execution observing and assessment are among the top components of cost overwhelms.

PPPs as a solution to public incompetence

In 1992 the John Major-led government in the UK spearheaded the principal programme that pointed toward empowering PPPs, to be specific the Private Finance Initiative (Khatleli, 2020). This early beginning brought about the UK's having perhaps the most developed PPP programmes. Today PPPs are liable for about 24% of the UK's public investments. The process was also adopted by Australia, particularly the territory of Victoria, Spain, South Korea, and Japan. South Africa began executing PPPs in 1998 in the road transport sector. China, Germany, Thailand,

Brazil, India, and the US are mavericks and as yet dealing with a 'long-term responsibility' to PPPs.

The principal inspiration for utilizing PPPs is their capability to improve value for money (VfM) for every shareholder (Sahle, 2018). The private sector impacts the quality and speed of conveyance of public services. Numerous debt-ridden nations consider PPPs to be a suitable choice to offer public types of assistance while diverting their compelled budgets to different projects.

PPP enables access to private sector know-how which is frequently further developed than in the public sector. Utilizing PPPs for public services independently enhance government assets for different uses. Private-sector effectiveness and development may create a superior outcome.

The motivators for good management and the punishments for awful management are more grounded in the private sector than in the public sector. Incentives are offered to help private sector contribution, for example, tax relief. The economic expanding influence of improved service delivery by the private sector exceeds the assessment concessions. A PPP guarantees that long-term maintenance is constantly done, as this is incorporated into the PPP contract.

PPP management and PPP models

The management of the PPP incorporates project governance trying to improve the values required by every shareholder through a system of boards managing procurement establishing PPP Project process suitability and overseeing acquisition building up PPP Project measure affordability. The fundamental models of PPP are based on Design, Build, Construct, Operate, Manage, Own, Maintenance, Finance, Lease, Affermage, Concession, and Transfer, Rehabilitate.

Project Governance

System of boards

Dealing with a PPP project manages various issues and shareholders' interests. A typical method of executing viable management is through a system of boards (World Bank, 2009).

A project board includes the principal public sector shareholders and independent members equipped for giving sound opinions. This is the forum for settling on choices over the powers designated to the venture management group. The project proprietor has the duty regarding conveying the venture and is competent, accessible, and willing to show leadership and commitment.

The centralized model

In the centralized model, PPP unit approve and structure the PPP projects that are promoted (Prats, 2019).

The model exploits economies of scale in offering technical help through the PPP project cycle, especially during project plan and organizing, as it empowers the

acquisition units of the different services to channel their projects through a similar PPP unit.

The PPP unit guarantees that the activity sought after has the best VfM, This model offers a more significant level of practical specialization, and however, it lessens motivators for services to advance projects. The PPP unit profoundly talented in advancing and organizing projects is incorporated into the high authority and provides support to all procurement units.

The decentralized model

In the decentralized model, PPP projects are developed and organized by a more extensive scope of government bodies.

Ministries and subnational governments can structure the projects. They can choose to make a unit or a division devoted to PPPs inside these ministries or outsources the majority of these capacities. The risk unit of the finance ministry as a rule acquires unmistakable quality and turns out to be more associated with the different periods of the PPP cycle.

Acquisition units take a more adaptable and proactive way to deal with advancing and organizing projects and guaranteeing their arrangement with the investment plans of every service. These frameworks call for more adaptable and autonomous acquisition units, as there can be significant expenses related to organizing numerous bodies.

Institutions and roles

Institutions are determined according to international law and international experience (UNITRAL, 2020; Rwanda Development Board, 2018).

The Steering Committee (SC)

SC is responsible for the endorsement and oversight of a PPP Project. The minister responsible for the PPP decides the operation of the Steering Committee.

SC builds up the yearly financial plan and business strategy for the PPP, issues calls for project proposition and chooses how project recommendations will be acknowledged.

SC prioritizes project proposition, creates rules and guidelines for collaborative project partners, budget, subsidizing project pioneer, project plan and time scheme, and follow-up measure.

The Contracting Authority (CA)

CA is a public foundation responsible for a PPP arrangement which included Ministries, State-Owned Enterprises, Development Authorities, Local bodies, Districts, and Public Utilities. CA recognizes the venture and organizes the pre-practicality study submitted to DB to lead a primer screening on the project(s) to find out if the project can be taken up through the PPP acquisition course.

The Development Board (DB)

DB is government agency liable for optimizing economic development in the country.

The DB issues rules for procurement, structure project explicit Technical Committees, work as the lead arbitrator, investigate opportunities for making project advancement asset to work with project preliminary operates and make a pipeline of PPP projects.

Public Investment Committee (“PIC”)

PIC is a body that approves public investments and new ventures on a central government level, which meet the prerequisites for execution. The PIC guarantees key arranging, prioritization and coordination of interests in the economy. It likewise centres upgrading the interaction of public investment arranging, programming, and planning.

The Ministry of Finance and Economic Planning administers the bodies of account, arranging, and advancement collaboration.

The Ministry of Justice/Office of the Attorney General sorts out and regulates the advancement of law and order, law requirements, and equity for all.

The Regulatory Authority Regulatory Authority (RA)

RA is an autonomous agency, governed by respective Law, which gives it the mandate to regulate tariffs in the given sectors. Regulatory Authority assumes a crucial part between the policy maker, licensed service providers and consumers in determining and regulating tariffs charged to the users of the services.

Programme Management

Programme management improves the coordination between shareholders.

Compelling communication of strategy to the market is needed to improve the support of shareholders to create newer, deeper supply capability and reduce transaction costs through replicability and greater use of standardization. Herewith the different phases (EPEC – WBIF, 2018).

Phase 1: Project identification

The CA decides if the chosen project is actually, technically, financially and economically feasible (Stage 1) and reasonable for procurement through a PPP (Stage 2). This stage frequently incorporates making an appraisal to decide if a PPP is probably going to convey preferred VfM than a traditional procurement approach. The CA analyzes its accessible assets versus those that it should acquire, recognize possible sources and provisions on the lookout, measure effects, advantages, and risks of the PPP choice, distinguish budgetary limit versus the expected liabilities of provisions because of the expected portion of risks or privileges of a methodology, and finally set out the parameters of its proposed tender. A key goal of these activities is to ensure awareness by the public and private sectors of each other's problems and preferences.

The point-by-point plausibility concentrate for the project is submitted for audit evaluate whether the PPP conveys VfM, survey the risks sharing courses of action, and PPP organizing for the venture.

The economic cost-benefit analysis (ECBA) will survey whether the advantages brought to society by a specific public venture legitimize and outweigh the total costs of implementing it.

Phase 2: Project preparation

The CA sets up the governance structure for the project and leads more inside and out investigations of issues and does facilitate VfM evaluations. This stage is putting the contracting opportunity out to offer hence exposing it to rivalry, and assessing and granting the contract. PPP acquisition is frequently broken into two steps, a qualifying step and then a bidding step (UNECE International PPP Forum, 2017). The qualifying

step is the place where qualified bidders are recognized and shortlisted to enter the following offering step. Eventually, the CA, through an assessment interaction, grants the contract to the bidder who has proposed the best arrangement regarding approach, cost, and services. The interaction is tied in with drawing in as wide a scope of bidders as could be expected. The fundamental point is to guarantee solid rivalry between bidders. Over the long haul, bidders that are not prepared to contend are eliminated.

The prequalification stage is planned to screen out those bidders that don't meet an edge of technical and economic ability to convey the project. Having gotten primer subtleties of the project, bidders wishing to take part in the opposition are needed to ask for, complete, and return a request for qualification (RfQ) document, here and there alluded to as a prequalification questionnaire (PQQ) or an expression of interest (EoI).

Phase 3: Project procurement

The PPP procurement measure is pointed toward choosing the most appropriate and competent private partner in order to achieve the public authority's desired service outcomes for the PPP project.

The motivation behind the request-for-proposal (RfP) stage is to empower the conveyance of offers of adequate quality and similarity from the prequalified group of bidders. From these, a bid can be chosen that best meets the public authority's standards, while simultaneously guaranteeing that the interaction will confront investigation and is following procurement rules.

The DB surveys the assessment of the RfP and presents its suggestion to the SC. The SC favors the favored bidder for the PPP project and the CA welcomes the favored bidder for exchanges on the PPP arrangement.

The public authority at that point chooses a bid dependent on the assessment standards recently gave to the bidders. Evaluation teams helped by the exchange counselors, might be set up to look at changed parts of the bid. Their findings are accounted for by the project board, which will pick the triumphant bid. After a triumphant bidder has been recognized, a contract conclusion happens, the contract is granted, and the long-term presentation under the contract starts.

This stage refers to the design, development, operations, and maintenance phase.

Phase 4: Project implementation

Contract management seeks to ensure the delivery of public services, which will be determined by all the components of the project including the design, construction, and operation of the facility.

The PPP contract requires the private sector to provide regular information on the performance of the project. Experts might be named to review the advancement of the project on an ordinary timetable and to answer to the public expert on progress.

Assessment of PPP projects guarantees that policy objectives are being met value for money and advantages are being figured out.

PPP Databases

The main initiative of PPPs databases were by World Bank and G20's in cooperation with South and Central American countries and South Africa.

Herewith we present four databases, the World Bank Private Participation in Infrastructure Project Database, the Global Infrastructure Hub Project Pipeline, the IJ Global PPP Projects Database and the case of South Africa database (Prats et al, 2018).

World Bank Private Collaboration in Infrastructure (PPI) Project Database

The PPI database covers projects in the energy, media communications, transport, and water and sewerage sectors (ppi.worldbank site).

Are remembered data for contractual arrangements used to draw in private investment, the financing development of PPP projects, the choice cycle, and public help got by PPP projects.

The database contains 46 fields for each venture record, including fundamental project data, information on private sponsors, debt providers and amount of debt, direct and indirect government support, multilateral and bilateral support, grant strategy, and income sources.

In 2019 remained at US\$96.7 billion across 409 projects. Private venture responsibilities happened in 62 nations. Of the 409 projects recorded in 2019, 40 percent (160 projects) had a greater part of their value supported by worldwide bodies. Most global backers were from high income nations except for China. US\$10.3 billion (19 percent of all projects with international sponsors) worth of

projects in developing countries were sponsored by Chinese entities. EAP continues to dominate global investments, accounting for 39 percent of total PPI investments in 2019 (World Bank, 2019).

Global Infrastructure (GI) Hub Project Pipeline

The GI Hub is a G20 activity launched in 2014. The Pipeline characterizes projects in six sectors: energy, interchanges, transport, water and waste, and social.

Eight characterized platforms all through the venture life cycle are introduced: beginning government declaration, project examination, initiation of project plausibility, finishing of project practicality, government approval to proceed procurement stage, successful/ preferred proponent, financial closure / construction - development commencement, and operations phase.

The GI Hub has made new information, devices, and products, like InfraCompass and the Global Infrastructure Outlook, that provide credible and detailed information and advice to both the public and private sector (Global Infrastructure Hub, 2018).

The GI Hub has established the frameworks for an information network across infrastructure markets to help and convey driving practice projects and key changes, for example, the PPP Risk Allocation instrument (which has now been converted into Chinese by China's PPP Center and into Spanish by the IADB).

IJ Global PPP Projects Database

IJ Global is a stage that gives online news, analysis, and infrastructure finance data products. The data is coordinated in two databases: a PPP Projects Database and an exchanges Database (ijglobal site). They look to assist investors with settling on more

informed decisions. Reported data includes project name, project type, status, sector, estimated value, sponsors, and debt providers. It provides detailed information on financial structure, policy, pricing and key players influencing transactions.

Eligible Sectors

Transport - air terminals, bridges, ports, terminals, roads.

Social Infrastructure - conference halls, road lighting, metropolitan recovery, training, medical services, housing

Power - transmission, distribution and generation from coal-fired, dual-fuel, gas-fired, oil-fired, IWPP (Independent Water and Power Production), large hydro, and nuclear sources

Renewables - biofuels, biomass, geothermal, hydrogen, seaward twist, inland wind, photovoltaic sun based, little hydro, warm solar, flowing, squander to-energy, and wave authority

Oil and Gas - coal-to-fluids, downstream, boring platforms and apparatuses, investigation, gas-to-fluids, LNG (Liquefied Environmental Gas), petroleum gas, seaward penetrating, oil, oil sands, petrochemicals, pipelines, processing plants, re-gas, delivery, stockpiling, and upstream projects

Water and Sewage - springs, desalination, flood safeguard, pipe organizations, supplies and dams, sewage treatment, stockpiling, squander water and water treatment offices

Telecoms - base stations, broadcasting, operators and networks for 3G, 4G, broadband, cable, fibre-optic, fixed-line, GPRS, GPS, GSM, satellite, VoIP, WiFi and WiMax. This category also includes data centres.

Mining & Metals - extraction, facilities and operations for the mining, smelting and processing of aluminum, cement, copper, coal, diamonds, gold, iron, lead, nickel, steel, uranium, zinc and other precious metals

Eligible transactions

IJGlobal separates eligible transactions into three groupings:

Project Finance incorporates transactions funding greenfield projects, brownfield development, expansion of existing assets, refinancing existing project finance debt, providing additional debt facilities to existing projects and funding straight acquisitions of infrastructure assets. Transaction should have at least one private sponsor.

Corporate Finance include transactions financing of infrastructure through equity or debt, or a combination of these, on a basis that is not non-recourse or limited-recourse Infrastructure Finance combines all Project Finance, Corporate Finance (excluding company acquisitions) and Public Sector Finance transactions.

South African PPP database

The Government Technical Advisory Center (GTAC) is an office of South Africa's National Treasury that was officially settled in March 2012 to give warning and technical counseling services to South African state offices and adding to public assistance examination and capacity building. Services given by GTAC include: transaction advisory services to state agencies in the planning, contracting , and execution of PPPs , and assistance to the National Treasury in the review and assessment of infrastructure investment proposals and feasibility studies.

Information on PPP projects in South Africa is accessible on GTAC's site, where two PPP project lists are published: Active PPP Projects List and Signed /Closed P PP Projects List.

The Active PPP projects list remembers projects for planning that have been enrolled as PPP projects at a public or private level.

The Signed/Closed PPP Projects List incorporates PPP projects that have effectively been marked/shut regarding Treasury Regulation of the Public Finance Management Act. Data given on each project incorporates the name, government establishments answerable for the venture, PPP type, contract length, date of economic conclusion, contract official, private accomplice, and exchange consultants to government.

Models for PPPs

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) contract

A private entity provides operation and maintenance services for a fee, usually based on delivering satisfactory services (Delmon, 2010).

The project organization or one of the shareholders can work and keep up the venture with the help of authorities, or the project organization can contract with an expert administrator to oversee and keep up the project. This model is normally found in the water sector and to a more restricted degree in the energy sector. The administrator is generally paid a fixed charge to cover its staff and expenses and may likewise be a presentation-based charge.

Some may include obligations on the private operator to work and keep up the resources, in some cases reaching out to bearing the expense of routine substitution of low-value pieces of gear. Such highlights require more observing to guarantee that the yields are being accomplished and as a rule include higher establishment costs.

The contractor adds a layer of management over the existing utility structure. This often causes problems if the staff of the utility still looks to the awarding authority, their employer, for instructions.

The World Bank has built up a Standard Form Management Contract (documents1.worldbank site) along with Bidding Document and Technical Note suggested for use on water and energy projects the Bank is funding.

Additionally included is a Technical Note talking about administration contracts and their arrangement.

Lease - Affermage - Concession contract

The private entity builds and operates a service usually delivered directly to consumers, and the grantor finances any major capital expenditure. The private entity gathers taxes straightforwardly from customers and is liable for working and keeping up the utility yet not for financing the venture.

Affermage contracts are carried out when private value and business debts are not accessible for water supply and sanitation (worldbank affermage site). The granting authority needs to join public financing with attracting private efficiency and when more prominent business risk is to be passed to the private operator than with a management contract, with motivators to perform.

The leases and Affermage contracts are medium length in the range of 8 and 15 years. Risks and cost maintenance passed to the employer-operator who is paid a fixed rent expense or gets net receipts from clients.

The idea of "concession" was first evolved in France. Accentuation is put in the law on the public idea of the plan because the concessionaire has a direct relationship with the purchaser and shields are revered in the law to ensure the shopper.

Under French law the concessionaire has the commitment to give coherence of services ("la continuité du service public"), to treat all consumers equally ("l'égalité des usagers"), and to adjust the assistance as per evolving needs ("l'adaptation du service").

Consequently, the concessionaire is ensured against new competitive concessions. when considering concessions in civil law systems to understand what rights are already embodied in the law.

In a concession PPP, a public authority concedes a private group the option to configure, fabricate, finance, and work a foundation resource claimed by the public

sector. The concession PPP contract is for a fixed period, say 25–30 years, after which debt regarding activity returns to the public authority.

Build-Operate- Own -Transfer (BOT, BOOT)

A private sponsor builds a new facility at its own risk operates the facility, and then transfers the facility to the government at the end of the contract period (BOT). The private sponsor may own the assets during the contract period (BOOT). The government ordinarily revenue guarantees through long-term take-or-pay contracts for bulk supply facilities or provides minimum traffic revenue.

In a BOT or BOOT project, the project company or operator obtains its revenues through a fee charged to the utility/ government rather than tariffs charged to consumers. In common law countries a number of projects are called concessions, such as toll road projects, which are new build and have a number of similarities to BOOTs.

In a BOOT project, the public sector grantor awards to a privately owned business the option to create and work an office or framework for a specific period (the "Venture Period"), in what might somehow or another be a public sector project.

Operator finances, owns and constructs the facility and operates it commercially for the project period, after which the facility is transferred to the authority.

Design Construct-Manage-Finance (DCMF) Design Build Finance-Operate (DBFO)

A private entity funds and constructs a facility that provides services to a single or small group of large off takers (often a public utility) or directly to consumers (e.g. toll roads).

The private entity is financing the PPP in which as a lender is fulfilled to look to the income and profit of that economic unit giving the profit from its value put resources into the project.

The private party is a particular venture organization framed for that reason regularly called a Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) (Yescombe, 2007).

The project organization raises accounts through a mix of value given by the venture organization's investors and debts given by banks.

The initial equity investors, who develop the PPP proposal, are called project shareholders. Equity investors may be project developers, engineering or construction companies, infrastructure management companies, and private equity funds. Lenders to PPP projects in developing countries may include commercial banks, multilateral and bilateral development banks and finance institutions, and institutional investors such as pension funds and insurance companies.

The initial equity investors, who build up the PPP proposition, are called project shareholders. Equity investors may be project developers, engineering or construction companies, infrastructure management companies, and private equity funds. Loan authorities to PPP projects in developing nations may incorporate commercial banks, multilateral and bilateral development banks and finance institutions, and institutional investors such as pension funds and insurance companies.

The project organization contracts with firms to oversee, plan and develop, work and look after (O&M).

Under non-recourse project finance, moneylenders can be paid distinctly from the project organization's revenues without requesting payment from the value investors.

Rehabilitate

Numerous PPP are accountable for restoring or improving existing projects (Daewin et al, 2019).

Rehabilitate, Operate, and Transfer (ROT):

A private sponsor rehabilitates an existing facility and then operates and maintains the facility at its own risk for the contract period.

Rehabilitate, lease and transfer (RLT):

Private support rehabilitates an existing facility at its own risk, leases the facility from the government proprietor, and afterward operates and keeps up the facility at its own risk for the contract time frame.

Build, rehabilitate, operate, and transfer (BROT):

A private engineer constructs an extra to an existing facility or finishes a halfway fabricated facility and rehabilitates existing resources, and afterward operates and keeps up the facility at its own risk for the contract time frame.

PPP and the risk of corruption

Among the fundamental driver of a high event of corruption is the intricacy of the project cycle, the uniqueness of projects, direct control by a government with poor management practices (Stansbury, 2005). The fundamental explanation is political involvement. The main corrupted domains are transport, water and health.

The sort of projects distinguished as characteristic of high corruption risks can be arranged as follows: Political "pet projects" (68%), transport (22%), water and sanitation (0.5%) (Sobják, 2018).

Highlands Water Project (LHWP), Lesotho

In 1986 the legislatures of Lesotho and South Africa marked a settlement that led to the LHWP (Darroch, 2005). With five significant dams, 200 kilometers of tunnels and a powerful hydroelectricity station. The venture is additionally expected to give water to the Gauteng sector in South Africa, and to produce electricity and money for the people of Lesotho.

After a regular citizen-government supplanted the military system in Lesotho in 1993, the government authorized a review of the project's two oversight bodies, the Lesotho Highlands Development Authority (LHDA), a semi-autonomous state corporation, and the Trans-Caledon Tunnel Authority (TCTA), the implementing agency for the relatively small part of the project in South Africa. The review uncovered significant regulatory anomalies inside the LHDA and brought about an investigation into the direction of its CEO, Masupha Ephraim Sole. By 1996 Sole had been excused from the LHDA. The examinations uncovered that Sole was living far beyond his means.

Perceiving that specific contracts haggled under Sole's supervision had made the LHDA to suffer substantial losses, and in view of the fact that Sole refused to disclose his bank accounts, the development authority initiated civil proceedings for the recovery of the losses. The company also aimed to secure compensation for damages

that arose from the unjustified awarding of a contract to one of the many consortia working on the LHWP.

Sole's trial started in June 2001. Accused of 16 counts of bribe and two of extortion, Sole decided not to outfit proof, Sole was proclaimed guilty as charged and condemned to 18 years in jail.

The Canadian designing company, Acres International had been associated with two contracts inside the LHWP, one of them financed by the World Bank, and was the principal organization to be attempted regarding the installments to Sole. Investigators asserted that Acres had made payments to Sole through mediators by utilizing numbered Swiss bank accounts. The World Bank chose to suspend Acres.

A second bribery case in connection has been discovered. Lesotho's previous agent to the Highlands Water Commission (LHWC) has been blamed for taking kickbacks to the estimation of about \$163,965 from Germany's biggest designing consultancy, Lahmeyer International.

The completion of Phase 1 made positive results for Lesotho (Douglas and Dempsey, 2019). The authority Phase I site refers to an ascent in GDP for every annum from 3% to 5.5% during Phase 1A development. 16,000 jobs were made for Lesotho, and the nation diminished its energy reliance on South Africa after the culmination of the Muela Hydropower Plant. Besides, Lesotho has gotten over M5.2 billion in royalty revenue from water transfer and electricity sales.

South Africa's water needs have been met. The venture is presently yielding 38.3 cubic meters each second, which is multiple times the day-by-day utilization of Capetown. Lesotho has additionally profited by framework upgrades; the authority Phase I site refers to the development of 400 km of rock roads and 300 km of tarred roads, the recovery of 133 km of rock roads, and the development of 11 extensions.

Procurement for the main works in order to transfer water, the Polihali Dam and Polihali Transfer Tunnel, are expected to commence in the first half of 2021(Phase 2).

Other significant works tenders booked to go out to showcase incorporate those for the development of significant extensions. The water levels of the Senqu River keep on being firmly observed as do the Katse and Mohale repository levels. The Katse and Mohale live repository levels remain at 71.86% and 41.01 %, respectively, today. Water transfer continues as does the production of hydropower at 'Muela.

Rift Valley Railways (RVR), Kenya–Uganda

The development of the rail line connecting Mombasa to Nairobi, and from that point to Kampala, initially known as the Uganda Railway, started in the late nineteenth century. After some time, extra branch lines were constructed, stretching out the lines to 2,350 km. After the disintegration of the East African Community in 1977, the railway was part between the state-claimed Kenya Railways Corporation (KRC) and Uganda Railways Corporation (URC). In 1983 the railway carried 4.3m tons of cargo, 70% of the total market in the rail corridor. But poor management, lack of maintenance and new investment, and increasing over manning resulted in a steady decline in volumes of freight and profitability.

By 2004–2005 cargo had decreased to 1.9m tons p.a., 20% of the market, chiefly in Kenya. The low dependability and significant expense of the cargo management constrained the majority of this market onto the roads.

A proper choice to get a concession was taken by the two governments in 2003. Equal game plans were set up in Kenya and Uganda for administration during the acquisition. Every nation had a team that set up and arranged the acquisition of two indistinguishable concessions.

The two governments concurred in 2004 to concession their railroads together. Rift Valley Railways (RVR) signed Concession Agreements in 2006 and Amending Deeds in relation to these agreements in 2010. The agreements were signed through the

respective legal entities, Rift Valley Railways Kenya Ltd. (RVRK) and Rift Valley Railways Uganda Ltd. (RVRU).

The concessionaire is to restore, work and keep up the rail networks as one rail line to improve the management, activity, and economic execution of the two rail networks in a planned way. The concession schedule for execution development was reset to August 25, 2010, with the passageway of new investors and along these lines another RVR Investors' Environmental and Social Action Plan will be set up as a component of the project's rebuilding, refreshing a prior contract with IFC and KfW, the first loan authorities.

The World Bank has in April 2018 sanctioned three companies for corrupt practices at the RVR, Africa Railways Logistics Limited, Africa Railways, and Rift Valley Railways Kenya Limited (Turner, 2018).

The RVR was the subject of an examination facilitated by Finance Uncovered in 2016. It was distributed by De Correspondent (Netherlands), The Daily Reporter (Kenya), The Observer (Uganda), and MO (Belgium).

They found that a \$287m modernisation programme had not brought about the acquisition of new trains as guaranteed by the proprietors of the railway. Records acquired showed that alleged new trains were truth be told recycled trains from the United States. These trains were a small portion of the expense of new trains. Worldwide development money establishments supported by citizens had given \$144m towards the in general \$287m cost of the redesign.

The contrast between cash given to RVR to pay for new trains, and what they spent, has never been clarified. Regardless of the redesign, trains were consistently separating.

A World Bank investigation was looking at alleged embezzlement: the removal of funds unlawfully from the company which also saw large sums flow to a related company in management fees.

The central point of the World Bank activity gives off an impression of being one representative of Africa Railways Logistics Limited, who was blamed for endeavoring

to bribe customs authorities over the import of trains. It is not clear what the motivation behind the bribe was.

The RVR interface between the port of Mombassa and Kampala in Uganda was privatized in 2006. In any case, its then proprietor, Sheldon Rail, neither had the cash nor the aptitude to run the rail line. Traffic plunged, misfortunes mounted and framework weakened. In 2011, the line was taken over by Qalaa Holdings, an Egyptian-based private value reserve.

Qalaa managed to corral a large group of development finance institutions to pump money into the project. But the railway continually missed its targets, and faced competition a new faster railway built by the Chinese that opened in 2017. In August 2017, the Kenyan High Court ordered that the concession be returned to the Kenyan government.

The capital use programme endorsed by the Development Finance Institutions in 2011 added up to \$144m, with Qalaa expected to raise a further \$143m from the private sector and the re-venture of the benefits of the railway. Every one of the 20 trains bought by RVR cost \$1m alone. In 2018 Qalaa's latest published accounts value the RVR at EGP3.2bn (\$180,000).

Africa Railways Logistics (ARL) is the company that suffered the most serious punishment.

A long-term "debarment" keeps it from partaking in any World Bank Group projects during that time. In any case, ARL is a shell organization situated in Mauritius. The Rift Valley Railway seems to have been its lone project. A "restrictive debarment" for the two different organizations is probably not going to have a huge effect.

The rail line's parent organization, Qalaa, the greater part investor, affirmed in its most recent yearly report that no move is being made against it. Qalaa can keep on offering unreservedly for World Bank-supported account and has a few progressing projects upheld by development money establishments.

In 2020, Rift Valley Railways (u) ltd and East African Rail and dealing with coordination restricted were placed in liquidation (ulii site).

Pharma partnership, Zimbabwe

The association is between the European Union, the government, and National Pharmaceutical Company (NatPharm), a local pharma company mandated with the procurement, storage and distribution of medicines and medical equipment for public health institutions, for the distribution of antiretrovirals (ARVs) (Mugwagwa and Banda, 2020).

NatPharm has an armada of 29 conveyance trucks, eight of which are cold chain vehicles.

All the PPP project where NatPharm was included has been halted because of corruption.

Seven NatPharm managers have been terminated as the organization staggers from charges of multi-million dollar debasement including the procurement of meds and gear (Mananavire, 2020).

The previous Health minister Obadiah Moyo was arrested after an examination by the Zimbabwe Anti-Corruption Commission. Moyo is facing charges of corruption and criminal abuse of office over his. He faces a fine or up to 15 years in prison.

The Health Service Board fired CEOs of central clinics, while chiefs in the Ministry of Health were sent on forced leave as part of what was termed a restructuring exercise. Those terminated are Ernest Manyawu (Parirenyatwa Group of Hospitals), Tinashe Dhobbie (Sally Mugabe Central Hospital, previously Harare Central Hospital), Enock Mayida (Chitungwiza Central Hospital), Nonhlanhla Ndlovu (United Bulawayo Hospitals), and Leonard Mabhandi (Ingutsheni Hospital).

PPP legal framework

PPP Unit functions can broadly be classified into three main areas: PPP policy support and development; Project delivery support; Control of projects. Four legal international frameworks regulate PPPs implementation, UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement, WTO's General Agreement on Government Procurement (GPA), EU Public Sector EC Directive and UNECE-EBRD model law unification. Some 30 African countries have adopted laws regarding PPP.

Most governments have systems approving capital investment in public projects to ensure all projects are effective, provide value for money, and in line with fiscal priorities (World Bank, 2018). Since PPPs frequently don't need capital investment by the government, they may not consequently be dependent upon these endorsement rules.

Many governments therefore define similar review and approval requirements for PPPs. Often, several decision points are created, allowing weak projects to be stopped. These iterative reviews are called 'gateway' processes.

Finance ministries have the main job in this interaction, given their debts regarding overseeing government assets, and financial and economic approach. Large numbers of them have set up uncommon PPP units through which to complete their separating and observing capacities. Offices can incorporate arranging special PPP units.

Agencies can include planning agencies, some responsible for planning and others for project appraisal from fiscal oversight. Attorney generals approve major government contracts, including PPPs.

PPP Unit

In light of the capacities distinguished in the 18 Unit Reports of the European PPP Expertise Center (EPEC) (2014), PPP Unit capacities can comprehensively be characterized into three principal territories:

PPP policy support and development; Project delivery support; Control of projects.

Policy Support and Related Projects

More than 66% of the PPP Units are answerable for creating or supporting PPP strategies and much of the time this incorporates the development of PPP standard documentation. 70% of PPP Units lead the development of PPP best practices. All PPP Units lead or support creating market attention to PPPs.

Over two thirds of the PPP are involved in collecting, managing, analyzing, reporting on and publishing PPP project data. PPP Units carry out this activity for a range of reasons including capacity building, information and communication

Some PPP Units also carry out ex-post evaluations of national or sub-national programmes or projects. This action is frequently done by a particular consultancy regulated by the PPP Unit. These assessments are utilized, for instance, to advise further strategy development.

Project Delivery Activities

Half of the PPP Units are involved at the project identification and feasibility stages in the project cycle or assist procuring authorities in finding advisers. Around a third of the PPP Units are involved in contract negotiations and in bid evaluations.

Approval and Quality Control

The majority of the PPP Units is associated with evaluating or giving some type of help in surveying projects for their appropriateness as PPPs.

Around a third required to approve PPP tender documents. 20% required to approve renegotiated contract terms during construction.

International law on Public Procurement

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL)

The Model Legislative Provisions and the Legislative Guide on PPP were set up by UNCITRAL and approved at its fifty-second meeting, Vienna, 8–19 July 2019 (UNCITRAL, 2020). This legal structure operates with the achievement of PPP projects, help states in building up a PPP lawful system that will both accomplish value for money and lessen the risk of abuse.

UNCITRAL guarantees the public interest of the country's citizens and companies as clients, the straightforwardness for the duration of the existence cycle, the soundness and consistency considering the different interests of the elaborate shareholders. Rivalry, appropriate management, and financial proficiency are guaranteed in the long haul.

WTO's Government Procurement Contract (GPA)

The content of the GPA Contract builds up decisions requiring that open, fair and transparent conditions of competition (wto.org gpa site). Just those acquisition projects that are done by covered bodies buying recorded merchandise, services, or development services of a value surpassing a determined level are covered by the Contract.

The GPA has been modified in 2012 and entered in authority in April 2014 (wto lawful site). Herewith the part alluding to the development, economic, and exchange needs and conditions of developing nations:

- A price preference programme only for the part of the tender incorporating goods or services originating in the developing country applying the preference or goods
- Services originating in other developing countries in respect of which the developing country applying the preference has an obligation to provide national treatment under a preferential agreement, provided that where the other developing country is a Party to this Agreement.

EU Public Sector Directive and the Utilities Directive.

The 2004 EU public procurement law bundle was comprised of two directives (Carenta, 2015). Directive 2004/17/EC managed public acquisition in the utilities sectors (water, energy, transport and postal services). In various Member States these sectors are portrayed by a blend of public and private inclusion, which implies that public acquisition runs actually apply, yet fairly more deftly than those pertinent in the completely public sector.

The public sector directive (Directive 2004/18/EC), codifies the guidelines applicable to works, supplies and services procurements and to works concessions.

Directive 2014/23 is grounded in internal market considerations, just updated with a fashionable reference to SMEs.

United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE)-EBRD model law unification

To harmonize the approach to PPP legislation worldwide, the UNECE-EBRD Model Law binds together the methodologies which have been drawn up as a feature of the wide-running corpus of direction archives, modules and studies on PPPs (UNECE, 2018). The Model Law is presently being developed for the PPP Group inside the

United UNECE and the EBRD's Legal Transition Programme. It intends to help governments looking to make or create PPP frameworks of their own, particularly in the economies where the EBRD contributes and those doing as such interestingly.

PPP law in SAA countries

Somewhere in the range of 2004 and 2017, about 30 African nations have embraced laws with respect to PPP (Vallée, 2018). If we somehow managed to add to this rundown the nations that have executed PPP strategies and the members who are amidst drafting PPP laws, the count would rise, leaving us with not exactly only 10 African nations that are altogether without a PPP system. Herewith the lawful circumstance of PPP in SAA chose nations (Cuthbert and Mukherjee, 2016; aflsf site).

Uganda

In July 2014, Uganda has implemented PPP law, by passing the PPP Bill 2012.

The Uganda PPP Law charges the Ministry of Finance with setting up a central PPP unit. Its remit incorporates giving direction and help with the development of ventures. This might be valuable for potential investors worried about affordability or reasonability. Its job is to advise government on PPPs and training public sector staff on PPPs.

The Uganda PPP Law sets out a point by point acquisition cycle measure. It additionally sets out rules on assessment, preclusion and oversight. A PPP arrangement covers a list of clauses and risk allocations to guarantee "bankability".

The effective bid should be the most economically advantageous, or have the lowest price. The vital prerequisite of the Uganda PPP Law is that the proposed project satisfies the goals of the National Development Plan.

Herewith cases of PPPs: Bujagali Hydro Project IPP Namanve Authority Plant, the Kenya-Uganda Railway Line

Tanzania

In 2009 the Prime Minister issued a National PPP Policy characterized in the PPP Act No. 18 of 2010 (Tanzania PPP Law).

The PPP law of Tanzania (2010) necessitates that the executing office presents the last draft PPP contract for endorsement by the Attorney General before the contract is executed.

In 2011, the PPP Regulations were distributed according to the Tanzania PPP Law. In 2014 certain amendments were made to the Tanzania PPP Law via the PPP Amendment Act (2014 Amendments). At the core of the Tanzanian PPP Law is the foundation of key government organizations. These included:

- The PPP Center in control of surveying potential PPP projects, securing the endorsement of the Ministry of Finance, and afterward presenting the project to the PPP Technical Committee once affirmed by the Ministry of Finance.
- The PPP Technical Committee including agents from both the general population and private sectors accused of endorsing the PPP proposition submitted to it by the PPP Center.
- The Contracting Authority contracts with the private sector. “Solicited bids” identifies with PPP projects where the Government has started the venture. “Unsolicited bids” identifies with projects started or proposed by the private sector.

The 2014 Amendments additionally accommodated a new facilitation fund to be set up to additionally energize PPP projects in the country. The key objective of the fund is to assist with launching PPP projects which are considered viable and necessary, but which may lack the necessary resources to launch.

Senegal

The new law n°2021-23 of 2 March 2021 on PPP contracts (the “New PPP Law”) was published on 15 March 2021 in the official journal of the Republic of Senegal (Herman and Lefort, 2021).

It repeals former PPP law n°2014-09 of 20 February 2014 (the “Old PPP Law”), which did not allow the wide-spread use of this type of contract, particularly because of the fragmented nature of the legal regime applicable to public service delegation and partnership contracts and the multitude of governance bodies expected to participate in the award and execution of these contracts.

The adoption of this law is also part of the post-Covid-19 economic recovery plan, known as the “Plan d’actions prioritaires ajusté et accéléré” (Adjusted and Accelerated Priority Action Plan, or PAP 2A) launched in 2020 by President Macky Sall. The PAP 2A calls for nearly 2,113 billion CFA francs (about EUR 3.2 billion) of investment in PPP projects in various sectors such as roads and maritime infrastructures, energy, water, ICT, healthcare and social housing .

The New PPP Law provides for the creation of the following bodies:

- The Unité nationale d’appui aux partenariats public-privé (National Public-Private Partnership Support Unit, or UNAPPP), which is responsible for providing expertise to contracting authorities. This unit replaces the comité national d’appui aux partenariats public-privé (National Support Committee for Public-Private Partnerships, or CNAPPP).
- An interministerial committee dedicated to public-private partnership contracts, responsible in particular for evaluating requests for authorisation to initiate procedures for the award of public-private partnership contracts.
- An a priori control body in charge of carrying out a prior review of the procedures for awarding public-private partnership contracts.

- A dispute settlement and regulatory body whose purpose will be to settle non-judicial disputes brought by candidates or bidders and linked to the award and performance of public-private partnership contracts.

- A support fund for PPP projects will have the task of supporting and financing the preparation, awarding and execution of public-private partnership contracts.

Herewith some PPPs implemented: Construction and exploitation (BO) of the electricity network in rural zones (Project Comasel), Highway Dakar-Diamniadio (mode BOT)

South Africa

The Public Finance Management Act (1999) regulates public and provincial PPPs (Treasury Regulation 16) (tresuary.gov.za site). All institutions undertaking such partnerships require endorsement from the National Treasury in four phases, feasibility study, procurement, VfM and final PPP agreement. The procurement of PPPs goes through distinct stages: pre-qualification, request for proposals, best and final offer, where appropriate, negotiations and financial closure.

The accounting authority must undertake a feasibility study includes: needs analysis, options analysis, project due diligence, value which assessment, economic valuation, and a procurement plan.

The government monitors the execution and the presentation of the PPP arrangement (workmanship. 36.5 of the PFMA).

The accounting authority is liable for guaranteeing that a PPP arrangement is appropriately implemented, and should set up instruments and strategies for settling questions and contrasts with the private party (art.16.1.8.1 of the PFMA)

Herewith some PPPs implemented: Railway Gautrain light rail concession, Energy KaXu Solar One 100 MW Power Plant Kathu CSP 100 MW Power Plant, Tri-generation Chris Hani Baragwananth Hospital, KwaDukuza waste services, Innovation Hub Gauteng Department of Economic Development.

Burkina Faso

The Law n°020-2013/A May 23rd, 2013 is the lawful premise of PPPs in Burkina Faso (aflsf Burkina Faso site).

The accompanying authorities are qualified to sign a PPP contract: The minister accountable for financing the PPP programme: The minister in charge of financing the PPP program: the president of the regional council, the mayor, the person in charge of the relevant public institutions.

Herewith some PPP executed: Hydroelectric central station 12MW BOT, Airport Ouagadougou and Donsin Transports Rehabilitation of the train Abidjan-Ouagadougou.

Côte d'Ivoire

The legitimate premise of the PPPs is the Decree N°2009-259 (adjusted in 2014) about the public business sectors. The contracts are endorsed by the authority in charge of the project and the Minister of Economy and Finance.

Herewith some PPP executed: Construction et exploitation of the thermic electric station of Bassam 360 MW ; Construction and exploitation of the coal electric station of San Pedro 700 MW, the Bridge Henry Konan Bédié, Abidjan.

Kenya

The PPP Act No. 15 of 2013 (Kenya PPP Law) on 8 February 2013, builds up the PPP Committee, the PPP Unit, and the PPP Nodes or the PPP Center, PPP Technical Committee, and Contracting Authority.

A PPP cannot be launched without a preliminary feasibility study. The revenues of the project have to be publicized through an electronic media platform.

Herewith some of the carried out PPPs: Thermal Authority Generation (80MG) (Gulf Authority), Ngong Wind Farm (26 MW), Thika Authority Plant (88 MW), Lake Turkana Wind Authority (300 MW), Kenya-Uganda Railway Line, Nairobi-Thika, Road second Nyali Bridge in Msa Ports second, Container Terminal Mombasa Kisumu Lake Port.

Nigeria

The PPP lawful system is the Infrastructure Concession Regulatory Commission Act 2005 (ICRC) and the National Policy on PPPs 2009 (N4P)

The accompanying stages are as follows:

- Identification of need, a deliberate examination of technical answers for the recognized need, arrangement of economic
- Social and environmental money-saving advantage investigation, and Environmental Impact Assessment
- VfM and affordability testing of various acquisition choices,
- Preparation of economic examination - the pre-possibility study
- Budget allotment inside the National Development Plan and, consequently, the Medium-Term Expenditure Framework (MTEF),
- Approval of Outline Business Case (OBC) before the beginning of Acquisition. - Procurement Planning
- The Accounting Officer of the MDA will have authority to sign the PPP contract or concession

Herewith some PPP carried out: Port Onitsha, Baro, Oguta and Lokoja River Ports, Cross River Hospital, Lagos Murtala Muhammed Airport.

The world of finance in PPPs

SAA nations coordinated themselves together to get more grounded as a financing entity of foundation or cross-boundary project. The main finance organizations are the West African Development Bank (BOAD), the East African Development Bank (EADB), Africa 50, African Development Bank (ADB) International Finance Corporation (IFC), and Shari'ah financing sources.

Financing models

The models to back PPP Special Purpose Vehicles, Equity, Debts, Contributions, Bank Guarantees, Bonds, and Syndication are introduced previously.

Special Purpose Vehicles

The private firm or consortium of private firms undertaking the project establishes a project company, called special purpose vehicle (SPV). The SPV independently signs the PPP contract with a public entity to assemble, possess, and work a particular foundation project (ppp-confirmation site).

Setting up a SPV is a functional system to assign a particular entity to embrace provisions and projects. It is likewise a type of safety. On the off chance that a project comes up short, it could prompt bankruptcy for the private shareholders. An SPV goes about as a legitimately unmistakable entity, lessening responsibility to the parent organization, and for the most part, funds huge new independent projects off the corporate accounting report. The organization proprietors in an SPV won't as a rule account for all venture needs themselves: an extent as value and either acquire the rest of the necessary financing from economic foundations. Revenues will regularly comprise of a unitary installment paid yearly or at another concurred stretch by the public sector customer. For certain projects, extra revenues will emerge through client charges paid straightforwardly to the administrator.

Equity Contributions

A PPP project includes financing from different sources, in a mix of value and Debt (ppp.worldbank financing site).

Equity contributors in project financed transactions might include the project participants, local investors, the host government, the grantor, other interested governments, institutional investors and bilateral or multilateral organizations.

The investors' agreement will cover subjects, for example, the allotment of development costs, the extent of the business of the undertaking organization, conditions point of reference to its creation, the issue of new offers, the exchange of offers, the assignment of venture costs and the administration of the task organization including dynamic and casting a ballot.

Such an agreement will frequently additionally incorporate a non-rivalry condition, giving that the investors may not go into projects straightforwardly or in a roundabout way in rivalry with the undertaking organization.

Debt Contributions

Debt can be acquired from numerous sources, including business banks, institutional investors, send-out loan offices, bilateral or multilateral associations, bondholders, and at times the host country government.

Debt commitments have the most noteworthy need among the contributed funds. Reimbursement of Debt is by and large attached to a fixed or drifting pace of interest and a programme of intermittent installments. The wellspring of Debt will impact the idea of the Debt provided.

Syndication

In syndicated lending, each amount advanced by one of the syndicated banks constitutes a separate loan, with the bank's obligations and rights being several. The banks will not underwrite each other's obligations and each bank will need to sue independently and make its set-off game plans. The agent bank for the organization will confirm conditions point of reference, get funds, ascertain financing costs, and set expectations for the borrower for the benefit of the organization.

Bank Guarantees/Letters of Credit

Bank guarantees form an important part of project financing, allowing counter-parties immediate access to payment without the cost of locking up cash. Such certifications might be "on request" or just payable once the default is demonstrated in court, settling or assertion. A bank giving an assurance, letter of credit or execution bond will fix the sum and get a counter reimbursement from the client, potentially secured against fixed or floating charges or money deposits.

Bond/Capital Markets Financing

Bond financing permits the borrower to get to Debt straightforwardly from people and organizations, instead of utilizing business loan authorities as mediators. The backer (the borrower) offers the bonds to the investors. The lead chief assists the backer with advertising the bonds. A trustee holds rights and follows up for the investors, preventing any investor from borrowing.

Financing Sources

The West African Development Bank -Banque Africaine de l'Afrique de l'Ouest (BOAD)

the common development finance institution of the member countries of the West African Monetary Union (WAMU) (boad site). **It was set up by a contract endorsed**

on 14 November 1973 and got operational in 1976. Part nations incorporate Benin, Burkina, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo.

By Treaty of WAEMU endorsed on 10 January 1994 and went into an authority on first August 1994, BOAD is a particular and self-governing organization whose intention is to advance the reasonable development of its part nations and encourage economic combination inside West Africa by financing need development projects.

Are qualified for BOAD's economic help WAEMU part nations; their networks and government organizations; offices, organizations and private people adding to the financial development or integration of part nations; any legitimate individual from WAEMU or far off country burning of putting resources into the WAEMU region.

The bank intercedes in medium and long-haul loans, value ventures, financing of project plausibility, or designing examinations and help with project readiness, advancement, and execution.

The approved loans in 2020 (boad newloans site) involve the partial funding of the following projects:

In 4 November 2020, BOAD approved five operations in an amount of XOF50 billion, bringing BOAD's total commitments to XOF6,228 billion since commencement of its operations in 1976

Settling ruminant herds (ProSeR) (phase 1) in the Republic of Benin.

The overall objective of the project is to contribute to improved livestock production and rational farm management. Loan amount: XOF10 billion;

Rehabilitation of the Sélingué and Sotuba hydropower facilities in the Republic of Mali.

The project seeks to contribute to the regular cost-effective authority supply. Loan amount: XOF10 billion;

Granting of a refinancing facility to Banque Malienne de Solidarité (BMS) in Mali

As part of the WAEMU corporate recovery package in the aftermath of the Covid-19 health crisis. Loan amount: XOF10 billion;

Granting of a refinancing facility to *Banque Nationale de Développement Agricole* (BNDA) in Mali

as part of the WAEMU corporate recovery package in the aftermath of the Covid-19 health crisis. Loan amount: XOF10 billion;

Granting of a third refinancing facility to *Coris Bank International SA (CBI SA)* in *Burkina Faso*.

This facility finances profitable investment projects in sectors qualified for the Bank's financing, which is essential for the third programme started by BOAD and KfW to help micro, small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) in the WAEMU region improving their access to financing through the commercial banking sector. Loan amount: XOF10 billion.

East African Development Bank (EADB)

EADB was set up in 1967 under the settlement of the East African collaboration between Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda.

Following the separation of the primary East African Community (EAC) in 1977, the bank was restored under its own sanction in 1980.

In 2008, following the confirmation of Burundi and Rwanda into the new EAC, Rwanda applied and was conceded into the EADB (Juuko, 2008). Under the new charter, the bank's job and order were evaluated and its operational degree extended. Its fundamental target is to strengthen socio-economic development and regional integration (Waithaka, 2014). Herewith three projects upheld by (EADB site).

Lake Turkana Wind Energy Project

The Lake Turkana Wind Authority Project ('the Wind Farm') is situated in Loiyangalani District, Marsabit County, Kenya. It contains 365 wind turbines - each with a capacity of 850kW, and a high voltage substation. The Wind Farm is associated with the Kenyan public framework through a 435km related transmission line, developed by the Government of Kenya through the Kenya Electricity Transmission Company (KETRACO).

The Wind Farm is giving dependable, ease energy to Kenya's public network – roughly 17% of the nation's introduced limit, which will be purchased at a fixed cost by Kenya Authority and Lighting Company Ltd (KPLC) over a 20-year time span as per the Authority Purchase Contract (PPA).

The Wind Farm proponent is the LTWP consortium involving KP&P Africa B.V and Aldwych International as co-developers, Investment Fund for Developing Countries, Vestas Eastern Africa Limited, Finnish Fund for Industrial Cooperation Ltd, KLP Norfund Investments AS and Sandpiper.

Since the Turkana project was associated with the national grid in September 2018, the plant infused more than 1.2 billion kilowatt-long stretches of authority and saved citizens up to 8 billion shillings (\$77.5 million) from diminished use of diesel-developed nuclear energy.

Africa Nazarene University

Africa Nazarene University is the recipient of a long-term loan from the Bank. The cash was utilized for the development of a multi-purposed student center, which brought about the production of exactly 14 new and perpetual jobs, other than improving the general foundation at the establishment.

Sunshine Educational Limited

An exclusive school in Nairobi with a solid practice of scholastic greatness, Sunshine got long-haul support from the EADB to empower it to improve its actual framework. The outcome was slew of projects including an eating hall, a residence, and staff quarters. The creation of around 127 jobs has been straightforwardly ascribed to this intercession by the Bank.

Banana Investments

A unique agri-business venture that is motivated by the need to add value to the region's agriculture. Banana Investments has gotten EADB support as a long-term loan of \$323,062. Some 156 sustainable jobs have been created, while a ready market has been created for banana farmers in the host district and beyond.

Africa 50

In 2012, African heads of state called for innovative solutions to accelerate infrastructure delivery (africa50 site). The African Development Bank set up Africa50 as a new investment vehicle.

It was planned as an autonomous infrastructure fund that centers around high-impact national and regional projects for the most part in the energy and transport sectors, with specific accentuation on expanding the pipeline of investment ready projects.

Funding availability

Africa50 activates funds not just from African states and worldwide economic establishments, yet additionally from institutional investors such as pension and

sovereign wealth funds, insurance companies and other private sector entities. It operates as a business economic foundation, looking to give an attractive return to investors.

Africa50's investor base is at present made out of 28 African nations, the African Development Bank, the Central Bank of West African States (BCEAO), and Bank Al-Maghrib, with over US\$870 million in submitted capital.

To be qualified for Africa50 financing, a project ought to be from the private sector or attempted under a PPP structure, mid-to-enormous scope, by and large with A project esteem more than \$100 million. More modest projects might be considered dependent upon the situation.

Conditions

Africa50 just takes key minority stakes in projects, mainly value or semi value. Potential developers need to demonstrate that they also have other sources of funding. Africa50's primary target sectors are transport and energy, which address practically 70% of projected infrastructure investment.

The projects must be upheld by legitimate shareholders with a significant history, advantage the nearby economy and meet elite environmental, social, and administration principles. Herewith some projects supported by Africa50.

Nova Scotia Solar Plant in Nigeria

Scatec Nigeria is a ground-mounted 100 MW sunlight-based photovoltaic power plant situated close to Dutse in Jigawa state, on 200 ha of land which is at present utilized as farmland.

Africa 50's acts as a project development and long term equity partner. Facilitate interactions with government entities and prospective lenders

Shareholders are Africa50, Scatec Solar, Norfund

The plant enjoys solid sunlight-based assets and direct admittance to the network under a 20-year Authority Purchase Contract with Nigerian Bulk Electricity Trading.

The energy is relied upon to be cleared through a devoted 132 kV overhead transmission line that is required to associate the plant to the Dutse substation, found 3.7 kilometers from the project site.

Development Impact: The plant is relied upon to deliver around 200 GWh of energy a year, increasing generation capacity by 2%, which is required to add to ease the energy shortage at present tended to with costly and contaminating diesel generators. It is expected to avoid about 120,000 tons of CO2 emissions annually and could reduce indoor pollution if grid extensions allow households to transition from firewood and kerosene to grid power. It is required to make 200-300 jobs during development and 10-15 during projects.

Malicounda Authority Plant in Senegal

This is a 120 MW combined-cycle thermal power plant at Malicounda, 85 kilometres from Dakar. It will at first to run on fuel oil but is expected to be converted to natural gas when this becomes available from recently discovered fields. The electricity generated is expected to be fed into the network through an existing distribution substation.

Africa50 worked with Senelec (the Senegalese utility) to choose a strategic partner (Melec PowerGen). The consortium of sponsors is currently working on securing debt financing.

Shareholders are Africa50, Senelec, and Melec AuthorityGen. The African Development Bank is the Mandated Lead Arranger.

The plant is a significant addition to power production in Senegal and is expected to reduce the supply gap. Conversion to locally produced gas is expected to further lower the costs and provide for cleaner energy production. A 20-year Power Purchase Agreement with a competitive feed-in tariff should help to attract investors, under a Build, Own, Operate and Transfer (BOOT) model.

Development impact: The plant finds a place with Senegal's technique to build energy creation while diminishing expenses. It is expected to increase generation capacity by around 17%, while reducing costs by 14%, which could potentially result in a 3-7% fall in tariffs. The plant also is expected to help satisfy base loads, facilitating the integration of intermittent renewable power into the country's network. About 150 jobs are expected to be created during construction.

Nachtigal Hydropower Plant

The development and activity of a 420 MW hydropower plant in Cameroon close to Nachtigal Falls, 65 kilometers from Yaoundé, just as a 50-kilometer transmission line to Nyom. It is projected to be finished in 2023 and worked under a 35-year concession.

Africa50 procured 15% of the value stake in the Nachtigal Hydro Authority Company (NHPC) from the Government of Cameroon

The shareholding of NHPC is involved EDF (40%), IFC (20%), the Republic of Cameroon (15%), Africa50 (15%), and STOA (10%).

The venture comprises roller-compacted solid dams, a headrace channel, an energy plant with seven producing units, a generation substation, and a transmission line. The reservoir of 421 hectares is required to hold 27.8 cubic hectometers of water. The total cost of €1.2 billion will be funded with equity and a blend of DFI and commercial banks loans. The lender group coordinated by IFC incorporates 11 DFIs and four business banks coordinated by Morocco's Attijariwafa Bank. The World Bank has given a Partial Risk Guarantee.

Nachtigal is essential for Cameroon's plan to improve the dependability of its energy sector and admittance to control, with the plant planned to address about 30% of the country's energy needs. The energy is relied upon to be offered to the public service organization at a relative competitive price, subsequently profiting Cameroonian customers and boosting the economy of the country. The project is required to make

up to 1,500 direct jobs during development (65% privately sourced), and numerous perpetual jobs upon fruition in 2023.

Kigali Innovation City

In November 2018, Africa50 marked a Joint Development Agreement (JDA) Term Sheet with the Rwanda Development Board ("RDB"), as per which Africa50 is to have selective rights to work with RDB to configure, create, finance, build and work certain segments of the Kigali Innovation City (KIC). KIC is relied upon to house worldwide colleges, innovation organizations, biotech firms, and business and retail land in a space of 70 hectares. As a critical part of the Rwandan government's Vision 2020 advancement programme, KIC means to draw innovation organizations from everywhere the world to Rwanda to make a development environment and further an information-based economy.

KIC is projected to make more than 50,000 jobs and produce US\$150 million in ICT trades every year, just as pulling in over US\$300 million in the foreign direct venture. More than 2600 students are relied upon to graduate yearly from its colleges more than 30 years, adding to Rwanda's and Africa's pool of technically knowledgeable business people.

Kinshasa and Brazzaville Rail-Road bridge

On 7 November 2018, uninformed of the Africa Investment Forum, the African Development Bank (AfDB) and Africa50 consented to a starter structure arrangement with the Democratic Republic of Congo and the Republic of Congo presenting the terms and conditions under which the African Development Banks and Africa50 could create and back the primary road-rail bridge project connecting their capitals - Kinshasa and Brazzaville. The system arrangement ponders that the project will be developed as a PPP, with AfDB going about as the Debt supplier under the aegis of the Economic Community of Central African States. Africa50 leads the project advancement, help select a strategic partner, and provide equity for construction.

This milestone project, part of the Programme for Infrastructure Development in Africa (PIDA) Priority Action Plan, comprises a 1.575-kilometer cost connection over the Congo River. It is required to incorporate a single railway track, a twofold path road, walkways, and a border checkpoint at each end. It is relied upon to be associated with the existing road infrastructure in the two nations. The expense assessed in 2017 was US\$550 million. The Bridge is required to improve transport networks among Kinshasa and Brazzaville. The two nearest capitals on the planet are right now just connected by ships. Upon fruition, the current traffic of an expected 750,000 members and 340,000 tons of cargo a year, is projected to increment to more than 3 million members and 2 million

African Development Bank

While the PPP experience of the Bank has been restricted, it has still taken an interest in PPPs in the landmass, including the Dakar Diamniado Toll Highway (Senegal), Lake Turkana Wind Authority Project (Kenya), Ourzazate NOORo I and II Solar Authority Projects (Morocco) and Ithezi-thezi Hydropower Project (ADB, 2020).

The Bank has neither an overall and formal procedure nor operational rules and mandates for PPPs. It has commonly tended to PPPs inside its corporate and sectoral techniques, and country strategy papers (CSPs), which consider PPPs generally as a cross-cutting issue. The Bank has no proper coordination systems coordinated toward PPPs, working with purposeful endeavors across its sectors of expertise, nor a central PPP unit. Seven units inside the Bank handle PPP projects, with intermittent covers, and without coordination.

Infrastructure Development in Africa (PIDA)

The ADB Programme, PIDA, supports PPP infrastructure projects (AfDB PIDA site).

Energy, Transport, Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) and Trans-boundary Water Resources. The PIDA activity is being driven by the African Union Commission (AUC), New Partnership For Africa's Development (NEPAD) and ADB. The Bank's part as executing office covers the Debt regarding authoritative, economic, technical, and regulatory administration of the programme including duty regarding

acquisition methodology, in conformity with its existing regulations, budget management and disbursements.

PIDA projects incorporate the Batoka Gorge, Inga III, Ruzizi III, Kinshasa-Brazzaville Rail Road Project, and the Abidjan – Lagos Highway Development Hall and Central and North Halls.

International Finance Corporation (IFC)

Part of the World Bank Group (WBG), IFC draws on the WBG's mastery in sector strategy, legal and regulatory frameworks, institutional changes, levy structure and guidelines, and worldwide best practice (ifc industry site). IFC's recommendation in PPPs is helping national and municipal governments in developing nations collaborate with the private sector to improve education, energy, transport, medical services, and sanitation (Apfalter, 2012).

Cameroon

The World Bank and IFC facilitated endeavors in the privatization of AES Sonel (today ENEO) in mid-2002 and later in 2006 for the 20-year concession arrangement for distribution, transmission, and generation of electricity throughout Cameroon. Today ENEO It is partially State-owned with 51% of the share capital held by Actis, 5% by Eneo employees and 44% by the State of Cameroon.

Actis is a leading investor in growth markets across Africa, Asia and Latin America founded in 2004, employing over 120,000 people.

The Kribi Gas Authority Project is another illustration of IFC and IDA coordination: the project is a significant foundation interest in Cameroon and would profit from an IDA assurance and IFC loaning.

Kenya

The Public-Private Infrastructure Advisory Facility (PPIAF) helps agricultural nation governments reinforce provisions, guidelines, and infrastructure. Upheld by givers and controlled by the World Bank, PPIAF projects supplemented a portion of the IFC and World Bank projects. For example, the World Bank concession of the Northern Corridor was worked with by a road concession study financed by PPIAF.

Lesotho

The replacement of QE-II Hospital is an illustration of how the assets of the World Bank Group were brought to bear to offer to the customer the World Bank's public sector strategy exchange, combined with IFC's warning services and to assemble private sector assets for public closures.

Islamic Development Bank (IsDB)

One of the vital difficulties of Islamic financing is that the Islamic financing organization, conceivably through a specific reason vehicle, will possess the hidden resources, which in this way would not be accessible as security for different loan authorities.

The principal organization in the field of interest in infrastructure and development capital financing is the IsDB (Sidlo, 2017).

Its framework portfolio comprises 203 projects with an estimation of USD 15.6 billion. Somewhere in the range of 2010 and 2016, the bank allotted USD 31.1 billion to framework development projects (Sonbal, 2017)

IsDB and World Bank (WB) endorsed in October 2015 a Deep Dive Initiative (DDI) vital association system pointed toward scaling-up advancement help with regular part nations. In 2017-2018, ten new ventures are required to be executed under the arranged USD 2 billion financial plan (Sonbal, 2017).

Trans-Saharan Road (TSH)

The highway is situated on the Algiers/Lagos and Dakar/Djibouti trans-African hallways recognized by the Programme for Infrastructure Development in Africa (PIDA) as need projects for accomplishing NEPAD centres by 2020 (AfDB, 2013).

The TSH is 9,022 kilometers in length. It minister six nations (Algeria, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Chad and Tunisia) and comprises of a 4,498 km-long main road (Algiers/Zinder/Lagos) and three optional roads: the Tunisian branch (Ghardaïa/Gabès), the Malian branch (Tit/Kidal-Gao-Bamako) and the Chadian branch (Zinder-Nguigmi/Daboua-NgouriMassakory-Ndjamena).

To advance and support the development of the different sectors of this passageway, the nations included set up the Trans-Sahara Highway Liaison Committee (CLRT), situated in Algiers.

The venture arranging was planned 60 months and cost a sum of UA 381.40 million, net of assessments and customs Debts. IsDB advance is UA 96.57. The Bank Group's commitment remains at UA 120.37 million, or 31.56% of the absolute project cost. Different benefactors are the BADEA, BDEAC, KFAED, SFD, OFID, and the Governments of Algeria, Niger, and Chad.

The Trans-Saharan connect Algiers to Lagos, because of a 4,500 km motorway, of which 2,500 km will be in Algeria (Aït-Hatrit, 2020).

Crossing this mountainous region, where the twisting roads of the Chiffa Gorge have consistently been a bottleneck between the Algerian and the south of the nation, was a great technical challenge.

To accomplish it, 5 km of passages and 14 km of bridges and viaducts, the most noteworthy wharf which arrived at 70 m, have been working since April 2013 by China State Development Engineering Corporation, specifically in relationship with the Algerian public groups Sapta and Engoa.

The cutoff time for completion of the part among Chiffa and Berrouaghia, initially set at three years, has since a long time ago been surpassed.

The expense of the project – 608 million euros at first – has additionally been reconsidered upwards, albeit the measure of the new envelope isn't known.

Delays and additional costs have consistently incited the fierceness of the authorities, compelling producers to convey the fragments when they are finished from 2017 onwards. One of the biggest, between Sidi Madani and Hamdania (12.5 km), whose passages bridge the Wilaya of Blida and Médéa, was opened to the public in July 2019. The finishing of the project is uplifting news for Algeria, particularly for drivers in the Chiffa Valley – around 20,000 every day – who will acquire close to 60 minutes.

As arranged in the National Land Use Plan (Snat), the north-south motorway, of which 300 km are as yet under development, joins the east-west motorway (1,216 km) in Algiers, which has been conveyed since 2008, and the ports that the last minister.

Nigeria and Tunisia have finished their parts, now and again twofold path, and by and large on their budgets, as has Algeria (where 200 km of roads stay to be asphalted towards Mali).

Among the last to be finished, the Arlit-Assamaka sector (225 km, Niger) ought to be conveyed for this present year by ETPBHGT (Algeria) and Gepco (Niger).

Dakar Airport, Senegal

Blaise Diagne Airport is being developed on a new site in N'Diass, 45 km east of Dakar. The air terminal has 3 million travelers and an 80,000 airplane development capacity. The air terminal was built under a PPP framework. Other than AfDB with €70 million, the Islamic Development Bank, l'Agence Francaise du Développement, and the Saudi Fund are significant members with responsibilities of €100, €70 and USD100 million respectively. Another €26 million was submitted by the West African Development Bank (BOAD). Marking date 26 September 2011, Inauguration date 4 January 2018

The project is being attempted by AIBD-SA, a SPV, right now completely state owned. The borrower goes about as proprietor of the facilities which are worked by a

privately owned business, Daport, a joint endeavor between Frankfurt Airport and a German air terminal concession holding, subsidiary with the air terminal transport constructor "Cobus".

During the development stage, around 3000 local workers are hired. The air terminal will hire around 500 full-time workers. Notwithstanding direct work at the air terminal, the project requires different services like designing, spare parts, data innovation and services, cooking, and cleaning, which will add to the local economy.

Rural water points, Burkina Faso

In Burkina Faso, 211 new rural water points were constructed thanks to, among others, USD 20 million indicative concessional loans granted by IsDB (of which USD 2.5 million was disbursed to Burkina Faso; other beneficiaries included Niger and Senegal). The project provided access to safe drinking water and contributed to female empowerment, improving the lives of almost a hundred thousand people in Kenedougou Province.

Diversifying agriculture, Niger

In Niger, IsDB upheld a venture pointed toward enhancing and expanding farming creation and expanding the dietary benefit of food sources delivered in the country. During the primary period of the project, executed somewhere in the range of 2001 and 2005, sponsors worked in 167 towns generally on improving and introducing water system structures, training farmers in their use, and supporting farmers associations. Stage two, which started in 2010, also offers farmers admittance to microfinance. IsDB gave USD 2.5 million out of the project's total cost of USD 4 million. The venture fundamentally added to improving food security in the nation, just as female strengthening (52% of recipients were ladies).

Micro finance, Guinea

In Guinea, IsDB took part in a project meaning to help admittance to subsidize for miniature and little business visionaries and Support systematization of local microfinance foundations (MFIs). The venture was controlled by three organizations: Crédit Rural de Guinée, Programme Intégré pour le Développement de l'Entreprise

and l'Agence Autonome d'Assistance Intégrée aux Entreprises, to whom IsDB gave a line of financing and who utilized the assets to give subsidizing to nearby business people however sharia-agreeable instruments.

Bridge over Niger river, Mali

IsDB and the Government of Mali financed the development of an extension across the Niger River to associate Gao with different districts and adjoining nations (idb site). A loan from IsDB gave almost 90% of the expense and the Government of Mali financed the rest. The aggregate sum for building the 290 meters bridge and 15.12 kilometers of access roads was US\$16.2 million. The extension was opened in August 2006.

The city of Gao, the group point of the roads from the Republic of Niger through Labezanga, from Algeria through Kidal and Bourem, and from different pieces of Mali through Sévaré and Bamako, is a significant economic center in the east of Mali. The new extension interfaces the Trans-Saharan KidalTamanrasset-Algiers thruway, the Mali road network, and courses into the Republic of Niger and Burkina Faso

Agriculture colleges, Sudan

In Sudan, the Islamic Development Bank, the Bank of Khartoum (Sudan), and the Central Bank of Sudan put resources into the little country local sector of Abu-Halima, supporting 125 agribusiness school graduates to work with their families towards building up a nursery financed through a benefit-sharing contract of limited Mudaraba. The nursery is required to deliver 1,400 tons of vegetables every year.

Micro finance, Sudan

In collaboration with the Bank of Khartoum (BoK), IsDB launched the IRADA microfinance programme set up in 2009. Under the venture, SD 200 million was coordinated to Al-Aman Fund for Microfinance under an essential association of 32 Sudanese banks, Diwan Zakat (the overseeing establishment for the appropriation of mandatory strict duty). The nation additionally profited by the redesign and modernization of Khartoum North Authority Station upheld by a venture from IsDB that occurred somewhere in the range of 1999 and 2011.

Temane Transmission Project, Mozambique

On August 28, 2019, African Development Bank (AfDB), the Development Bank of Southern Africa (DBSA), the Norwegian Trust Fund, the Islamic Development Bank, and the OPEC Fund for International Development join efforts towards the development of the Temane Transmission Project (TTP) (Authority Africa, 2019).

TTP arrived at achievement in July of 2019 when all lenders centered on their financing commitments. The World Bank, the African Development Bank, and the Government of Norway apportioned an aggregate sum of USD 357 million in awards, and the Islamic Development Bank, OPEC Fund for International Development, and the Development Bank of Southern Africa altogether dedicated to USD\$ 185.7 million in loans.

The outcomes are 400MW gas power plant and expanding opportunities for authority exchange among Southern African Authority Pool (SAPP) nations. Notwithstanding the bounty of energy assets in the sub-district, feeble transmission frameworks stay a significant imperative, which will be improved by TTP and other territorial interbridgeors. The transmission line among Maputo and Temane will likewise permit more noteworthy security and accessibility of authority in Mozambique for different financial projects, as well as empowering new connections with clients.

The Project will contribute to increasing overall access rate to electricity from 27% in 2018 to 100% by 2030 (ewsdata.rightsdevelopment site). Among the investors connected with are IsDB, Arab Bank for Economic Development in Africa (BADEA), the European Union, and Government of Mozambique.

This transmission framework is known as the Mozambique Integrated Transmission Backbone System or STE Project. The STE Project is a significant transmission project connecting the Provinces of Tete and Maputo. The goals of the project are to connect and integrate the existing two isolated power voltage transmission lines systems in the country and to allow for evacuation to the southern region of surplus power generated in the north. Because of its intricacy, Electricidade de Moçambique,

E.P. (EDM) plans to build up the STE Project in platforms. As of now, EDM is proposing the execution of Phase 1 of the STE Project: Vilanculos – Maputo, which incorporates a 561 km long 400 kV line associating these two urban communities; the development of three new substations (in Vilanculos, Chibuto, and Matalane) and the update of the Maputo substation. Stage 1 of the STE project is alluded to as the Temane Transmission Project (TTP or the Project).

The TTP will bring about the physical and financial uprooting of Project Affected Persons (PAPs), Project Affected Communities (PACs) and will add to the deficiency of existing occupations.

The Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency (MIGA)

MIGA is a member of the World Bank Group. Its mandate is to advance cross-line interest in developing nations by giving guarantees (political risk insurance and loan development) to investors and loan authorities (miga site).

The certifications secure ventures against noncommercial risks and can assist investors with getting admittance to subsidizing sources with improved economic terms and conditions.

Breach of Contract

Breach of Contract coverage gives assurance against misfortunes emerging from a government's breach or repudiation of a contract with an investor. In specific conditions, inclusion might be stretched out to the legally binding commitments of state-claimed endeavors.

In case of a supposed penetration or repudiation, an investor initially summons a question goal instrument, for example, a mediation set out in the hidden contract. If after a predefined period, the investor can't acquire an award as a result of the government's obstruction with the question goal component or has gotten an honor yet

not got installment, MIGA will pay remuneration. If specific conditions are met, MIGA may, at its circumspection, make a temporary installment forthcoming the result of the debate and before pay for non-installment award is paid.

For non-payment of an award MIGA pays the investor's advantage in the award. For refusal of the plan of action, MIGA pays the investor's premium in the sum which, as indicated by MIGA's case assurance, the host government would need to pay to the investor compliant with the contract. Regardless, MIGA's remuneration is covered by the measure of assurance stated in the assurance contract.

Expropriation coverage

Expropriation coverage gives assurance against misfortunes emerging from government actions that may reduce or eliminate ownership of, control over, or rights to the insured investment. Notwithstanding through and through nationalization and seizure, "crawling" confiscation—a progression of acts that, after some time, have an expropriatory impact—is likewise covered.

On account of absolute confiscation of value investments, pay to the safeguarded party depends on the netbook estimation of the protected venture. For confiscation of assets, MIGA pays the safeguarded segment of the hindered funds. For loans and advance ensures, MIGA can protect the exceptional head and any gathered and neglected interest. Pay is an endless supply of the investor's premium in the confiscated venture (e.g., value offers or interest in an advance consent) to MIGA.

War, Terrorism, and Civil Disturbance

War, Terrorism, and Civil Disturbance inclusion gives assurance against misfortune from, , damage to, or the destruction or disappearance of, tangible assets or total business interruption (the total inability to conduct operations essential to a project's overall financial viability) caused by politically motivated acts of war or civil disturbance in the country, including revolution, insurrection, coups d'état, sabotage, and terrorism.

For complete business interference that outcomes from a covered conflict and common aggravation occasion, pay is based, on account of value ventures, on the

netbook estimation of the safeguarded investment, or, on account of loans, the guaranteed segment of the head and interest installment in default. This coverage encompasses not only violence in the host country coordinated against a host country government, yet in addition against foreign governments or foreign investments, including the investor's administration or identity.

For total business interruption that results from a covered war and civil disturbance event, compensation is based, in the case of equity investments, on the net book value of the insured investment or, in the case of loans, the insured portion of the principal and interest payment in default.

For temporary business interruption, MIGA pays unavoidable proceeding with costs and unprecedented costs related to the restart of projects and lost business pay or on account of advances, missed payments.

PPP in transport and ports

Italy and Israel have developed successful transport projects. UK and France operate the most complicated cross line transport PPP project more effective on businesses than travelers. PPP's in transport and ports in SAA nations require political responsibility, contract building, and experienced concessionaire, strong involvement of development institutions in both public and private financing.

The fundamental reasons for the incompetence of roads and ports PPP projects in SAA nations are delays in land acquisition, sufficient finance not available, lacking pre-development, design unable to meet the performance and service requirements, construction not be completed on time and on budget.

Herewith we present two cases in developed nations (Italy, Israel) facing effectively those bottlenecks and one less successful (UK) trailed by PPPs in chosen SAA nations.

Autostrade (Italy)

Autostrade per l'Italia is the biggest toll motorway concession in Europe representing more than 50% of Italy's toll road network and 61 percent of kilometers voyaged. The first year of activity was 1999 (Virtuosity Consulting, 2005). The public authorities are the Institute for Industrial Redevelopment (IRI) and the Ente Nazionale per le Strade (ANAS).

Autostrade per l'Italia Group's organization of around 3,000 km projects across 16 Italian districts involving 21 toll roads, covering fundamental vehicle interfaces essentially in the Northern part of Italy around the major economic metropolitan zones just as the two principal north-south routes, the A1 Milan-Naples and the A14 Bologna-Taranto.

Autostrade per l'Italia's ownership structure is as per the following: Atlantia: 88.06%, Appia Investments Srl (consortium members): 6.94%, and Silk Road Fund: 5%

Atlantia SpA is an Italian holding organization dynamic in the framework sector, including motorways, air terminal foundation, and transport services. The group oversees 14,000 km (8,700 mi) of cost motorways, Fiumicino and Ciampino air

terminals in Italy, and the three airstrips of Nice, Cannes-Mandelieu, and Saint Tropez in France with in excess of 60 million travelers per year.

The primary investors of Atlantia are Edizione (an organization of the Benetton family), GIC Pte Ltd, the CRT Foundation, Lazard Asset Management, HSBC Holdings (Atlantia site). Albeit the fundamental motorways in Italy are owned by Atlantia, a portion of the upkeep work is worked by the state-claimed ANAS company.

Cross-Israel Highway 6 (Israel)

Cross Israel Highway Ltd was established in 1993 to interface all parts of Israel, shortening the distance between the remote zones and the Center, and permitting Israelis to arrive rapidly and safely at their destination (transisrael site). The organization was relegated to assemble Route 6, Israel's first toll road

Over the years, it constructed 13 road segments of Route 6 that include 24 interchanges.. As of now, Route 6 is Israel's essential transportation vein, serving roughly 270,000 vehicles each day. It permits drivers to cross 188 km from north to south, without traffic signals, in under two hours.

The contractor is Derech-Eretz Consortium (1997) Ltd (DEC) of Société Général (FR), Hughes (later Raytheon) and GM (US), CHIC (CA), Africa-Israel, and Alon (IL), led by Africa-Israel. –

DEC now comprised of: 33% Africa-Israel (5.5%) of which is held for Alon Israel Oil Co), 33% Housing and Development Holding Co (IL), and 33% Canadian Highway International Corp. (Stylish, CA).

Between 2017 and 2019, the company expanded the scope of its operations, and doubled its work force. Nowadays, it serves as a leading government operation agency for building complex national infrastructure initiatives and projects.

The Light Rail Haifa-Nazareth project is under development. The light rail line will traverse 41km, empower the advancement of new sectors and urban communities

along its course, and serve approx. 100,000 travelers each day. In 2010 the company became the supervising authority, responsible for all of Israel's toll roads: Route 6, Cross North Highway, the fast lane, and the Carmel tunnels.

Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL), UK France

The Railway Usage Contract ("RUC") was closed between the Concessionaires, Channel Tunnel Group Limited and France-Manche SA for a time of 55 years, Société Nationale des Chemins de Fer Français (SNCF) and British Railway Board (BRB), being known as the "Rail lines" in 1987 (Brown, 2021).

The Railways will consistently during the term of this Contract be qualified for 50% (half) of the limit, each hour and toward every path.

The RUC saves half of the hourly capacity toward every path to traveler prepares and cargo trains. The leftover half of the capacity stays available to the concessionaires.

The Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL) is a 110km twin-track high-velocity railway that bridges the Channel Tunnel with the worldwide rail line station at St Pancras in London (Ridolfi, 2004). Finished in 2006 it gives consistent rapid rail associations between London, Paris, and Brussels with trains going at rates of up to 300kph.

Arup framed the London and Continental Railways (LCR) consortium with six different organizations with interests in development, transport, property development, and banking

Under the agreement, LCR would back and assemble the CTRL and afterward offer it to Railtrack who might assume control over Debt regarding the activity of the framework.

The primary segment of the CTRL was finished in 2003 on schedule and inside spending decreasing the excursion time among London and Paris to 2hrs 35mins. At the point when the venture is finished in 2006 the excursion, time is decreased to 2hrs 20mins.

The venture is effective for business calculated among UK and France, however, less fruitful for travelers transport. The traveler numbers and revenues on Eurostar are around half anticipated levels.

Since 2011, except for cargo prepares, transport and rail projects have recorded an absolute increment (Brown, 2021). In particular, from 2011 to 2019 the quantity of vehicles and HGVs shipped by transports has expanded by an aggregate of 338,980 (+14.9%) and 331,914 (+26.3%) units, respectively, notwithstanding the decrease of -2% and -6% respectively somewhat recently. The quantity of travelers has expanded by 1 million somewhere in the range of 2011 and 2019 (+14.1%) and surpassed 11 million travelers moved in 2019.

The Dakar-Diamniadio toll road in Senegal

The context Senegal's severe traffic congestion had for years caused problems for commuters, particularly in the capital, Dakar (Sulser, 2018).

The toll road project has made in excess of 2,000 jobs, generally for local people in the Dakar rural sectors. Improved mobility has markedly improved access to markets and helps Senegal's overall competitiveness.

Higher-capacity road means less pollution from idling vehicles translated in – fuel savings, a cleaner climate, and better health for members in Dakar and the encompassing networks.

The road has significantly improved metropolitan versatility around Dakar, lessening drive times between the city and its rural sectors from two hours to fewer than 30 minutes.

Expanding on this positive experience, in 2014 the Government of Senegal granted a further admission to stretch out the motorway to associate it to Dakar's new Blaise Diagne International Airport (Carter, 2015).

Political commitment.

The Government of Senegal set the project as a priority. An intra-agency coordinating committee was set up. The National Agency for the Promotion of Investments (APIX) regulated the planning of the concession. The Public-Private Infrastructure Advisory Facility (PPIAF) upheld APIX with technical help, including the plan of a system for the oversight of the venture.

Consensus-building and stakeholder engagement.

A part of PPIAF's US\$250,000 grant to the Government of Senegal assisted with paying for seminars with stakeholder groups to examine structuring options for the road and socio-economic drivers of the willingness to pay. The combination of careful outreach to stakeholders, a fairly low toll, significant time savings and a well-maintained road meant that the first toll road in the country was accepted by the population. Moreover, the fact that there is an alternative road helped the Government and other shareholders call attention that drivers could generally decide to utilize the other route.

Experienced concessionaire with a solid commitment to Senegal.

The concessionaire, the Eiffage Group, is one of Europe's driving development and toll road operating companies, with a long history of partnership and Debt to Senegal. Eiffage, through the particular reason organization set up to develop and work for a very long time the road, Nouvelle Autoroute Concédée (SENAC.SA), guaranteed that

the road was built and is being worked to an elevated expectation, on schedule, and inside the financial plan.

Solid involvement of development institutions in both public and private financing.

The public sector segment, financed by the Government of Senegal, the African Development Bank, the Agence Francaise de Developpement, and the World Bank, covered options to proceed leeway, metropolitan rebuilding, and re-settlement of families – up to 30,000 members – influenced by the road. On the private side, IFC filled in as the lead arranger and worldwide organizer for this milestone €230 million stateway project, submitting €22.5 million long term loan. Altogether, the complete private value and Debt raised by the concessionaire added up to €100 million. The measure of the Debt financing bundle was €65 million, of which €45 million was provided by BOAD, AFD and CBAO, one of the primary Senegalese business banks.

Clear, visible benefits.

Commuters are saving three hours per day. The road is more secure and the nature of the ride is higher. There is economic development growing all around the road. Small farmer businesses have been developed with women associations alongside the road. The previous road remains as a free – and now more fluid – alternative.

Everybody, with no exemptions, pays the low cost use of the toll road. An electronic tolling framework is making strides, decreasing sitting tight occasions further for some commuters.

PPIAF makes small grants to help governments build capacity and regulatory frameworks, to bring in private investors and financiers to provide better infrastructure services.

Nairobi express toll road, Kenya

The first 27.1 Km project are scheduled for July 2020 with December 2022 as the set completion date (Okoth, 2020).

The toll road starts at Mlolongo to JKIA, Nairobi's CBD, and finishes at Westlands along Waiyaki Way. Development is being completed by the Chinese project worker China Road and Bridge Corporation (CRBC) under the PPP model.

The company contributes US\$ 560M and will operate the route for about 27 years to recover its investment through the assortment of road tolls.

A significant component of the new independent way will be the dedicated bus rapid transit (BRT) facilities, which will likewise assist with improving public vehicles to the city.

The road will significantly reduce the time spent on Mombasa road at rush hour from approximately two hours to between 10 and 15 minutes.

Transport PPP in Cote d'Ivoire

First declared in 2017, the Abidjan Metro is relied upon to begin development before the end 2020, however, delays are likely because of the flare-up of Covid-19 (oxfordbusinessgroup site). Development of the 37.5-km fast travel network had at first been postponed because of cost concerns, technical troubles, and long provisions between the Ivorian government and French group Bouygues, the head of the consortium dealing with the project. An arrangement was reached in October 2019, and the assessed venture of \$1.5bn will be completely financed by France and worked by Bouygues Travaux Publics and Alstom's Colas Rail and Keolis. The project is required to make around 2000 direct employment opportunities. Line 1 of the metro will associate Anyama, a suburb in the north, to PortBouët and Abidjan International Airport – otherwise called Félix Houphouët-Boigny Airport in the south. The full journey should take around 50 minutes and will incorporate stops at 20 stations. The

primary segment, from Anyama center to Lagoon Plateau, is expected to be finished by mid-2023. The subsequent segment, from Lagoon Plateau to Abidjan International Airport, is required to arrive at the finish by mid-2024. At the point when completed, the Abidjan Metro will have a capacity of 530,000 travelers day by day and support the rising visitor flows passing through the city's airport.

N4 Toll Route Maputo (Mozambique) Pretoria (South Africa)

The N4 toll road is a brownfield stateway concession of 630 km running from Pretoria, South Africa's capital, to Maputo, the capital of Mozambique, and a deep ocean port on the Indian Ocean (github.org site). The project was organized as a PPP between the governments of South Africa and Mozambique and a private consortium for a 30-year time frame. The N4 toll road contract with the private consortium Trans African Concessions (TRAC) is a BOT.

The venture is financed through a 20% equity and 80% debt finance negotiated by the concessionaire. TRAC accepted full traffic and request hazard. Revenue generated through the collection of tolls must fully cover operational expenditure and debt obligations, but both governments jointly agreed to guarantee the debt finance.

The venture has facilitated the development of communities situated along the route. Explicit authoritative conditions incorporated the commitment for TRAC to subcontract 20% of the work to generally hindered networks in South Africa and 40% to those in Mozambique. Around 5,700 jobs were made during the underlying stage, with development laborers getting training at work. Altogether, around 12,000 easygoing, transitory, and permanent jobs have been made by the venture.

TRAC likewise developed three instructional hubs along the route as a part of the organization's coordinated local sector cooperation programme. Over 20,000 members of the local communities were trained through various programs, including literacy and HIV awareness.

Lekki stateway, Nigeria

In 2000, Asset & Resource Management (ARM) Ltd, a Nigerian asset-management company, approached the Lagos State Government (LASG) to upgrade various roads in Lagos on a concession basis (Yescombe, 2017). These included the road through the Lekki peninsula, a 50-km strip of land running east from Lagos Island, bounded in the north by the Lagos lagoon and in the south by the Atlantic Ocean. Close to the central business district, the Lekki peninsula was underdeveloped, partly due to its poor road connections. In 2004 LASG therefore passed legislation to set up a Private Sector Participation ('PSP') Board to supervise PPPs in the transport sector including dealing with the ARM proposal. In 2003 LASG advertised the Lekki project in a competitive procurement. The concession was for 30 years.

Lekki Concession Company (LCC) was granted the right to upgrade, maintain and toll the Lekki Expressway (Phase 1 of the project) and to construct a parallel coastal road (Phase 2), as well as an option to construct a southern bypass. The upgrade involved widening around half of the road nearest to Lagos from two to three lanes each way, adding new features such as the Falomo On-Ramp, pedestrian walkway bridges, drainage systems, street and traffic lights and underground infrastructure ducts, as well as rehabilitating the rest of the road.

The contract was granted to Hitech Development Company Ltd. Following the finishing of the initial 6 km segment in July 2010, tolls began to be collected at the first toll plaza, but almost immediately LASG asked LCC to stop collecting the tolls because they had not completed the alternative free route.

Politics were also involved as an election was pending and there had been public protests about the tolls. Tolling recommenced in January 2012.

By 2013 LCC had finished the work on the second 9-km section and was due to begin tolling at a similar rate at the subsequent plaza. LASG developed a Bridge from the

Lekki peninsula to Ikoyi (part of Lagos Island), which was opened in 2013, and redirected about 30% of the traffic from the first toll plaza.

The first phase of the project and reconstruction is an 18.75km stretch traversing Eleko Junction to Epe T-Junction (Ajay, 2020) while the second phase of 26.7km beginning from Abraham Adesanya Roundabout to Eleko Junction.

The project is dealt with by Messrs Craneburg Development Company Limited. In 2016 LCC was selected by LASG to assume control over the electronic tolling of the Lekki–Ikoyi bridge.

Ports

Lekki Deep Sea Port

Lekki Port LFTZ Enterprise Limited was granted the concession for 45 years by Nigerian Ports Authority on BOOT premise. Under this arrangement, LPLEL is required to develop, finance, build, operate and, at the end of the concession term, transfer the port to NPA. LPLEL will earn revenues through operations of the port.

Upon completion, Lekki Port will have an aggregate of 3 3 container berths, 1 dry bulk berth and 3 liquid berths.

Lekki Port LFTW Enterprise Limited (LPLEL) is a joint endeavor venture claimed by a group of investors led by the Lekki Port Investment Holdings Inc containing China Harbor Engineering Company Ltd (52.5%), Tolaram Group (22.5%), the Lagos State Government(20%), and the Federal Government of Nigeria through the Nigerian Ports Authority (NPA) (5%).

Lagos Independent Zone Company (LFZ) is fully owned by Tolaram Group and is enlisted under the NEPZA Act to to develop and operate the Lagos Independent Zone (LFZ). Lekki Deep Sea Port will be situated inside the LFZ.

LFZ is being developed as a multi-item and coordination center for the whole West African Region. Strategically located 65km east of Lagos, the zone is well connected

by road, ocean, and via air. When completely developed, it will be spread over a space of 805 hectares and will house oil and petrochemical buildings, agri-product, and other assembling enterprises.

CHEC invested US\$221 million into LPLEL in March 2020 and turned into the organization's controlling investor.

Louis Berger, the Project Management Consultant for the Lekki Deep Sea Port Project handles contract the executives, plan audit just as development oversight, and appointing oversight.

CMA TERMINALS including APL TERMINALS is a port operator in charge of the development, conception, construction, acquisition and operation of terminals.

Maputo Port in Mozambique

The project is a 15-year concession to finance, rehabilitate, operate, and upgrade the ports of Maputo and Matola (ICA, 2009).

The consortium: Maputo Port Development Company (MPDC): 51% is owned by a worldwide consortium of foreign investors involving Mersey Docks Group (United Kingdom), Skanska (Sweden), and Liscont (Portugal); 49% is claimed by the Mozambique government (33% by the Mozambique National Ports and Rails Authority and 16% by the central government).

The consortium has the alternative to keep dealing with the port for an additional 10 years, the date of economic close April 2003; Capital value US\$70 million.

Financiers for the project incorporate Standard Corporate and Merchant Bank, the Development Bank of Southern Africa, the development finance companies of the Netherlands and Sweden as well as the Nordic Development Fund and Finland's Finnfund (Farlam, 2005).

The MPDC's long-term objective is to restore the ports of Maputo and Matola as key financial development places in Mozambique and as serious travel ports for the

energetic import/trade markets of South Africa, and the adjoining nations of Swaziland, Zimbabwe, Botswana, and Zambia.

Under the agreement, MPDC offers all marine types of assistance inside the Maputo Bay Port ward region, including pilotage, pulls, line dealing with, and digging services.

The concession incorporates the assigned port zones for worldwide delivery inside Maputo just as the coal terminal of Matola port.

The consortium is contributing \$70 million as a component of the restoration and development of the port — which incorporates modernizing quays and port gear, providing new pulls just as transport associations by road and rail to adjoining nations.

The concession has expanded effectiveness and dealing with volumes at the Maputo harbor from 4.3 million tons in 2002 to 5.54 million tons in 2004 up to around 20 million tons by year 18 of the concession (2020), and 80% of this cargo, which is divided among road and rail, will be from South Africa.

In October 2004 the new terminal at Maputo port announced a 25% year-on-year expansion in the measure of top-of-the-line citrus going through the port.

A 15-year concession consent to privatize the rail line from the South African line to the ports of Maputo and Matola was in this manner endorsed among CFM and a consortium led by New Limpopo Bridge Project Investments and the South African rail administrator Spoornet.

Coal terminal in Beira Port

Essar Ports has signed in August 2017, a 30-year concession agreement with the Government of Mozambique to develop a new coal terminal at Beira Port, as part of a Public Private Partnership (PPP) project (Essar, 2017).

The project is a DBOOT premise through an auxiliary, New Coal Terminal Beira, SA (NCTB SA), which is a joint endeavor of Essar (which will claim 70%) and Portos e Caminhos de Ferro de Moçambique (CFM, which will possess 30%).

Mozambique is assessed to have deposits of more than 23 billion tons of coal, which makes the country a significant coal exporter that is all around put to take into account the worldwide steel and force ventures, particularly in India, China, Japan, and Korea. The NCTB has committed a rail network to Mozambique's coal mining belt in the Tete district, which CFM has as of late improved to a limit of 20 MTPA.

The primary period of this project is developed at an expense of near \$275 million and will involve creating devoted compartments, alongside best-in-class, motorized, and climate amicable frameworks.

PPP in energy

Energy is a wide sector that holds different ventures: the oil and gas sector, and the power hydraulic, wind, and sun-based force sectors. In SSA nations the primary PPP energy projects depend on nearby sources like gas, hydraulic and solar energy. PPPs in the energy sector come in various shapes, sizes, and structures and are utilized predominantly in generation and transmission.

PPP energy philosophy utilized fluctuates, contingent upon the spot, the government, and the particulars of the activity. Herewith we present two fruitful global ventures, the PPP for the waste recuperating in the City of Poznan (Poland) which addresses a chance for the district to diminish the measure of landfilled squander (suez site) and the Phu My Gas-terminated force project in Vietnam followed by PPP energy projects in chosen SAA nations.

Waste recovering, Poznan Poland

The PPP for the waste recuperating in the City of Poznan and nine encompassing districts is meriting a populace of around 730,000 occupants (EPEC, 2012). The arranged cremation capacity is 210,000 tons. The land procurement agreement has been finalised during tender process.

This new infrastructure addresses a chance for the locale to lessen the measure of landfilled squander and to meet the developing needs of the populace for electricity (suez site).

In December 2012, has been marked a 25-year development, activity, and move contract with the joint endeavor set up by SUEZ Zielona Energia and the Marguerite Fund, the European Fund for Energy, Climate Change and Infrastructure. The project is financed by private financing related to EU Cohesion funds.

The total cost of this investment, €180 million, was financed via a European subsidy of €84 million and a non-recourse loan of €96 million.

In order to carry out the construction of the energy-from-waste (EfW) plant SUEZ Zielona Energia chose a consortium made of Switzerland-headed energy technology provider Hitachi Zosen Inova (HZI) (provider) and Hochtief (civil works).

To do the development of the energy-from-waste (EfW) plant SUEZ Zielona Energia built a consortium made of Switzerland-headed energy innovation supplier Hitachi Zosen Inova (HZI) (supplier) and Hochtief (civil works).

In February 2017 HZI has declared that it has successfully handed over the EfW project in Poznan, Poland (Bio Energy International, 2017).

The combined heat and power (CHP) plant is fuelled utilizing independently gathered municipal solid waste (MSW) from the city's residential and non-residential areas and nine neighboring communities diverting this from landfill. The two treatment lines produce up to 15 MW of electricity and 34 MW of locale heat per annum.

Flue gas and residue treatment will ensure that the plant constantly remains more than 50 percent below the limits set by European air quality legislation. Ferrous and non-ferrous metals of various particule sizes will be separated from the mesh debris for recycling using a specially constructed bottom ash treatment system

Gas-fired authority project, Vietnam

The Phu My project is the biggest PPP in Vietnam with a capacity of up to 3,900MW. It is situated in the town of Phu My in Ba Ria-Vung Tau region in the southern part of Vietnam. The complex incorporates the Phu My 1, 2-1, 2-2, 3, and 4 plants. Together, they meet about 40% of the country's energy needs.

The Phu My 1 power plant was built by Mitsubishi Heavy Industries (MHI). It has three incorporated cycle gas turbines with yields of 230MW each, just as a steam turbine creating 360MW. The project cost \$530m.

The plant was ordered in September 1998 and launched in May 2000. The completely working force plant can deliver 1,090MW.

Japan's Overseas Economic Co-activity Fund (OECF) and its Overseas Development Agency (ODA) were associated with the financing. Japan gave 85% of the finance and the Vietnamese government contributed 15%.

The New Japan Engineering Consulting Corporation assessed the project and managed development.

VietSovPetro, a Russian-Vietnam gas and oil joint endeavor, supplies petroleum gas from a seaward field.

Phu My 2-1 Extension Authority Plant is a 300MW plant following the BOT model. . It is introduced with two GT13E2 gas turbines provided by Alstom. The second stage included a 56MW steam turbine add-on. These are in the straightforward cycle, with the V94.2 turbines provided by Siemens.

Phu My 2-2 is a 715MW force plant. The project is a 20-year BOT project headed by Electricité de France (EDF). EDF put the expense of the project in the locale of \$400m. Different members from the consortium are Sumitomo and the Tokyo Electric Authority Company (TEPCO).

The gas is provided by Petrovietnam, the state-claimed gas company, from the Nam Con Son seaward gas field. Electricité de Vietnam purchases the electricity. The World Bank gave the consortium a £75 million advance for technical support.

The Phu My 3 energy plant is a 740MW incorporated cycle gas turbine office whose cost is assessed at \$412m. Development began in mid-2001. It is completely owned by BP. The long-term help programme (LTP) contract expansion of Phu My 3 was granted to Siemens in January 2013.

EVN granted the \$240m contract for the Phu My 4 energy plant to Siemens, notwithstanding protests that no different tenders were acknowledged. Alstom gave two GT13E2 gas turbines to the plant. In March 2011, the long-term assistance provisions (LTSA) for Phu My 4 and Phu My 2-1 were restored with Alstom for an additional eight years.

In 1995, some 50% of rural inhabitants in Vietnam had access to electricity. That figure today is 90%.

The Azito gas-fired authority project in Côte d'Ivoire

The Azito power plant produces electricity utilizing gas provided from Côte d'Ivoire's seaward gas fields. The facility, close to the country's financial capital, Abidjan, utilizes combined cycle gas turbines.

The plant supplies electricity under a 20-year concession contract with the government of Côte d'Ivoire.

In 1997, the government granted a 24-year BOOT concession consent to a consortium that included Asea Brown Boveri and Industrial Promotion Services West Africa. Electricité de France (EDF) joined the consortium soon after.

The moneylenders included IFC, DEG, FMO, and the AfDB), CDC, and Société Générale. The \$220 million project included a 288MW gas-fired power plant operating in open cycle mode in two phases, which were commissioned in 1999 and 2000, respectively.

The two periods of the plant have been effectively working from that point forward. A third stage was at first arranged and this elaborates the expansion of a 139MW steam cycle to the current gas turbines to change the plant over to incorporated cycle projects. The extension project was intended to permit the generation of around 50% more power with no incremental gas usage, and at a competitive tariff.

Stage III anyway was postponed in light of a rebellion in 1999 and two common conflicts from there on, one that occurred 2002 to 2007, and the second one 2010 to 2011. Stage III arrived at an economic close in 2011 and projects were finished in 2015, empowering the plant to create about half more energy, at a low levy. CIPREL's development was finished in February 2016, almost multiplying its complete capacity.

Together, the two extended plants give more than half of Côte d'Ivoire's generation capacity. The World Bank and IMF additionally upheld the extended project's development, and MIGA gave a break of contract cover to the controlling investor. The good financial management track record allowed the project to attract further investment in 2010, with the acquisition of a controlling interest by 2010, by Globeleq

Generation Holdings (Globeleq) of the Asea Brown Boveri and EDF interests in the plant, leaving Globeleq with a 77% stake.

Established in 2002, Globeleq has become a power industry leader by working or gaining interest in numerous energy facilities across the world. The company is under the ownership of Norfund (30%) the Norwegian Investment Fund for Developing Countries, and CDC (70%), the UK development finance institution (DFI).

The government moved its resources in the energy sector to a different entity (CI-Energies) and established an autonomous controller, Agence Nationale de Régulation de l'Electricité. The network performance held up well and the expansion of access to power, with around 70% of the population having access to electricity today

Stage 4 of the energy plant will see Azito providing around 713MW, which will be roughly 30% of Côte d'Ivoire's introduced limit (Construction review, March 2020). All electricity will be sold to the State of Côte d'Ivoire under a 20-year concession agreement.

The project is being financed on a limited recourse basis with a debt financing package of US \$291m gave mostly by the International Finance Corporation (IFC), the African Development Bank (AfDB), the West African Development Bank (BOAD), the OPEC Fund for International Development (OFID) and a pool of European Development Finance Institutions (EDFIs).

The cross border Southern Africa regional gas project

The Southern Africa Regional Gas Project is the first large scale energy project to capitalize on Mozambique's rich natural gas resources, which were first discovered in 1956 (ICA, 2009). It comprises of an "upstream project", which incorporates the development of the Pande and Temane gas fields in Mozambique, a central training facility, and a "downstream project", which incorporates construction, operation and maintenance of an 865km pipeline to ship the gas to Sasol's Secunda plant in South Africa, with a capital use of roughly \$1bn.

Sasol Limited, a public company listed on the Johannesburg Stock Exchange in South Africa and the New York Stock Exchange in the United States, is the primary sponsor from gas field development in Mozambique to the end client sales in South Africa. It gives full Debt management support to the two project companies (SPT and ROMPCO) through ship or pay arrangements and thusly accepts all project-related business risks as a part of Mozambique political risks. The Mozambique political risk inclusion is given by the Export Credit Insurance Corporation of South Africa (ECIC), MIGA – partially reinsured by SACE of Italy and EFIC of Australia, the World Bank through a Partial Risk Guarantee (PRG), and the European Investment Bank (EIB). The Southern Africa Regional Gas Project is an illustration of an effective cross border exchange despite its complexity in the design and implementation process and highly diverse stakeholder groups. Venture revenues are acquired from the acquisition of gaseous petrol from the pipeline by Sasol in South Africa.

Revenues to the government and state-owned organizations over the 25-year life of the project from 2004–29 are assessed at over US\$3 billion (World Bank, 2018).

A recent report assessed that Sasol's working edge from the project ran between 38% and 52 percent of turnover in 2005–14. A significant segment of the gas was utilized by Sasol's synthetic and gas-to-fluids plants, producing extra development and gets back to these enterprises, remembering venture for a first-of-its-sort gas-to-fluids plant in Qatar. The World Bank caused a significant commitment to assisting with agreement a stable economic development for the \$1.1 billion projects with a relatively limited guarantee exposure of \$30 million.

Renewable Energy Independent Power Producer Programme (REIPP), South Africa

Bidders submit bids to construct and operate renewable energy projects and sell power to Eskom, a 100% state-owned electricity utility, strongly supported by the South Africa government. The technologies used are on-shore wind, concentrated solar, solar PV, and others (1,415MW). The programme covers the country by 28 sites. Each power plant is owned by individual companies or consortium of companies. Income is produced through Power Purchase Agreement (PPAs) with Eskom and the Department of Energy. Total expenses: \$5 billion (Phase 1)

102 independent power projects have been procured from four bidding rounds, with a fifth round expected early 2021 (Hundermark, 2021). Aggregately, the fourth offering round in the REIPPP programme addressed a generation capacity of approximately 2,300 MW and a total investment value of five billion dollars. Arranged roughly 70km southwest of Port Elizabeth, the "Breezy City", the Kouga Wind Farm was one of the projects endorsed during cycle one of South Africa's new Renewable Energy Independent Authority Producer Procurement (REIPPP) programme (volvoce site).

The Kouga Wind Farm's development lifecycle started in December 2011. German wind turbine manufacturer Nordex was chosen for engineering, procurement and construction, which began at the site in March 2013.

The 32-turbine farm at Kouga, operational since March 2015, creates roughly 300 million kilowatt-hours (300GWh) of clean electrical energy each year, reducing greenhouse gases by about 270,000 tons.

The community surrounding the wind farm by about a 50-kilometer radius, owns 26% of it through a community development trust.

Benefits from the wind farm have made it conceivable to supply nearby schools with PCs, urging children to get amped up for instruction through innovation.

Nkhotakota solar plant, Malawi

The Nkhotakota Solar Power Plant, one of Malawi's first business scale-autonomous sunlight based power projects, has gotten confirmation for US\$ 67M economic help

from the African Trade Insurance Agency (ACA) under the Regional Liquidity Support Facility (RLSF), which is intended to cover the late-payment risks of publicly owned power utilities (Development Review, January 2020; ppi.worldbank.org Nkhotakota site).

The solar power plant, which is being developed in two periods of 21 MWac and 16 MWac, is the second environmentally social power project to be upheld by the ATI's RLSF which is giving liquidity cover as long as 10 years. The main venture to profit by RLSF was the 7.5MW Gigawatt Global's sun-based power plant in Burundi which upon completion became the country's first private grid-connected solar plant and the first permanent power station in 30 years.

The Nkhotakota Solar Power Plant project is essential for the Malawian government's arrangement to move the East African country from its dependence on hydropower, which at present addresses more than 90% of its energy mix.

The project stems from the country's first competitive tender in the power sector leading to a 20 year PPA signed between the Project Company and Malawi's national utility, Electricity Supply Corporation of Malawi Limited (ESCOM), in February last year.

The project stems from the country's first competitive tender in the power sector leading to a 20 year Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) endorsed between the Project Company and Malawi's national utility, Electricity Supply Corporation of Malawi Limited (ESCOM).

The global consortium behind the project comprises of two project developers, based in Kenya, responsAbility Renewable Energy Holding (rAREH), primary equity partner providing equity financing, and UAE-based Phanes Group. The two developers are cooperating with the U.S. Worldwide Development Finance Corporation (DFC) which is contributing Debt financing, and Natsons which is the local development partner.

Upon completion, the project is expected to add a significant 37 MWac of clean energy to the national capacity, which is currently estimated at 362 MWac. This will be sufficient to power 150,000 Malawian families.

The Kribi Gas-fired Plant, Cameroon

The project is designed to meet expanding electricity demands and is important for a medium-term key development programme for the supply of electricity in Cameroon (Aitken, 2014).

The Project is situated in the equatorial region of Cameroon. It comprises a 216 MW gas fired power plant,, roughly 9 km upper east of Kribi, and a 100 km long 225 kV transmission line between the plant and the current Magombe 225/90 kV substation at Edéa.

The Project is fuelled by natural gas from the Sanaga sud offshore gas field. Field location is close to the port of Kribi.

Kribi Power Development Corporation (KPDC) is owned by the private company AES Corporation (56%) and the Republic of Cameroon (44%). Cost: \$400 million. Globeleq, a British-Norwegian ompany constructed and launched the gas-fired power plant in Kribi in 2013 and acquired the shares of AES. Kribi Power Development Corporation (KPDC) is now owned 56 percent by Globeleq and 44 percent by the government of Cameroon, and has a generating license for 330 megawatts (miga kribi site). under a 20-year power purchase agreement.

The British investment fund Actis LLP and the Cameroonian government, represented by the Ministers of Finance and Energy, have taken-over all of AES Corporation's assets (AES Sonel, Kpdc and Dpdc) in the electricity sector in Cameroon (amchamcam site).

Concerning the Dibamba and Kribi thermal plants, Actis LLP would manage them through its subsidiary, Globeleq Africa.

Thika Power Plant Project, Kenya

The project comprises the development, and activity of a Greenfield 87 MW heavy Fuel Oil ("HFO") diesel power plant on a 20 years Build-Own-Operate ("BOO") basis in Thika, around 35 km from Nairobi, Kenya (thikaauthority site).

The Project has a long-term PPA with Kenya Power and Lighting Company ("KPLC").

Off-taker: Kenyan Authority Lighting Company (KPLC)

Ownership: Power is a subsidiary of Melec PowerGen Inc., and an affiliate of the Matelec Group of Companies from Lebanon

Cost: \$150 million.

107 MW Gas-fired plant in Mozambique

The 107 MW Gas terminated plant in Mozambique launch by 107 MW Gas terminated Aggreko and Shanduka is a PPA endorsed with Electricidade de Moçambique (EDM), the Mozambique power utility and with Eskom, the South African pwer utility.

The power plant is arranged in Ressano Garcia on the Mozambique/South African line, 90 km northwest of Maputo at Gigawatt Park, an development of Gigawatt Mozambique Target.

Thermal power and hydroelectric power station, Ghana

The 340-MW Kpone Independent Power Plant at Tema Industrial Zone is a SPV cooperation between Bollore Group, Maersk Group, Bank of China, FMO, IFC, Industrial & Commercial Bank of China (ICBC) and Central Bank.

Ghana's thermal plants use a combination of oil, natural gas, diesel, and heavy or residual fuel oil.

The liquefied natural gas terminal supports power generation in the Kpone Power Enclave in the port city of Tema (Africa Renewal, 2017).

The \$600 million PPP project is between Quantum Authority Ghana Gas and the Ghana National Petroleum Corporation.

The country's biggest generation facility is the 1020-MW Akosombo hydropower station. The facility sits at the head of Lake Volta, which turned into the world's third-manmade lake during the 1960s when the government overflowed the Volta Basin to tap its hydropower potential.

Bujagali Hydropower, Uganda

The Bujagali Hydropower plant rides the River Nile somewhere in the range of 8 km downstream from Lake Victoria. Finished in 2012, it is a 250 MW plant that gives up to half of Uganda's energy interest.

AES Corporation proposed in 1994 to build the project the Bujagali I (1994–2003) hydropower plant.

In 2003, notwithstanding, having burned through \$75m on project advancement, AES pulled out of the venture. The site operates and some plant and hardware returned to GoU.

A competitive procurement process took place and the Bujagali II (2003 onwards) project reached financial close in 2008 and began operations in 2012.

The triumphant bid, with a necessary value return of 19%, was made by a consortium led by a subsidiary of the Aga Khan Fund for Economic Development (AKFED), effectively a significant investor in East Africa, with Sithe Global Energy (Sithe) a US authority-project engineer.

The distribution network is operated under a concession with UETCL by Umeme Co. owned by Globeleq.

Bujagali Energy Ltd (BEL) set up by AKFED and Sithe ran an international tender for the procurement of an EPC contractor, which was won by Salini of Italy.

The PPA term was a 30 years from the completion of construction. Debt Finance Raising \$700m of debt for the project came IFC; the World Bank, the European Investment Bank and the African Development Bank (AfDB).

PPP In water

Water supply facilities are appropriate to BOT, DBFO contracts, and Concessions where there is a secured mechanism of user charges. The PPP contract comprises of two sections: an arrangement between a worldwide consortium and the public body and concession consent to operate the water system, under a charge. Veolia and Stockholm International Water Institute (SIWI) assume a significant part in a few SAA nations.

PPPs have existed for a long time in the global water sector (Redolfi, 2004). The momentum PPP arrangement of water concessions in France has been a regular spot for at any rate 40 years. In the UK, numerous districts are currently encountering their third era of PPP-type contracts.

A full scope of PPP structures and customary acquisition courses of action have been applied in the water sector. Water supply facilities are well suited to BOT, DBFO contracts and concessions.

Herewith two successful PPP in Romania and Germany and selected cases in SAA countries.

Apa Nova, in Romania

On March 29, 2000, the municipal government of Bucharest, Romania (Bucharest Municipality), allowed a 25-year assemble restore work move concession for the city's water and wastewater services to the water management firm Apa Nova București (Concessionaire), an subsidiary of Veolia Water (former Vivendi)(ppp.world bank romania site).

The concession covers the treatment and appropriation of consumable water and disinfection services. In 2000 Bucharest had exactly 2 million inhabitants.

Consumable water for the city came from two Water Treatment Plants: Arcuda (745.000 cubic meters/day) and Rosu (520.000 cubic meters/day). At the time the concession was granted the Bucharest sewer network was roughly 2,500 km long.

To back the venture Vivendi and the City of Bucharest applied for a European Bank for EBRD loan.

To reduce the risk of low water demand, the Bucharest WSS concession contract foresaw the possibility to increase tariffs beyond the contractually foreseen increases, if total water use was more than five per cent below water use in the previous year (Apa Nova, 2012).

Apa Nova has managed to improve service more than average while keeping tariffs relatively low, without receiving any public subsidy (Bucharest Municipality, 2015).

In 2020, the agreement was stretched out for a further 12 years, until 2037 (Cristea, 2020). Apa Nova currently manages 2,508 kilometers of water pipes, a 2,380 kilometer-long sewerage organization, three drinking water creation stations (Rosu, Crivina, Arcuda).

BerlinWasser, Germany

In 1999, the State of Berlin incorporated the Berliner Wasserbetriebe into a private sector holding model. The agreement came to among Berlin and the consortium gives that Berlin keeps up control of the company with a 50.1% stake. The minority 49.9% stake is held by three private companies, RWE Aqua GmbH, Allianz Capital Shareholders GmbH, Veolia Deutschland, in equal shares.

The PPP contract comprises of two sections: A joint-venture agreement between an international consortium and the public body as far as the asset ownership is concerned; and a concession agreement to operate the water system, under a €68 million fee.

The joint venture had a series of conditions which, in principle, should have protected both the end consumers, as the tariff was to be kept constant and then reduced; and the employees as layoffs were not allowed. · The contract foresaw an annual constant rate of investment for several years, which ensured the capital inflow necessary to update the existing water system. BerlinWasser had to pay a rent to the public authorities for the concession.

The joint venture has to protect both sides. The tariff was to be kept consistent and afterward diminished; and the employees as layoffs were not allowed. The contract predicted a yearly steady pace of investment for quite a long while, which ensured the capital inflow necessary to update the existing water system. BerlinWasser needed to pay to the public authorities for the concession.

Up to October 2012, the State of Berlin held 50.1 percent value interest in the group, while the companies RWE and Veolia each held 24.95 percent ((bwb site). End October 2012, the State of Berlin acquired the shares held by RWE-Veolia-Beteiligungsgesellschaft mbH and in November 2013 it procured the offers held by Veolia. The State of Berlin in this manner expanded its offer in the Berlinwasser Group from 50.1 % to 100 %.

Safe water strategy for ultra-poor and disadvantaged

From 2011-2015, the Foundation intended to improve admittance to safe water for the super poor and distraught in Ethiopia, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Niger, Mali, India, and Mexico (hiltonfoundation site). Over the five-year initiative, the Foundation's Safe Water strategy focused on supporting sustainable and scalable safe water access interventions and systems.

The Hilton Foundation makes interests in progressing demonstrated provisions and models and in reinforcing water management. The foundation seeks to speed up the coverage of reliable access to safe and affordable water for households, health facilities and schools in Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Ghana, Mali, Niger and Uganda.

Over the five-year initiative, the Foundation's Safe Water strategy focused on supporting sustainable and scalable safe water access interventions and systems.

Generally, 1.9 million consumers accessed an improved water source. Roughly \$57 million was granted to help water provisions.

In 2018, the Hilton Foundation's bilateral development finance was primarily focused on Africa. USD 36.3 million was allocated to Africa (oecd-ilibrary site).

The Hilton Foundation channelled its funds mainly through non-governmental organisations and civil society (60.6%). Other important channels included universities, research institutes or think tanks (26.4%); and multilateral organisations (5.3%).

Water and Electricity Services Provision in Gabon

In 1997, Gabon privatized its power and water utility, Société d'Energie et d'Eau du Gabon (SEEG) ([ifc gabon site](#)). The transaction included full responsibility for future investment, and the first full concession in Sub-Saharan Africa under a contract that introduced coverage targets for expanding service to previously unconnected rural areas.

IFC was the lead advisor to the government in this transaction. The consortium of Compañie Générale des Eaux of France (now Veolia) and ESB International of Ireland turned into a greater part investor with 51% of the capital. The excess 49% were offered to employees and the public in the first public offering in Gabon.

The consortium pledged to more than triple connections over the 20-year concession period and to reduce tariffs by 17.5 percent.

The concession contract covers the creation, conveyance, and supply of drinking water and power in the country, basically in the three principal urban sectors of Libreville, Port-Gentil, and Franceville, which together are home to very nearly 1,000,000 inhabitants.

The concession was renewed in 2017.

SEEG roadmap for the end of 2020 includes several water projects (Africa Energy, 2020). Herewith some of them:

The development of a "Razel" water filtration plant in the more prominent Libreville territory in the communes of Libreville, Owendo, and Akanda.

The installation will supply up to 32,500 households with drinking water, i.e. 130,000 people.

The first “Opalium” water purification plant is located in Ndjolé, a commune in the Moyen Ogooué province in Gabon.

Management of Mitzic, in the territory of Woleu Ntem in Gabon, will likewise be furnished with an "Opalium" water cleansing plant to meet the day-by-day drinking water needs of 2,750 families or 11,000 occupants of a few regions of the city of Mitzic.

PPP in the water sector, Niger

In 2017 Veolia requested Stockholm International Water Institute (SIWI). to assess PPP governance in the area of Water Supply of Niamey (Avello, 2020).

The PPP originates in the decision made in 2000 by the government to take head-on a reform of the urban and semi-urban water supply sub-sector in Niger, and to introduce the private sector in the service provision.

On August 14, 2000, the Law 2000-12 was declared and shapes the premise of the production of SPEN (Niger Water Asset Company) and SEEN (Niger Water Exploitation Company).

In 2001, SEEN was set up under Niger law by Veolia with public and private co-investors: private Nigerien investors (34%), SEEN staff (10%), the State (5%). Veolia holds 51% of SEEN's offers. Accordingly, in 2001, the four central participants in the urban water supply were:

The Republic of Niger, responsible for the sectoral policy of water supply; The Multisectoral Regulatory Authority (ARM), with as mission the application of legislative and regulatory texts, protecting the interests of users and operators; The Niger Water Asset Company (SPEN), a state owned organization, The Niger Water Exploitation Company (SEEN), a private-law organization connected to the State and SPEN by a performance contract, and in charge of operating the assets, of distribution of water supply, and billing the customers.

SIWI drew the following conclusions:

The water sector was in ruin, with +/- half of neglected water and with surveyed to a one-year state financial plan before the PPP.

After 11 years of service, the 2012 assessment reported 84% of citizens served by SEEN as satisfied. The network performance went from 77.55% in 2001 to 84.17% in 2015. Financial equilibrium was found in 2006.

PPP in agri business

The International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) has fashioned partnerships between privately owned industries and groups of limited scope local producers who have an equity stake in the business. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) undertakes an appraisal of PPPs used to improve productivity and drive growth in the agriculture sector in SSA. PPP projects are additionally developed independently from IFAD and FAO.

The International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)

IFAD has upheld three fundamental kinds of PPP models (IFAD, 2013):

- Formal legally binding plans in which privately owned industries work with small-scale producers as per contract cultivating and a long-term business relationship with them.
- Delegation of particular function of the value chain to producers' organizations. Private-sector organizations delegate the management of producer-owned primary processing centres to small producers' organizations.
- Joint ventures between privately owned industries and producers' groups. A new enterprise is set up in which small-scale producers and the private company co-own the business.

Herewith some of the PPPs supported by IFAD.

Securing local energy supply, Burkina Faso and Mali

The programme

In 2015 Mali Biocarburant SA (MBSA) a company producing non-polluting biodiesel from the energy crop jatropha, has set up local foundations in Burkina Faso and Mali.

The main objective of which is to strengthen the capacity of the farmers and their cooperatives and to help farmers add value to carbon credits. The farmers plant and harvest jatropha and their cooperatives sell the jatropha nuts to MBSA, either in Burkina Faso or Mali, where the oil is then separated. The biofuel is sold only to local markets. This biofuel model incorporates jatropha production into the smallholder cultivating framework without making a contention over land utilization among food and fuel creation since jatropha is either intercropped with food crops or developed on useless land.

Role of the IFAD

IFAD helps smallholder farmers cooperate with MBSA in a blend of a joint endeavor and contract to cultivate. IFAD supports a regional partnership development unit aimed at accelerating the consolidation and formation of biofuel foundations in five target countries, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea, Mali and Senegal.

The foundations assist farmers' cooperatives with coordinating jatropha into their creation frameworks and train farmers in improving food creation for more noteworthy food security. Support is also being provided through IFAD-supported projects to strengthen the producers' associations involved.

MBSA Holding was considered as a commonly advantageous venture. There are numerous shareholders, including research foundations, development associations, unions and federations of cooperatives. Producers have an equity stake in the business and mechanisms are in place to ensure a transparent relationship between both parties. Smallholders are among the company's shareholders and are represented on the board.

Role of governments

The two governments offer help as technical services and have started projects to help rural members who wish to set up jatropha businesses, diminishing beginning up costs by giving hardware and sources of info. MBSA operates with in excess of 10,000 smallholder farmers in three regions in Mali and two regions in Burkina Faso. The

smallholder farmers take an interest in value provisions and dynamic processes. The smallholder farmers participate in price agreements and decision making processes.

The results

MBSA focuses on local production, processing and consumption and generation of carbon credit income by farmers by planting jatropha. This income is used to finance the operational costs of the foundation and for other investments in production.

In Mali, land rights are strengthened, given that Malian law grants land rights to those who plant trees.

Increased sales of jatropha nuts and direct benefit from sales.

As shareholders, increased value of shares and dividends. Maintenance of land rights and added value to their own plots and to unproductive land.

Farming and processing, Ghana

The programme

The Northern Rural Growth Programme assists set with increasing contract cultivating courses of action between private shareholders and smallholder farmers. Products include cotton, shea nuts, maize, sorghum, soybeans, butternut squash and groundnuts. The programme offers technical help to work with the out grower schemes; provides improvements in infrastructure, like feeder roads or storerooms, where required by the private shareholders; and builds the capacity of farmers' organizations regarding business development and good governance of their organizations. The programme approaches private shareholders, in light of marketing surveys.

Role of the private sector

The private companies invest in start-up financing, training and monitoring, and guarantee a market for the products. They also carry out specialized training and

monitoring for quality assurance of the produce. Some private firms provide inputs on a loan basis to the farmers.

Role of government

The government gave technical training to the farmers' associations underway, post-harvest management and quality assurance. By mid-2013, around 50,000 farmers had profited by the programme.

The results

Improved productivity, for example a 200 percent increment in yields of maize and soybean and a 140 percent expansion in sorghum yields.

- Increase in amounts and nature of items sold. - Higher prices paid to smallholder farmers
- Employment creation.
- - Sustainable contractual arrangements.
- Community benefits, including new infrastructure, such as feeder roads, warehouses and irrigation schemes
- Improved living conditions, better housing and acquisition of vehicles for farm transport.
- In irrigated areas, improved food and nutrition security and an end to the pre-harvest hunger period.

Northern Rural Growth Programme Total expense: US\$103.6 million Approved IFAD advance: US\$22.3 million Approved IFAD award: US\$400,000 Duration: 2008-2016 12

Smallholder Tree Crop Revitalization Support Project (STCRSP), Liberia

PPP has been initiated between the Ministry of Agriculture, STCRSP and the Liberia Agriculture and Asset Development Company (LAADCO), a private-sector exporter of cocoa and coffee during the time frame 2012-2017.

Role of the IFAD

IFAD supports the restoration of rural road networks for improved admittance to business sectors; the recovery of cocoa and coffee plantations; the private sector to increase production and improve quality of cocoa/coffee sold; the financial management and institutional development of three cooperatives and capacity building to empower the cooperatives.

Role of the private sector

LAADCO is giving technical and extension services and furthermore solid co-financing, over US\$1 million towards supporting smallholder cocoa growers of the three cooperatives in three different regions. The investment includes: human resources through regional teams composed by professionals, agronomists and directors, trucks and motorbikes to support cooperative mobility and transportation, and pre-financing as a working capital for each cooperative.

Role of government

The government is putting resources into restoration of the cocoa fields and has drawn in LAADCO as a key implementing partner for the recovery of an underlying 1,000 ha of cocoa and coffee smallholder plantations. Agreement is in progress between the IFAD upheld project STCRSP, the government and LAADCO to support the recovery of another 15,000 ha. LAADCO has committed US\$5 million for that extension.

The results

- Improved commercialization: as an exporter, LAADCO presents a ready buyer and market for cooperatives and farmers in the project areas.

- Better prices: up to 50 percent above the price of middlemen, who are the only other real market option for farmers.
- Increase in sales volume because of the higher absorption capacity of the private company.
- Product quality upgrades.

Smallholder Tree Crop Revitalization Support Project Total expense: US\$25.0 million
Approved IFAD loan: US\$16.9 million Duration: 2012-2017

Rural Income Promotion Programme (PPRR), Madagascar

PPRR aims at increasing income and food security of the rural inhabitants of the Toamasina Province through partnership between producers/transporters/processors and traders. Term: 2004-2013.

The target of the programme is to improve small-scale producers' market access by developing commodity chains and assisting them.

The programme attempts to overcome obstacles like shrinkage of the local market, dependency on the informal financial sector and a tendency among exporters to be passive.

Support Programme for the Rural Microenterprise Poles and Regional Economies (PROSPERER), Madagascar

The programme

PROSPERER is focusing on strengthening commodity chains by integrating traditional clusters into modern value chains, including silk, honey and fiber production. Through workshops where public and private shareholders meet, issues and requirements in the selected value chains and clusters are discussed and joint solutions sought.

Role of the IFAD

IFAD assumes the part of facilitator in building connections among shareholders, facilitating dialog between the public sector, private companies and rural farmers.

The programme coordinates and carries out capacity-building of farmers and staff of relevant public entities. PROSPERER is likewise offering financial services to small-scale entrepreneurs.

Total expenses: US\$46.4 million Approved IFAD loan: US\$17.7 million Approved IFAD award: US\$288,000 Duration: 2008-2015

Role of the private sector

The private sector invests in productive infrastructure and capacity-building of farmers to increase the value of farmers' output in the value chain.

Role of government

The government's commitment is of US\$5.2 million (18.4 percent) in PPRR. It invests in inputs, in the ability to access markets and in capacity management and technical building.

The results

Herewith the main results:

Rationalization of collection systems, better quality, higher prices, stronger farmers position, Strengthening of farmers' bargaining position, development of partnerships, introduction of new products, more efficient transport, better access to rural credit.

Rural Livelihoods and Economic Enhancement Programme, Malawi

The programme

IFAD collaborates with the government and one private company, Exagris Africa Ltd and the National Smallholder Farmers' Association of Malawi (NASFAM) to create the value chain for groundnuts.

The programme supports the growers to reach the relevant standard requested by the local and international market.

Role of the IFAD

The IFAD, chose two organizations and supported them with funds to help build up the value chain. It additionally provided financing, in the initial three years, for the expense of training the growers, purchasing seeds and developing purchasing centres. IFAD's direction guaranteed that the interests of the private sector dovetailed with those of the growers and that the chosen organizations have preferential access to the farmers' crops.

Complete expense: US\$29.2 million Approved IFAD loan: US\$8.4 million Approved DSF award: US\$8.3 million Duration: 2009-2017

Role of the private sector

The two companies are supporting the growers in order to increase their yields, guaranteeing reduction of aflatoxin levels (a known cancer-causing agent) in the harvests, improving access to certified seed and securing markets for the product.

Role of government

The government manages the extension personnel and programme implementation at the district level and provides extension service workers, who work directly with the smallholder farmers.

The results

Rural people benefit (7,618) more than the principal objective for the programme (5,400).

- In just two years, a development of around half in volumes sold.
- Yield augmentation of around 44%.
- Increase in prices got by smallholder farmers of around 108%.

- Increase in number of farmers gaining access to certified seed.

Agricultural Markets Support Programme (PAMA) and Rural Markets Promotion Programme (PROMER), Mozambique

The programmes

PAMA, supports small and medium-sized rural traders to provide market services to the farmers and make rural products more marketable and profitable. Duration: 2009-2016.

PAMA launched the Rural Traders Development Programme (PDCR), which operates with the foundation of market connections and coordinated exchanging plans, and empowers rural economic establishments to adjust products to the needs of dealers and to set up a sustainable business development service.

PROMER builds on emerging opportunities for both domestic and export markets, to improve the terms of trade for small-scale farmers. The programme operates with private agri-businesses to reinforce their business partnerships with smallholder farmers

Role of the IFAD

IFAD identified private partners to work with and gave training, technical help and coordinating with awards through its loans to help their job as promoting facilitators. It also helped build the capacity of the farmers' organizations. Under the new programme IFAD finances matching grants to agribusinesses and technical assistance to rural traders.

Role of the private sector

The private-sector shareholders participated in the preparation and set up the coordinated effort with the smallholder farmers, supplying market services and building relations with big traders. They additionally settled long haul legally binding

plans with the smallholder farmers under PAMA and keep doing as such under PROMER.

Role of government

The government has adopted strategies to improve agricultural markets in general, has supported PAMA's innovative approach and continues to support market improvements through PROMER.

The results

33,000 farmers benefited from improved marketing services delivered by the participating rural traders.

Higher prices of around 23%, increase in the volume of crops marketed of 100%.
Community-level benefits: group formation, literacy training and development of rural roads.

Smallholder Cash and Export Crops Development Project (PDCRE) and Project for Rural Income through Exports (PRICE), Rwanda

The programme

PDCRE established a partnership between two tea cooperatives and private investors. The tea industrial facilities set up by the private-sector shareholders purchased tea straightforwardly from the cooperatives. A similar model is redeveloped in the new PRICE, which keeps on advancing interest in the recovery of existing tea plantations and the foundation of new ones. Term: 2003-2018.

Cooperatives acquire equity shares of 30 to 40 per cent in factories to be built on four greenfield sites.

Role of the IFAD

IFAD invested in the project by buying partakes in the two teas industrial facilities, assisted the cooperatives with restoring existing tea plantations and built necessary infrastructure. It additionally helped to improve the capacity of the cooperatives to engage with the processors. It also supports the process of obtaining certification for the tea and facilitates access to financial services.

Role of the private sector

The private sector gave the venture to set up the training units and ensures fair costs to the producers' cooperatives providing its tea factorys with green leaves.

Role of government

The government gave land leases to the private sector and cooperatives working on the tea locales and gave sources of info like seedlings and manures, just as technical help, to the cooperatives to improve production and financial management. The government has also invested in rural feeder roads in areas where the factories have been established.

The results

- The first project profited 20,000 tea producers. The new project expects to profit 14,300 tea producers on new and existing locales.
- Price increases resulting in an 11 to 40 per cent rise in farmers' income.
- Developments in everyday environments, particularly boundless home upgrades.
- Employment creation: in one of the project regions alone, the tea organization has made 1,800 new job opportunities.

PDCRE Duration: 2003-2011; PRICE Duration: 2011-2018

***Participatory Smallholder Agriculture and Artisanal Fisheries Development
Programme, Sao Tomé and Príncipe***

The programme

The partnership includes the government, IFAD, the Agence Française de Développement (AFD) and five European companies. One of the main activities of these partnerships is to enhance returns on investments in traditional agricultural value chains (cocoa, coffee, and pepper and other spices) through the use of organic and Fairtrade certification and by linking to European markets. Duration: 2003-2015.

Role of the IFAD

The IFAD-supported programme carried out a study of cocoa prices and introduced organic and Fairtrade certification as a means of obtaining higher prices for the producers. It facilitated the partnership between local government, the private sector and the smallholder farmers and gave assets to nearby government to cover starting investments. It also provided technical assistance to farmers and helped strengthen their organizations. The companies have established long-term contracts with the farmers' organizations.

Role of the private sector

The private sector contributes its technological ability to help improve production and meet quality standard, provide extension services, finance the certification process and undertake to purchase the product. The private companies involved are Malongo Café, KaoKa, Hom&Terre, GEPA and Café-Direct

Role of government

The government gives some financing and technical services. Inside the communities, the government has provided infrastructure such as roads, warehouses, drinking water systems, solar panel installation and nurseries.

The results

Across the four value chains, 5,500 families, or 25,000 to 27,000 people, have profited by the programme.

- In the case of organic and Fairtrade cocoa, up to a fourfold increase in prices.
- Improved incomes and purchase of assets such as bicycles and electric generators for the home.
- Direct and indirect employment benefiting more than 8,000 individuals.
- Access to financial services, inputs, packaging materials, etc
- Access to economic services, inputs, bundling materials, and so forth - Access to business sectors in any case blocked off to smallholders.
- Access to financial services, inputs, packaging materials, etc.
- Access to markets otherwise inaccessible to smallholders.
- Community-level benefits: communities are now able to invest in their own development and that of their associations.

Lower Usuthu Smallholder Irrigation Project, Swaziland

The project

The project has connected smallholder farmers growing sugar cane to the nearby Ubombo processing mill. The growers are organized into associations and submit their property a communally operated and irrigated block farm. Consequently, they acquire a share in the business and receive dividends from profits. Duration: 2004-2013.

Role of the IFAD

IFAD has set up irrigated farming in agricultural production of sugar cane .

It has also mobilized communities, trained them in business and commercial agriculture principles and practices.

Role of the private sector

Ubombo Sugar Ltd. extended its sugar plant capacity with the goal that it can handle sugar cane from smallholder growers. It provides free extension services and inputs at market rates.

Role of government

The government has financed most of the required infrastructure relocation costs and land preparation for 50 per cent of the sugar-growing area. The government also established the Swaziland Water and Agricultural Development Enterprise (SWADE), The government also offers help to smallholders in running sugar can farms. SWADE likewise assists growers with acquiring land-use rights.

The results

Almost 3,000 members from 66 smallholder farmers' associations are straightforwardly furnishing the plant with sugar cane. 34 of these organizations were operational by mid-2013.

The profitability and volumes sold expanded: first harvests were 15 per cent higher than estimated;

Price increases and dividends as shareholders;

Diversification: farmers grow other crops for consumption and commercial sale in addition to sugar cane;

Employment creation: the 34 operational farmers' organizations are providing 360 permanent new jobs and up to 4,000 openings for seasonal labourers.

Vegetable Oil Development Project, Phases I and II, Uganda

The project

The project was intended to mitigate Uganda's reliance on imported vegetable oils by supporting the homegrown production of palm oil. It was the principal illustration of an IFAD-upheld PPP in Uganda.

In its first stage, the project arranged a three sided cooperation between the government, a privately owned business and smallholder growers, to set up a plantation and processing units for production of vegetable oil on Bugala Island in the district of Kalangala.

The partnership was reinforced through interdependence: the palm oil plant ensures a protected market to smallholder producers who guarantee the supply of raw material.

In the second stage, a similar cooperative plan of action is being launched in another location.

Role of the IFAD

Under the primary stage, the IFAD- financed smallholder oil palm development through loans made to the government. It additionally settled a trust to interface the smallholder growers to a privately owned business Oil Palm Uganda Limited (OPUL) and to intervene for their benefit.

Role of the private sector

A conglomerate of private companies set up OPUL in charge of managing the plantation and processing units.. OPUL gives a a ready market and complete support

package to farmers including seedlings, inputs, support with land preparation
Smallholders have a 10 percent share in OPUL.

Role of government

The government gave land on rent to the private-sector accomplice to build up a nucleus estate and also made funds available to smallholder farmers as start-up loans with flexible repayment conditions. The government additionally made upgrades in local infrastructure and services, for example, locale roads and ship transport.

The results

In excess of 1,500 smallholder farmers are straightforwardly associated with this project while 2,000 are utilized at a nucleus estate and 500 as field workers.

Stage I Total expense: US\$60.0 million Approved IFAD advance: US\$19.9 million
Duration: 1998-2011 Vegetable Oil Development Project,

Stage II Total expense: US\$146.2 million Approved IFAD advance: US\$52.0 million
Duration: 2010-2018.

PPPs supported by Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO)

FAO attempted an evaluation of PPPs used to improve profitability and drive development in the farming sector in SSA (Hanshake, 2012). The appraisal focused on 26 cases in five countries: Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria, Tanzania, and Uganda. The cases illustrate how the partnerships engaged the complementary strengths of the various actors and bridged gaps that they would otherwise have faced alone.

Most PPPs include a wide scope of governmental partners at various levels. These include specialized public sector institutions, nongovernmental organizations (NGOs), and private sector participants acting as market facilitators. Where global food

companies are involved, their interest is in product development and improved supply chain.

Olam and Kwara State of Nigeria

In partnership between the privately owned business Olam and Kwara State of Nigeria, rice growers that profited by the PPP activity have recorded an average yield of 3.25 tons per hectare, against the national average of 1.25 tons per hectare. In financial terms, this means an increment in farm profit from \$235 per hectare to \$1,000 per hectare. This partnership what began on only 250 hectares of land in 2007, presently has a space of 5,163 hectares including 3,500 growers from five local governments in the Kwara State of Nigeria.

Cadbury – Cocoa Life, Ghana Cocoa Board

This effort builds on the Cadbury Cocoa Partnership, which was founded in Ghana in 2008 (cocoalife site).

The cocoa partnership in Ghana includes Mondelez International (Cadbury), Ghana Cocoa Board (COCOBOD), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), World Vision, Care International, VSO, Kuapa Kokoo and the Ministry of Employment and Social Welfare. The partnership presently runs in 7 districts in 4 regions,

Launched in 2012, Cocoa Life of Mondelez International (Cadbury) is an extension of the partnership with Ghana is investing \$400 million USD by 2022 to empower at least 200,000 cocoa farmers and reach one million community members.

Cocoa Life helps communities thrive in six key cocoa-growing origins—Ghana, Côte d'Ivoire, Indonesia, India, the Dominican Republic and Brazil.

Cocoa Life farm yields are typically above national averages, +15% in Ghana vs. non-Cocoa Life farms.

Savings and loans groups are increasing community members' savings buffers (+24% in Ghana).

At the end of 2019, there were 50,893 farmers participating in Cocoa Life, across 589 communities.

Mondelēz International empowers people to snack right in over 150 countries around the world. Its main brands are Oreo, belVita and LU biscuits; Cadbury Dairy Milk, Milka and Toblerone chocolate.

It is one of the largest snack companies in the world with global net revenues of \$25.9 billion and employs around 80,000 people.

PPPs supported by other sources

IFC – Kenya, the Warehouse Receipt System (WRS)

After harvesting, the farmer or trader can deposit a commodity in a certified warehouse and then be issued with a document of title called a warehouse receipt (https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/news_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/news+and+events/news/how+warehouse+receipts+can+improve+lives). The farmer or trader can then apply for short-term credit from a participating bank or other financial institution using the warehouse receipt as security for a loan, thus increasing access to finance for farmers.

WRS reduces the pressure on the farmer to sell immediately after a harvest when prices are normally low. While the commodity is in the warehouse, the depositor can monitor the prices and sell when it is favorable, often resulting in a 35-40 percent increase in price. It allows sales to continue over time from one harvest to another, thus stabilizing prices. Importantly, the system drastically reduces post-harvest losses as the storage and care of the commodity is transferred to certified warehouses equipped with appropriate facilities and expertise to ensure quality and quantity. Warehouses in the WRS system have a post-harvest loss of 0.2 percent of commodities, while non-certified government-owned warehouses have a post-harvest loss of 30 percent.

WRS is a PPP between donors, Equity Bank, warehouse operators and depositors, insurance firms, and the National Cereals and Produce Board (NCPB) (Hannington and Kangai, 2013).

A Warehouse Receipt (WR) is a document of **Economic Value** (*representing the monetary worthiness*) and also a document of **Title** (*Proof of Ownership*) issued by the Warehouse Operator/ Warehouse Keeper or Collateral Manager, as evidence that a specified commodity of stated **Quantity** and **Quality** (*Grades*) has been deposited at a particular certified warehouse by a named depositor.

IFC – Uganda, the Warehouse Receipt System (WRS)

The Warehouse Receipt System was established in Uganda in 2006 by the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Cooperatives (<https://www.uwrsa.go.ug>). .

It is governed and regulated through the Warehouse Receipt System Act of 2006 (WRS Act, 2006) and regulations there of 2007. Section 3 of the WRS Act, 2006 provides for the establishment of the Uganda Warehouse Receipt System Authority, establishes the Board of Directors of the Authority.

It is defined as a system where commodities can be used as security/collateral for financing (Inventory Credit). The issuer of the Warehouse Receipt will certify the deposit of commodities, hold the commodity in safe custody until the depositor or a new ascertained buyer claims the goods. This is possible where commodities are deposited in regularly inspected, certified, licensed and regulated storage facilities.

The BIOFIX project, Kenya

BIOFIX is a commercialization PPP which involved licensing of a private fertiliser company (MEA Ltd) to undertake mass-production and marketing of a technological output from University of Nairobi (<http://www.fao.org/3/aq232e/aq232e.pdf>).

. The partnership was brokered by the British Council, which also provided funds in the form of grants, to both University of Nairobi (UoN) and MEA. The PPP exemplified a model for deploying technologies from public research institutions that could spur agribusiness and create employment

Investments Total: US\$200 000. Produced 2 000 kg/year of inoculant.

KEVIAN Mango processing

The partners and their role in this PPP are as follows:

Ministry of Agriculture: Harvesting, post-harvest handling and marketing training

German Technical Cooperation (GTZ): Fund training, equipment, packaging materials, collection centres.

Kenyan Federation of Agricultural Producers (KENFAP): Organize farmers into farmer groups and associations; Training; Assist farmers in drawing up business plans; Networking farmer groups with other stakeholders.

Kevian Company: Quality control; Purchase a fruit processing plant; Organize collection of produce; Promote domestic consumption of fruit juices
(www.fao.org/3/ar848e/ar848e08.pdf)

Partnership duration 2006–2008:

Generally speaking target: Promotion of mango advertising and value expansion/sector development investments

Total: US\$233 411 (Public: 46% – Private: 46% – Others: 8%)

Results

- 2 assortment communities with bungalow industry facilities set up;
- Increased growers' salaries by a normal of 42%;
- Reduced misfortunes at growers level by 40%;

- Reduced wastage up to 40%;
- Employment age (at any rate 7 members at every assortment community).

PPP on cacao processing, Ghana-Chine

PPP for a training plant of cacao at Sefwi-Wiawso, West sector of Ghana contracted between the Ghana Cocoa Board (Cocobod) and China General Technology Group (Genertec). The venture begins in 2020. (COMOD Africa, 2019 September).

Cost \$ 100 million, with the help of the Chinese Agency of worldwide collaboration (CIDCA) and China-Africa Development Fund (CAD Fund). The creation will be sent out to China.

PPP on milk supply, Nigeria

Promasidor Nigeria Ltd buys milk from the state's farm IKUN as per an arrangement between Promasidor and the province of Ekiti. The farm has 1000 ha at Moba. Promasidor restored the farm (COMOD Africa, 2019 July).

Promasidor Nigeria is a part of the South African group, Promasidor and is the greatest supplier of milk in Nigeria.

The organization would utilize around 1,000 Ekiti indigenes when operational.

Promasidor has made an underlying venture of \$5 million in the farm which will be contributed to getting steers to prepare the dairy farm, set up a homestead to deliver feed, and redesign existing structures.

At the full capacity, this homestead will create more than 10,000 liters of milk each day.

PPP Songas Processing Plant, Tanzania

Type of project BOO integrated gas-fired power plant, including (World Bank, 2009):

Construction and operation of a gas-processing facility on Songo Island offshore from Tanzania, 225-kilometer subsea and onshore gas pipeline from the island to Dar es Salaam, and 190 megawatt Ubungo power plant.

Date of Original project: October 2001; Extension project: economic close November 2004.

Capital value Original project: US\$32 million; development project: US\$60 million
Consortium

Ownership: Globeleq (54%); Tanzania Petroleum Development Corporation (30%); Tanzania Electric Supply Company (10%); Tanzanian Development Finance Company (6%).

Globeleq holds the "A" preference shares; "B" preference shares are held as follows: Netherlands Development Finance Company (82%) and Tanzanian Development Finance Company (18%).

Financiers International Development Association and European Investment Bank, both via the government of Tanzania, which on-lent the funds to the project.

PPP In Health

PPPs in health has been one of the more critical medical care changes, speeding up the effective conveyance of medical services at reasonable costs. We present first the fruitful involvement with UK, India, followed by the difficult experience in chose SSA nations.

Health PPPs require a strong support from government and experimented operators in medical specializations and project management. We present herewith two updates fruitful health PPPs one in the UK and the other in India, trailed by problematic involvement with SSA nations.

University College London Hospital (UCLH), London

The UCLH National Health Service (NHS) Trust is one of the UK's biggest suppliers of medical care services, medical research and training (Hamilton, 2012). The Trust incorporates eight medical clinics spread across central London and were housed in old-fashioned, unbendable, and squeezed structures. UCLH was chosen as the private sector accomplice to supplant the old structure and develop a new emergency clinic under the DBFO model with Interserve providing the ongoing facilities services.

It went into a 40 years arrangement under which the structure will be rented back to the Trust in the trade for a yearly expense of £32m. As well as building the new medical clinic, UCLH is liable for the arrangement of non-clinical help services. The services that used to be given at three of eight dissipated destinations got unified into another 669-bed intense clinic in central London.

Because of the new structure, it got conceivable to treat 54,000 patients, 10% a bigger number of patients than used to be dealt with. The PPP choice is 6.7% less costly than the traditional procurement. The UCLH has been one of the largest hospital redevelopment schemes under PFI, at £422 million, which led the opening of over a hundred new hospital schemes across the country.

B. Braun Dialysis Centers, Andhra Pradesh, India

Indian government gives free of charge clinical treatment to patients living beneath the poverty line (BPL) through the Arogyasri health care coverage insurance (Hamilton, 2012). Notwithstanding, while countless BPL patients required dialysis services and many state-run emergency clinics had restricted or no ability to perform dialysis. To address this issue, B. Braun Medical (India) Pvt. Ltd., an auxiliary of B. Braun Melsungen AG, one of the world's driving medical care providers settled in Germany, was chosen to set up and work dialysis centres in eleven tertiary care state-run hospitals on a BOT basis for a period of seven years.

The project was launched in 2010. Consequently, the Indian government addresses the private administrator with a concurred cost for each performed dialysis. 11 hemodialysis centers set up and run by B. Braun together host 111 hemodialysis machines in clinical schools and clinics across the state. There was not an a contractual requirement to transfer the hospital back to the government at the end of the term.

B. Braun set up the centers with a venture of 8,3 million USD. Under the project the government additionally mobilizes patents, whereas the government hospitals provide space, uninterrupted power supply, water supply, clinical nephrologist, clinical responsibility for the patients, as 90% of staff are hired within the state-run hospitals. This project is cost effective.

Lesotho National Referral Hospital (LNRH)

LNRH is venture 18-year contract to design, build, partially finance, equip, and operate a 390-bed public national referral hospital at a greenfield site as well as to refurbish, upgrade, and operate three urban filter clinics (World Bank, 2009). Date of financial close December 2007

Consortium shareholders are:

Netcare (40%), a leading private medical services supplier with projects in South Africa and the United Kingdom;

Excel Health (20%), an investment company for Lesotho-based trained professionals and general practitioners;

Afri'nnai (20%), an investment company for South Africa-based trained professionals and general practitioners;

Mohloli (10%), the investment arm of the nearby Chamber of Commerce;

Basotho Women's Investment Company (10%)

International Finance Corporation; Bank of South Africa

The PPP has a strong support from the government from hospital staff to community.

Queen Mamohato Memorial Hospital (QMMH), Lesotho

A consortium headed up by South African medical care supplier Netcare in 2008 to develop another 425-bed Queen Mamohato Memorial Hospital (390 public + 35 private beds); renovate 3 strategic primary health care clinics in the greater Maseru area; manage facilities and equipment.

Tsepong is owned by five companies, with Netcare the largest private hospital network in South Africa and the United Kingdom, owning by far the largest portion (40 per cent).

Tšepong is responsible for the design, construction, finance and facilities management of QMMH for 18 years. Moreover, it is answerable for all clinical services, clinical supplies and hardware (counting support and inevitable substitution), labs, staffing, and training.

The contract conceals to 20,000 in-patients and 310,000 outpatients. 35 of the beds in the clinic were for private patients who subscribe to clinical insurance and subsequently can bear to pay for a similar treatment however in more agreeable conditions.

As indicated by the IFC report, the Lesotho project was reasonable for the government, on an operational expense correlation; the government doesn't pay substantially more for the PPP than it used to spend on the activity of Queen Elizabeth II. However, it is receiving improved facilities, medical services and patient care. The project has likewise guaranteed the greatest risk move to the private operator, shielding the government from the vast majority of the economic, operational, and lawful risks inborn in a project of this nature.

Negative impact

A report launched in 2014 by Oxfam and the Lesotho Consumer Insurance Association (LCIPA) assessed that in 2013/14 the yearly expense of the new emergency clinic was just about as much as 51% of the total health financial plan and roughly 3 to 4.6 times what the old public clinic would have cost that year. The IFC's own launched study had detailed the year prior to that the PPP was costing the government 41% of its health budget and 2 to 3 times the expense of the old clinic.

The financial structure and price of the contract changed dramatically during the preferred bidder stage but without any competitive tender. The principal results of the progressions were to dramatically increase the capital expense of the venture; increment the extent of private finance in the project from one-fifth to around 66% of capital expenditure, and increased the fees by 42% over the stated affordability threshold.

The entire unitary fee is subject to inflation-related adjustments despite 30 per cent of Tsepong's costs being fixed. The consequence of this 'overindexation' is to make the unitary charge lower in the early long stretches of the contract, yet builds the absolute

installment to be made throughout the span of the contract, with higher yearly expenses as the contract develops.

The unitary charge covers the treatment for up to 20,000 inpatients and 310,000 outpatients. Any patients adjusted in overabundance of these numbers prompts higher installments. The volume of patients has essentially surpassed these boundaries in the entire contract's activity. Charges for overabundance patients presently make up a colossal 19 percent of Tsepong's expenses charged.

Positive aspects

The construction of the hospital was finished ahead of time and on budget. It was delivering services of higher quality with improved health outcomes than the previous hospital.

As per an IFC-authorized investigation, the new clinic has revealed a 41 percent general decrease in the emergency clinic death rate, a 65 percent decrease in passings from pediatric pneumonia, and a 22 percent decrease in the pace of stillbirths contrasted and the old public medical clinic. These upgrades are critical and welcome, albeit dependable correlations are outstandingly hard to accomplish and assist independent information on the framework wide effect of the PPP emergency clinic is long past due.

Improved execution can be ascribed to the condition that the PPP medical clinic acquires and keep up accreditation with the Council for Health Service Accreditation of Southern Africa (COHSASA).

The government has resolved to cut ties with the Tšepong Consortium which has run the Queen Mamohato Memorial Hospital (QMMH) since it opened its doors to patients in 2011.

The parasitic relationship between the government and the Tšepong Consortium has been a cause for concern for many years with the Consortium gobbling half of Lesotho's entire health budget of M582, 1 million, most of which ends up in the pockets of South Africa's major hospital chain, Netcare. Health Minister Semano Sekatle announced the decision at a press conference at his ministry's headquarters in Maseru in March 2021 (Sello, 2021).

Bloemfontein co-location in clinical care management, South Africa

The introduction of PPPs in South Africa has been one of the more critical medical care changes, speeding up the productive conveyance of medical care services at reasonable costs (Health E News, 2007).

The Independent State Pelonomi and Universitas Hospital PPP, has been one of the success stories (Mugwagwa and Banda, 2020).

The three Bloemfontein hospitals, Universitas, Pelonomi, and National, were initially constructed, staffed, prepared, and oversaw independently to serve the white and African American populations.

After 1994, National Hospital turned into a region-level clinic for Motheo health sector, Pelonomi Hospital a provincial emergency clinic for the southern Independent State, and Universitas Hospital a tertiary level emergency clinic for the territory.

This brought about a decrease of beds in the public sector, from 2 100 to 1 600. It likewise implied that some facilities now had excess infrastructure and excess capacity in services such as radiology and intensive care units.

Private medical clinic suppliers had a dire need to build beds in Bloemfontein and applied to the government for private permitting.

In 2000, the Independent State Health Department chose to make wards in underutilized medical clinics accessible to private medical clinics in association with public establishments

They recognized Pelonomi and Universitas Hospitals as appropriate for the foundation of an independent private clinic utilizing surplus framework inside the two organizations, through a PPP.

In November 2003 the Independent State health division went into a long-term concession contract with Community Hospital Management (CHM).

CHM was assigned void wards at Universitas and Pelonomi medical clinics to work a private medical clinic.

The public sector put resources in upgrading facilities and also received a percentage of the turnover generated by the private hospital. The State holds responsibility for the structures after the concession time frame.

The overhauls and remodels to Pelonomi have guaranteed that the structure with such Huge history will keep on enduring. By eliminating the redundant services, this this historically black hospital and Universitas Hospital are able to reach and treat all citizens, without services based on race.

The PPP for Pelonomi and Universitas Hospitals has been successful (esc-pau.fr site).

The PPP had the option to diminish costs for both the FSHD and the private partners. Pelonomi and Universitas Hospitals got the required renovation at no expense to the regional government, which couldn't support the project. The private partner was additionally ready to decrease the expenses of giving private medical services to residents in Bloemfontein since they didn't need to open another clinic. Expenses were diminished and the nature of medical care was expanded because of this PPP.

Research and innovation generation, Zambia

PPP in research and innovation generation, e.g. Global Alliance for Vaccines initiative (GAVI) partnership with Ministry of Health to drive the roll out of the pneumococcal vaccine (Mugwagwa and Banda, 2020).

PPP in infrastructure design and development, e.g. construction of supply chain and distribution regional hubs in Chipata, Mpika, Mansa, and Choma, a partnership between Medical Stores Limited (supported by Global Fund, USAID and European Union).

Public non-profit partnerships in training, diagnostics, insurance, remedial and palliative services, for example, organization between Churches Health Association of Zambia (CHAZ) and the Govt. of Zambia.

Fighting the HIV/AIDS, Volkswagen Group, South Africa

Volkswagen Group South Africa is an auxiliary of Volkswagen Group and has been battling the HIV/AIDS scourge in the Uitenhage locale since 2001 (Demby, 2019).

The group is upheld by the National Union of Metalworkers in South Africa, and it teams up with the German Association for Technical Co-Operation. The's group will likely treat those living with HIV/AIDS and forestall additionally spread of the sickness. For various years, Volkswagen financed the expense of treatment to workers and their families, and they spent in excess of 545,000 EUR on instruction, advising drugs, and treatment.

Last Mile Project, Coca Cola

The Project Last Mile Initiative presented in 2010 is cooperation between the Coca-Cola Company, Coca-Cola Africa Foundation, United States Agency for International Development, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, and the Global Fund to help the circulation of crucial meds and supplies to hard-to-arrive at networks. The project has been established in Tanzania, Mozambique, and Ghana.

The objective of this activity is to support 10 nations in Africa.

In Tanzania, In Tanzania, Coca-Cola, alongside Tanzanian bottling company Coca-Cola Kwanza and Tanzanian Medical Stores Department, started a programme in 2010 to improve the accessibility of fundamental drugs. The programme has brought about the utilization of organization streamlining to expand direct delivery of medication from 130 to 5,000 drop points.

In Mozambique, beginning in 2016, Coca-Cola helped with utilizing GPS information to decide the best locations for intermediary warehouses to store medicines, discover ideal travel routes for vehicles, and adjust delivery such that proper vehicles are deployed depending on the region, terrain, and season. Their work also allowed for economic efficiencies, as the country observed a shift from 148 district depots and 11 provincial warehouses to 30 intermediary warehouses in ideal locations.

Starting in 2017 in Liberia, Coca-Cola utilized information assortment, proof-based estimating, and a coordinated requesting interaction to overhaul the nation's medication store network model. The overhauled framework was roused by Coca-Cola's PreSell model, whereby an assistance professional follows a pre-arranged course utilizing a motorbike and services each of the 51 health offices in the province on a four-week revolution.

In Nigeria and Ghana, Project Last Mile directed exploration to look at the productivity of cold chain capacity with respect to putting away and moving vaccines to those utilized by Coca-Cola bottlers to store sodas. Upon discovering the refrigerators for storing vaccines were more prone to breakdown and were less efficient, Project Last Mile crafted a more proactive national maintenance model for the countries and provided access to their processes, tools, and staff to ensure equipment maintenance and more reliable vaccine availability.

The Lentegour project, South Africa

The Lentegour project includes single PPP for two service areas – recovery and mental consideration – accessible on the Lentegour emergency clinic complex (Heever, 2017).

Restoration services are given by the Western Cape Rehabilitation Center (WCRC) with 208 beds. Services incorporate individual consideration, work appraisal, day-by-day living projects, and socialization.

The services given are Catering Cleaning grounds, Gardens linen and clothing, Pest control, Security, Utility management, Waste administration, Helpdesk services

Lentegour Psychiatric clinic (LPH) is the biggest mental medical clinic in the Western Cape region of South Africa with a limit of 788 usable beds. Of these, 398 are devoted to scholarly handicap services and 390 to mental services. The clinic has 34 clinical units (wards) and 20 non-clinical units (structures). The PPP includes the contracting out of the hard and soft facilities-management services to a private partner (in the form of a consortium) for both rehabilitation and psychiatric services located on the same site.

The contract period is from 2006 to 2018. The reasoning for the PPP was to maintain the central point of emergency clinic management on overseeing patients instead of non-core services.

The services given are Catering Cleaning Grounds and gardens Pest control Security Waste administration Helpdesk services

Biomedical waste management, Burkina Faso

Ouagadougou and Bobo-Dioulasso are supported by the Federation of Professional Associations of Private Health of Burkina Faso (AfDB, 2017). They constructed six incinerators (for the expulsion and cremation of BW) and used 60 tricycles for the transportation of waste.

Aube Nouvelle Sarl was selected and at present oversees BW in private health offices that are members of the FASPB in consistency with the pertinent cleanliness guidelines.

Renal/nephrology and cardiothoracic specialties, Zimbabwe

Chitungwiza and Parirenyatwa central emergency clinics are entering into a PPP for renal/nephrology and cardiothoracic strengths (AfDB, 2017)

Create nephrology services, including transplantation; Resume kidney transplantation services; renal vaults precise data on kidney illness in Zimbabwe; in-administration training for all clinical and para-clinical faculty, and run nephrology centres.

PPP in Education

Governments contract out more efficient private providers to supply education services. We present first the positive experience of Charter Schools (US), School management and school adoption (India) and Academies (England) followed by programs in selected SSA countries.

Enrollment rates stay low in several SSA countries. Low-income families, gender discrimination, rural population and other poor and underestimated groups have just restricted admittance to schooling.

A few nations make a sharp differentiation between the part of the public sector as schooling financier and that of the private sector as instruction supplier. For example, in the Netherlands, education is publicly financed, including private schools, which enroll more than two-thirds of all students (Patrinos et al, 2009). In developed nations, PPP in education is supporting low income populace and immigrants.

African nations have various sorts of private schools, including government-sponsored independent schools (for instance, the Gambia), partially financed mission or religious schools (for instance, Lesotho), and at least partially subsidized community-organized schools (for instance, Kenya).

The private partner gives schooling services such as management, professional, support, operational, education and facility services. These contracts contain rewards and sanctions, include situations in which the private sector shares the financial risk in the delivery of public services. Herewith are introduced, the US, India, and UK successful education PPPs of selected SSA countries.

US Charter Schools

Charter schools initially conceptualized by Ray Budde in 1974, a previous educator, and principal, (in perspective site), are created and operated by organizations other than local school districts. They give independent instruction and like other government-funded schools, can't segregate by race, sex, religion, or inability.

The first charter school law passed in the state of Minnesota in 1991 and in 1992. As of January 2018, laws allowing the creation of charter schools have been passed in 44 states, plus the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and Guam (ecs site).

Organization and management

In 2016–17, around 66% of charter schools were independent schools made and worked by groups or associations like groups of educators, community groups, colleges, foundations, businesses, or religious groups (Koldery, 2005).

Around 33% of charter schools were created and operated by management associations that run different schools. These associations can be not-for-profit or for-profit.

Between school years 2000–01 and 2017–18, the percentage of all government-funded schools in the United States that were charter schools expanded from 2 to 7 percent, and the absolute number of charter schools expanded from around 2,000 to 7,200 (nces site).

During this period, public charter school enrollment expanded consistently, from 0.4 million students in fall 2000 to 3.1 million students in fall 2017. Interestingly, the number of students going to traditional public schools expanded by 1.3 million between fall 2000 and fall 2005, and afterward diminished by 0.7 million between fall 2005 and fall 2017, for a net increment of 0.6 million students.

Private operators manage charter schools independent of state or district-level control. As a trade-off for operational financing on a for every student premise, the schools are straightforwardly responsible for meeting quality prerequisites determined in a contract or 'charter' conceded by an approving body (Chaudhry and Uboweja, 2014).

Just 10.6% of schools were existing public schools prior to being charter school.

The majority of states have less than 10% of schools operating on charters, although some like Arizona have 23% and the District of Columbia has 44%. Across the state

of Louisiana, only 7% of schools are chartered but in New Orleans, its largest city, almost 80% of schools operate under charter contracts.

40% of charter schools are elementary schools, whereas only 18% of charters are middle schools and 24% are high schools.

Impact of charter schools

The most prominent study of charter school performance is the four-year Stanford CREDO study which used a large-scale dataset that ‘matched’ similar students in public and charter schools across 27 states (Woodworth and Raymond, 2017).

The examination shows that charter schools advance the learning gains of their students more than traditional public schools in reading and result in comparable learning gains in maths. When looking at school execution, most of the charter schools perform at a comparable level to the public schools. Between 25% and 29% of schools did significantly better, and between 19% and 31% did worse, depending on the subject used to assess performance.

Charter schools can't charge student expenses. They receive between 60% to 100% of the operational funding granted to public schools, calculated on a ‘per-pupil’ basis.

Schools fill the non subsidizing gap through altruism or by discovering approaches to bring down costs. Some states provide buildings for charter schools. For example, New York City provides charter schools with lease-free portions of schools that have falling enrolment. This is known as ‘co-location’.

In other states, school operators are able to fund schools through mortgages or local government capital grants.

School management and school adoption, India

PPP models of school management and school adoption present a significant opportunity in India, especially in urban areas with under-utilized government schools. In both models, private operators have autonomy to implement changes in the schools to improve their quality (Chaudhry and Uboweja, 2014).

School Management Model

The Indian government gives the school infrastructure and cover a part or all expenses on a per student basis, while the private operator has full operational autonomy to manage the school.

The high degree of autonomy enables the operator to innovate in pedagogical design and hire quality educators. Providers such as Akanksha, Muktangam, Aseema and Education Foundation are operating 20 schools in Mumbai following this model of PPP implementation. In addition, there are around 50 schools in Rajasthan, most of which are operated by the Bharti Foundation.

School Adoption

The private operator partners with the government provide educational inputs in government-run schools that retain existing teachers. The private operator receives a fee from the government for providing services. The educational inputs and services may be in the form of capacity building, performance management and governance support. The private operator receives varying degrees of operational autonomy with regard to teacher training and performance assessment. In Mumbai, the Naandi Foundation and the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) have a contract for school adoption PPP implementation.

The private administrator shareholders with the government give educational contributions to government-run schools that hold existing educators. The private administrator gets a charge from the government for offering types of assistance. The educational data sources and services might be capacity building, performance management and governance support. The private operator receives varying degrees of operational autonomy with regard to teacher training and performance assessment. In. In Mumbai, the Naandi Foundation and the Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai (MCGM) have a contract for school adoption PPP implementation.

Under certain contracts, the administrators may likewise be permitted the adaptability to employ educators if there should arise an occurrence of a deficit and give an exhibition-based financial motivator to the instructors.

Academies, England

Beginning in 2002, England instituted ‘academies’, schools independent from local government and freed of constraints such as teacher pay regulation and national curriculum (gov UK academies site)

Academy trusts are not-for-profit companies. They employ the staff and have trustees who are responsible for the performance of the academies in the trust. Trusts may run a solitary institute or a group of foundations.

A few foundations are upheld by supporters like organizations, colleges, different schools, confidence groups, or deliberate groups. Sponsors work with the academy trust to improve the performance of their schools.

In 2002, institutes were made distinctly in territories with high levels of deprivation. Since 2010, any school rated as ‘good’ or ‘outstanding’ by England’s independent inspectorate was able to ‘convert’ to academy status.

By January 2014, the 3,500 academies made up approximately 21% of all schools. Secondary schools have especially high conversion rates with more than 50% operating as academies.

The Academies Annual Report 2011-12 notes that sponsor academies (those which replaced a previously failing school) are improving faster than similar schools that remain under local government supervision.

In 2015, the Department for Education (DfE) embraced two models: A ‘sponsor-led’ chain involving at least five academies linked to the same approved sponsor (West and Wolfe, 2018). There may be a number of SATs [single academy trusts], may all be in Multi-Academy Trusts (MATs), or may be a combination of SATs and MATs or A ‘non-sponsor led’ chain comprising five or more academies in a MAT.

In April 2018, the authority DfE data set of independently financed schools recognized 1,840 single academic trusts (SATs), 1,476 MATs, and 1,012 School

Sponsors (these support an underperforming academy/group of academies) (McIntyre and Weale, 2019).

GPE's Multiplier, SSA

GPE, a US NGO, delivers funds and supporting solutions to build strong and resilient education in the most unfortunate nations (globalpartnership site).

GPE operates with near 70 low income nations to help them to develop more effective education systems.

Since 2015, the grants disbursed by GPE have amounted to the cumulative cost of supporting 24.8 million students: 22.6 million in primary school and 2.2 million in lower secondary, including 11.8 million girls. We present herewith GPE activities in selected countries (GPE, 2020).

Since 2015, the awards dispensed by GPE have added up to the total expense of supporting 24.8 million students: 22.6 million in primary school and 2.2 million in lower secondary, including 11.8 million girls. We present herewith GPE projects in chosen nations (GPE, 2020).

Central African Republic

By mid-2018, around 688,000 Central Africans were inside uprooted and 546,000 were evacuees in adjoining nations. Considering this long-standing emergency, a GPE execution award (2014-2018) through UNICEF upheld the government to re-establish its schooling framework. The GPE-upheld project centered on 12 of the prefectures generally influenced by the contention. The nation effectively restored 110 schools that had been harmed during the contention.

This resulted in access to secure, standards-compliant schools for an estimated population of more than 50,000 students in the prefectures targeted. The project also financed remedial classes for children previously released from armed groups.

Services staff were prepared on the arrangement of schooling reaction in crisis circumstances. Toward the finish of the GPE project period, 228,400 extra children were tried out elementary schools across the 12 recipient prefectures.

Zimbabwe

In Zimbabwe, under 10% of children with inabilities are enrolled in school. To address this, the current GPE-subsidized programme (2016-2021), through UNICEF, has an assortment of measures to extend educational opportunities for children with unique needs. It upholds the foundation of an early screening cycle to distinguish children who have or may have learning difficulties, the advancement of an information base of children's help needs, and the arrangement of training for educators to help children with disabilities.

Benin

Benin halved its pupil–trained teacher ratio (PTTR) in the past decade, from 110 in 2009 to 56 in 2018.. During this period, GPE furnished Benin with two implementation grants, adding up to US\$117 million. These awards firmly added to instructor preparation. With GPE's help, roughly 63,000 instructors got training to improve educational abilities and 8,000 local educators obtained teacher certification through a three-year training course.

Ethiopia

Ethiopia faces a huge deficiency of prepared educators. In 2006, just 3 percent of lower primary (grades 1-4) teachers were qualified. In 2013, the extent of qualified educators in lower primary had expanded to 44 percent. For upper primary (grades 5-8), the extent of qualified instructors rose from 53% in 2006 to 92 percent in 2013. Later GPE awards (2014-2019) kept on financing instructor training, with 165,000 in-service teachers s finishing their upgrading programs.

Mozambique

As a team with other shareholders' financing, the GPE award has been supporting the government's endeavors to improve to improve the supply of quality teachers for over a decade. 44,734 primary instructors were prepared from 2011 to 2018.

Zambia

From 2013 to 2018, GPE added to a sector spending support programme that upheld the government's push to build the number of qualified instructors.

Mali

In Mali, the GPE-upheld project (2013-2017) carried out a virtual private network (VPN) component that helped the government gather and share education data with central bodies. The VPN framework was demonstrated particularly fitting given the country's large territory.

Kenya

The GPE-supported project (2015-2019) pointed toward reinforcing educational capacities. In 2016, only 60 percent of primary schools were involved in the EMIS data collection; by 2018, 95 percent of the schools were involved.

Swiss-based Jacobs Foundation, Côte d'Ivoire

More than 1 in 5 primary school-aged children do not participate in the formal education system. One of reasons is that nearly 800 000 children are assessed to be occupied with perilous work in cocoa creation (Vigani and De Lameillieure, 2021).

In 2015, the Swiss-based Jacobs Foundation launched its biggest ever country programme in Côte d'Ivoire, Transforming Education in Cocoa Communities (TRECC), blessed with CHF50 million (roughly US\$55 million) to contribute to systemic change through quality primary education and early childhood development.

Twelve companies and two philanthropic foundations, UBS Optimus Foundation and Bernard van Leer Foundation, joined the TRECC program.

In the course of the most recent five years, a helpful, trust-based strategy discourse was set up and sustained with the service of National Education and other line services associated with the TRECC programme.

Expanding upon the accomplishments and learning, in 2020 the Jacobs Foundation launched, and gave seed financing to, another activity, Child Learning, and Education Facility (CLEF).

CLEF is a pooled financing facility with an objective capitalization of CHF110 million (ca. US\$ 120 million) lined up with the national education sector plan and designed to combat child labor by promoting effective learning at scale, starting with areas of greatest needs in cocoa-growing regions.

The government, 14 cocoa and chocolate companies, and two foundations have effectively dedicated themselves to supporting the facility. It has an essential spotlight on improving central literacy and numeracy abilities for 5 million children at the primary level.

It will likewise speed up interests in the school foundation with 2,500 new classrooms. Parental mindfulness for training will be upheld with up to 10 million parents centered through the activity.

On account of Côte d'Ivoire, the expertise and additional funding from the CLEF organization empowers the government to get to US\$13 million from GPE's Multiplier. The Multiplier fills in as a key catalyst for CLEF's realization as it provides additional co-financing to advance foundational literacy and numeracy, a key priority within the country's education sector plan.

Joining CLEF's assets and aptitude with GPE's Multiplier likewise suggests better arrangement between various shareholder plans.

The consolidated effects of school terminations and the unfurling economic emergency welcomed by COVID-19 take steps to move back twenty years of

instruction progress. The requirement for inventive public-private associations, that public financing at scale has never been more prominent.

BRIDGE

Jay Kimmelman, and Dr. Shannon May co-founded Bridge (BRIDGE, 2020). Bridge runs or supports nursery and elementary schools in Nigeria, Kenya, Uganda, India, and Liberia where networks live on under \$2 per day per individual.

The extension utilizes top to bottom educator training and support, progressed exercise plans, and remote innovation to give students significant and groundbreaking schooling. It runs or supports more than 1,500 schools and has instructed 1 million children. Most of the children upheld by Bridge today are in public schools.

Bridge's instructional method authorities throughout the planet are centered around how children get the hang of, tweaking, adjusting, and repeating projects and educator training in the light of information and proof, to ensure children learn however much as could reasonably be expected, adjusted to nearby in-country public educational programmes.

Nigeria Bridge International Academies

In Nigeria, 2020 is the second back-to-back year that Bridge people group school students sat the Common Entrance Exam (bridge exam site).

Altogether 112 students sat the test (58 young ladies; 54 young men) from probably the impoverished communities in Lagos Nigeria including Ikorodu and Awoyaya. 62% of Bridge students outperformed the Lagos State solidarity school remove mark. Bridge students beat the public pass rate by 23 rate centres.

In Edo State in Nigeria, in 2016, the Edo schooling board, EdoSUBEB, state of education forum commissioned to identify key challenges in education in Edo state and to brainstorm potential solutions through developing a comprehensive educational strategy (Abdo, 2019).

The result of EdoSUBEB's discussion was EdoBEST, a lead schooling activity launched in 2018 that plans to change the public education system and improve learning results through five mainstays of work: governance, teacher training and development, community partnerships, infrastructure, and curriculum development. BRIDGE was selected as a technical partner in EdoBEST.

As a technical accomplice in EdoBEST, BRIDGE centers around two center projects to improve schooling in Edo State: innovation framework and instructor preparation. The extension has carried out the utilization of tablets for instructors and cell phones for head educators to improve the instructional method, exercise content/development, and school oversight. The school proprietorship is divided among government, schools, and educators

EdoBEST has been carried out in 846 primary schools profiting 270,000 students in a little more than one year. The instructor training programme has effectively prepared more than 11,000 government educators and head teachers in promoted abilities and center capabilities and has upheld the development of instructor readiness and responsibility in the Edo State schooling framework.

Key outside shareholders Godwin Obaseki is the Executive Governor of Edo State. The Edo State Universal Basic Education Board (EdoSUBEB).

Students who were going to EdoBEST schools had a sped-up learning stage wherein the initial over two months of the programme, they had the option to cover what could be compared to 70% of a whole year value of guidance in English and 65% in Math.

There was a decrease of 16% in the utilization of whipping, chiding, or putting down of the students.187 instead; uplifting comments were utilized to enhance student conduct.

Liberia Education Advancement Programme (LEAP)

The outsourcing of the Liberian public pre-primary and primary schools to Bridge International Academies (BIA) for a one-year pilot programme through a PPP

contract was the first step in what was known as the Partnership Schools for Liberia (PSL), recently renamed Liberia Education Advancement Programme (LEAP).

The programme has gotten subsidizing from several large corporations, investors, and development partners including the WBG's International Finance Corporation (IFC), the UK's Commonwealth Development Corporation, with assets from the Department for International Development (DFID), and the Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC).

Launched in September 2016, the first period of the PSL pilot comprised of 93 schools with an expected 20,000-40,000 children, which were operated by eight private actors. BIA got the biggest number of schools (25) without a serious determination measure. The pilot was to run for a very long time and it was to be remotely assessed through a randomized controlled preliminary (RCT) by independent evaluators.

The expense of the PSL pilot in year one was US\$3.9 million, of which US\$2.5 million required outside financing. The equilibrium comes from government subsidizing. At first, a choice to grow the PSL was reliant on the findings of the RCT during year one. In February 2017 the Minister of Education reported 100 new PSL schools for year two starts in September 2017. This has concerned PSL guides, who cautioned against scaling up before the arrival of proof from the assessment in August 2017. The Minister likewise continued to distribute BIA the most elevated number of new schools (43) in the second year of the PSL programme, giving it an aggregate of 68 schools, while the following greatest operator (BRAC) has 33 schools in total.

Excessive expenses and poor value for money the project ended up being too expensive for the Government to maintain. The PSL is probably going to have cost more than US\$25 million for the three-year time frame.

The PSL has been criticised for failing to include the most vulnerable and disadvantaged communities. The autonomous evaluators tracked down that the contracts approved BIA to push overabundance of students and failing to meet expectations instructors onto other government schools. 74% of educators at BIA schools were terminated, which was an infringement of the programme's level headed

to prepare and oversee existing government instructors. Likewise, capacities on class size were approved by contracts, yet were by and large not implemented in the government-funded schools or by project workers other than BIA.

Subsequently, the number of students who took on BIA schools decreased, while enrolment in adjoining schools expanded pointedly, further troubling a generally extended framework. BIA's operations therefore directly undermined neighbouring schools. Not all students barred from the BIA schools had the option to get provisions in different schools as adjoining schools were at that point full and those avoided couldn't venture to every part of the significant distances to get to different schools.

Working conditions for educators in BIA schools are poor. The issues incorporate deferred installment of pay rates, the instructor moves to far-away places without resettlement bundles, and deficient pay. Given the high joblessness rate in Liberia, educators have needed to acknowledge the conditions.

Liberia Rising Academy Network, a low-cost private school operator, runs almost 30 state-funded schools in Liberia under LEAP and spotlights on viable educational plans and thorough educator instructing. Scaled from 1,100 students in 2016 to 6,500 students in 2018.

EducAid — Quality Enhancement Programme for Education (QEP4E), Sierra Leone

Established in Sierra Leone in 1994, EducAid means to improve the country's schooling quality (Abdo, 2019; educaid site). The association runs an organization involving 15 independent schools, independent training programmes for the local sector and government schools, and tertiary projects for their alumni. QEP4E trains teachers and staff from partner schools in child-centered and girl-friendly pedagogical methods and school management best practices.

650 instructors and 45 school pioneers have been prepared, 100 schools, and 31,000 offspring (of which more than 40% are female) have profited. The schools are owned by the government and community.

A group of 60 staff that incorporates school showing staff, directors, and four coaches has prepared almost 650 educators and 80+ school pioneers.

The normal scores for the National Primary School Examination (NPSE) expanded by 14.4%. Normal NPSE results saw an almost 26% increment in girls results during 2017-19 (contrasted with about 14% generally).

For the BECE, there was an expected 28% increment for girls (contrasted with about 19% generally). Average girls' attendance at primary schools grew from 42% in 2016 to 72% in 2019 while the attendance of girls in secondary school increased from 39% to 60%.

BRAC Education Programme

Stichting BRAC International was set up in 2009 as a non-benefit establishment in the Netherlands to oversee and deal with all BRAC bodies outside Bangladesh (brac site).

BRAC Education is an NGO that arrives at children who exited or never incorporated up with grade school. It gathers the essential educational programme, permitting students to take public optional school tests. The programme is run by local educators, the dropout rate is beneath 5%, and it arrives at more than 1.6 million students.

In Liberia, BRAC operates 33 public elementary schools in cooperation with the government and 30 local sector-based youth development centres.

In Sierra Leone BRAC operates 160 young adult clubs to bring issues to light and give a place of refuge to young ladies.

In South Sudan before downsizing, BRAC moved in excess of 6,000 school graduates into state-funded schools. In 2017, BRAC consented to provisions with The Women Union, Madral Development Organization, and State Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry to give occupation preparation to 300 girls through juvenile clubs.

In Tanzania BRAC youth advancement programme caters children matured 3-5 from low-pay families in Dar es Salaam, Tanga, and Mbeya sectors. The organization utilizes play-based learning strategies that animate children's intellectual, physical,

emotional and social development. The organization upholds girls who had exited school partially through lower-optional training to get back to class through a methodology called the accelerated learning programme (ALP).

In Uganda BRAC in collaboration with the Mastercard Foundation Scholars' Programme has upheld secondary school completion of 3,245 researchers through the Education programme. 5,379 researchers were reached since the beginning of the venture. The ECD programme upholds quality learning of 6,935 children, who are matured 3-8, through 111 Play Labs. The Youth Empowerment programme assists 19,122 adolescent girls and 525 young men with social empowerment skills including sexual reproductive health rights, gender based violence preventions, life skills.

Impact of the programme:

- Students in BRAC schools scored 35% higher in both science and English contrasted with public schools (Evaluation of local sector-based schools in South Sudan, 2012)
- Changed young ladies' self-insights, certainty, and demeanor towards sex standards inside the family (Girls' Education Challenge Project, RCT results, Tanzania, 2016)

AGE Africa, Malawi

AGE Africa's scholarship fund was launched in 2005 because of the mind-boggling need for economic help for young ladies' schooling (ageafrica site). In Malawi, just 6% of girls complete their secondary education and 50% become child brides.

AGE Africa supports disadvantaged girls in rural Malawi to complete secondary school with scholarships, an extracurricular life skills program, and post-secondary assistance to pursue higher education or income-generating activities.

AGE Africa operates intimately with the government to recognize populaces out of luck and acquaint the programme with schools the nation over. Every year, AGE

Africa operates with in excess of 500 girls through its grant store or extracurricular programme.

Scholarship fund grants cover the expense of educational cost just as backhanded school charges for outfits, transportation, tests, school supplies, food, and individual cleanliness products.

More than 90% of AGE Africa scholars complete secondary school.

More than 90% postpone pregnancy and union with well past their eighteenth birthday celebration

Creating Healthy Approaches to Success (CHATS) - First steered in 2010, CHATS is a 3-year extracurricular programme an original life skills and leadership curriculum. Talks are co-worked with by students and personnel and the public to all girls in each taking part school. The educational plan covers various subjects, including self-advocacy, leadership development, sexual and reproductive health, organization and study skills, and entrepreneurship. Nearby people groups and business pioneers much of the time fills in as visitor speakers to create girls aware of opportunities for their future.

AGE Africa trains both students and staff to fill in as coaches for the programme. AGE Africa additionally collaborates with nearby associations to help present and run CHATS in schools that don't partake in the scholarship fund.

The third year of the CHATS centers on an extensive business venture programme where girls acquire central abilities for beginning and keeping up independent companies. Girls at that point have the one-of-a-kind chance to try their learning by beginning their enterprising endeavors and drawing in with the local sector. Through the business and local sector commitment parts of CHATS our scholars are making a business opportunity for themselves within and beyond their communities.

War Child, Sudan

Samantha Nutt has worked in combat sectors for the greater part of her life (warchild.ca site). She established War Child Canada in 1999 subsequent to filling in

as a youthful specialist with children confronting the viciousness and surrenders all expectations regarding war. For a very long time, the association has pushed for children and families in war-torn regions throughout the planet.

Since the first programme 20 years prior, the association has grown to reach more than 600,000 members yearly around the world. Every one of its drives is established in local, local sector-driven projects.

War Child Canada's Sudan project has straightforwardly arrived at 29,456 learners and an expected 211,330 indirect beneficiaries in West Darfur since 2005.

War Child in Sudan executes Accelerated Learning Programmes (ALP's) offering to out-of-younger student's quick-moving coursework in different subjects in anticipation of their reemergence into the education system.

Educator trainings and workshops including the formalized Training of Trainers (TOT) programme outfits imminent instructors with the fundamental abilities to work in their individual regions. The Ministry of Education offers accreditation for TOT and educators who complete the programme are qualified to be employed by the government.

Renovation and maintenance of schools and classrooms provides necessary physical education infrastructure.

War Child additionally outfits its redesigned schools with vital supplies and hardware. Working with the advancement of parent-educator affiliation (PTAs) helps bring issues to light of kid rights, sex communication, kid security, and sex based brutality that keeps undermines training.

Casual instruction programmes incorporate youth development and professional training. Local sector based learning accumulates casual help for youth "advisory groups" across the territory of West Darfur to improve peace promotion abilities and perspectives among members. The educational programme utilizes the "Adolescent to Youth" (Y2Y) strategy and unites youthful to distinguish shared issues and create shared provisions. The Y2Y educational programme incorporates courses like

Conflict Management and Peace-Building, Reproductive Health, Human Rights and Insurance, and Effective Communication for Peace.

Construction and maintenance of youth centers along with the issuing of small grants allow youth to take ownership of their committee events and meetings.

Sporting projects energize harmony and sex uniformity through arts, sports, and other relaxation activity.

Professional training in exchanges like workmanship, food handling, carpentry, sewing, metal work and shoemaking grant substantial abilities upon students and make them more employable.

Agricultural training such as the distribution of seeds and tools, vaccination and protection of livestock, rehabilitation of wells and other community water points, along with other modern agricultural techniques, is offered to students at demonstration farms and in field schools.

Educate! Uganda, Rwanda, Kenya

Educate! is a not-for-profit organization working with instructors and youth tutors to provide entrepreneurship, leadership, and workforce readiness skills to African youth who start real businesses at school (experience site).

In 2018, Educate! launched its first test case programme in more than 60 Kenyan schools in close cooperation with the Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development (KICD) (globaled site).

Educate! has impacted both Rwanda and Uganda's public educational plan. Students' post-graduation wages are twofold that of nonparticipants', and they are 64% more likely to start businesses.

The organization is partnering with schools and governments to reform what schools teach and how they teach it (Ndangira, 2019).

The program cooperates with existing secondary schools and trains teachers.

Students utilize the abilities they figure out how to find a new line of work, start a business, and succeed in work and life.

Program content includes: creativity, problem solving, networking and relationships, opportunity identification and project management.

In 2019, the programme worked in more than 400 secondary schools in Rwanda, 60 pilot schools in Kenya, and more than 800 schools in Uganda coming to more than 42,000 students intensively and 530,000 all the more extensively. The model has demonstrated to have a positive effect. Students acquired twofold the pay of a benchmark group and had a 44% increment in business creation. Girls accomplish much more prominent outcomes. Girls earn 244% more than their companions who didn't finish the programme and have a 91% increment in business ownership. The team includes almost 200 staff and almost 300 volunteer youth mentors.

Universal Secondary Education (USE) Programme, Uganda.

In February 2007, the Government of Uganda presented the approach of Universal Secondary Education (USE) (LaRocque, 2008).

The government pays a subsidy for each student enrolled in eligible private secondary schools. Participation in the USE programme is limited to private secondary schools in sub-counties that are not served by government-aided or public schools.

Participating schools are chosen by Ministry of Education and Sports. A Memorandum of Understanding is signed with individual private schools to ensure compliance with the policy's implementation guidelines for private schools. In 2008, there are nearly 430 private secondary schools – serving around 56,000 students – taking an interest in the USE programme. These figures are up from 363 schools and 42,000 students in 2007.

In 2016, in excess of 460,000 students – or 28% of every secondary student – were incorporated up with USE tuition based schools. Over the past three years, EPRC has

conducted research on one network of private secondary schools that has been part of the USE programme, the NGO Promoting Equality in African Schools (PEAS).

Since 2008, PEAS has opened 28 secondary schools in 21 regions that serve predominantly rural communities. In the investigation, the values and learning results of students in arbitrarily chose PEAS schools were contrasted and those of students in government and other non-public schools.

The outcomes showed that PEAS schools have extended admittance to optional tutoring by setting up schools in poor and far off sectors, and by permitting more liberal PLE remove scores on enrolment. At every assessment point, it was discovered that essentially, more PEAS students came from the most unfortunate families contrasted with students in public and non-public schools.

PEAS schools likewise conceded up to this point scholastically feeble students – the normal Primary Leaving Exam (PLE) score for PEAS students was fundamentally worse than that of public and private students.

Regardless of their weakness, PEAS students performed similarly just as different students on the National Assessment for Progress in Education (NAPE) English and Mathematics tests. Among the more fragile students – the members who scored Division 3 or 4 at PLE – PEAS schools were the best at raising their scholarly accomplishment levels contrasted with government and non-public schools.

Student results are linked to strong school management, and positive teacher and student support systems. Instructors at PEAS schools had more sure convictions about how the community views their schools, about their management, about their readiness to teach the curriculum, their degree of training, their compensation and conditions – in spite of being paid not as much as educators in public schools – and the timeliness of their pay.

The leadership model adopted by PEAS schools focuses on regular coaching and support to teachers, and ensures community ownership.

In January 2018, the Ministry of Education and Sports declared the phase out of the Universal Secondary Education (USE) Public-Private Partnership (PPP) programme, which had supported students for more than 10 years (Senkasi, 2018).

Children's Investment Fund Foundation (CIFF), Kenya

CIFF was set up in 2002 by Chris Hohn and Jamie Cooper (ciff site). The prime supporters set out to improve the existence of children living in poverty in developing nations through methodologies that have enduring effect.

In 2014, CIFF and Kenya government presented a test case programme for children matured four to six who were selected both public and private schooling (Ngware et al, 2016). The programme, named "Tayari" after the Kiswahili word for "availability," is a cost-effective, scalable" program (RTI International, 2018).

The Tayari preschool programme is an early childhood education (ECE) model carried out by the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) and evaluated by the APHRC. The programme, running from 2015 to 2018, intends to build up a practical, versatile models of ECE that guarantee 3-6 years of age children in Kenya are intellectually, truly, socially and sincerely prepared to begin and succeed, in primary school.

The Tayari model targets preschools in both public and low-cost private centres (LCPC) in three counties of Laikipia, Nairobi and Uasin Gishu (aphrc site). The mediation comprises of four key classroom guidance parts: student materials, educator guides, instructional training, and progressing training support. What's more, the guidance materials will coordinate psychosocial and health/sustenance segments. The mediation profited more than 75,000 children in 1,500 ECE centres across Kenya by 2018.

It incorporates a learning model to help children acquire mathematical, reading and even emotional development skills.. Educators get explicit training, aides and materials. Notwithstanding explicit showing styles and an unbending educational plan, children are instructed about smart dieting and individual cleanliness, explicitly the significance of handwashing.

As the programme's outside evaluator, The African Population and Health Research Center (APHRC) job in Tayari is to survey the effect of the programme on getting

ready children for elementary school, the expense viability of the programme, and the manageability of the programme.

The Tayari mediation contains (Ngware et al, 2018):

- Training for District Center for Early Childhood Education (DICECE)
- Teacher Support and training with an emphasis on expanding dynamic learning and instructional time
- Providing books and educators' advisers for students and instructors
- Health support gave to Early Childhood Development and Education (ECDE) centres

Around 61-65% of the educators in public habitats announced the utilization of Kiswahili with the rest of the utilization of first language or English as the language of guidance. The utilization of Kiswahili was likewise basic among educators in Alternative Provision of Basic Education and Training (APBET) centres particularly among instructors in the T2 group (76%), as was the utilization of English, particularly among instructors in the benchmark group (51%). In any case, in APBET centres, no instructor revealed utilizing mother language.

The first treatment group (T1) received a package with two components – namely teacher training and support, and DICECE/Coaches training.

Schools in T2 receives the two components in the first package, plus learners' books and teachers' guides.

Schools in T3 received all the components in the second package plus a health support component.

With respect to learning accomplishment, results from the incorporated Wave Three dataset uncovered that, for public ECDE centres, the mean TSRI score for students in the benchmark group was by and large higher than that of the students in the T1 and T2 groups however not of measurable significance. Notwithstanding, the mean score for students in the public habitats' benchmark group was altogether higher than that of the students in T3 group – showing some pattern lopsidedness.

For Alternative Provision of Basic Education and Training (APBET) centres, results uncovered presence of benchmark balance between the benchmark group and the T1 and T2 groups yet lopsided characteristics were found between the benchmark group and the T3 group.

Results additionally uncovered that, for both ECDE classes, the mean TSRI Wave Three scores for Phase One and Phase Two schools were by and large low, implying that the students didn't have the majority of the abilities evaluated by the direct appraisal test – which is predictable with Wave One outcomes.

As far as the primary sectors and sub-spaces tried, results for the two classifications of ECDE centres uncovered that students' presentation was least fortunate in the executive function domain, literacy sub-domains of letter naming, letter sound, initial sound discrimination, and in the numeracy sub-domains of addition and subtraction.

Africa Integras (Ghana, Kenya) “Build-Operate-Transfer” contracts

The Christie Company was established as a primary concern to address the developing emergency of educational access and schooling change all around the world, to create and keep up great training foundations (christiecompany site).

To accomplish these objectives, Andrea Pizziconi set out to figure out what sorts of conditions and offices would amplify youth learning and advancement.

In the course of the most recent 10 years, The Christie Company, through its interest in Africa Integras, has grown to become one of the largest developers of education infrastructure in Africa, with over 1.2 million square feet in development. Because of market interest, the organization is presently seeking after interests in new educational conditions, in light of the various manners by which youth learn.

University PPP: BOT contracts with governments and state funded colleges with scholarly or student housing projects upheld by inhabitation certifications or land awards.

Africa Integras (Ghana, Kenya) signed in 2015 two groundbreaking BOT agreements for up to 20 years with the University of Ghana for \$63 million and with Kenyatta University (Kenya) for \$53 million, toward the establishment of hostels and academic buildings (christiecompany.com/africa-integras site).

Private University Master-planned Community: Private universities anchoring a large land parcel.. Provide long-term BOT to university and develop surrounding land to include housing, commercial or leisure facilities. Both brownfield and greenfield.

Sector Specific Mixed-utilize Vocational and Secondary School Training: Secondary schools or professional training facilities in and around a commercial enterprise such as hospitality, healthcare, construction, IT or other trade-based enterprises.

Distance Learning Programmes: Create or extend distance learning programmes with partner universities in which the universities share in the benefits from enrolment and students attend special on-site programs during vacation breaks to enhance revenues on dormitory facilities.

Private Student Financing Products: Partner with local banks and pension funds to present pilot student loan programmes ensured by students' local networks and further loan-improved by local annuity funds.

Hatchery Enterprises and Local Merchants: Spin-off businesses developed at partner universities (just as synergistic retailers and organizations that can utilize students and graduates) and have adaptable development potential.

Partners for Possibility (PfP), South Africa

Symphonia NGO vision is to fortify the texture of South African culture by assembling business, government and civil society to work cooperatively towards meeting the educational difficulties pointing toward the South Africa (symphonia site).

Through Partners for Possibility (PfP); the School Leadership Forum (SLF) programme and Community Building workshops, Symphonia promote and facilitate leadership development opportunities for business leaders, school principals and their school management teams.

The PfP Programme was established in 2010 when Louise van Rhyn (Director and Founder), turned into the first Business Leader to partner with a Principal in an effort to improve a school's education outcomes (PfP4sa site). The PfP activity addresses that improving the nature of instruction.

PfP is a co-learning organization between School Principals and Business Leaders, empowering social union through associations, and enabling Principals to become change pioneers in their schools and networks.

Every association between business pioneers and school managers is groundbreaking for students, instructors, networks and the actual pioneers.

The PfP approach is to focus on the principal and on their leadership challenges and development with two key outcomes expected during the first year the principal attains the confidence and skills to lead.

To date since 2010, the PfP programme has affected on 917 schools through 1 198 partnerships, 1 198 business leaders, 29 950 educators, 479 200 families, 958 400 students, 340 organisations.

Family Health International (FHI)

FHI was shaped in 1971 with a wide mission to address health needs of distraught populaces universally (fhifoundation site).

FHI in 2011 gained the projects and authorities of the Academy for Educational Development (AED) to frame "FHI 360" (fhi site). FHI 360 has workplaces in Durham, North Carolina (base camp), Washington, DC, New York, Boston and Atlanta and country workplaces everywhere on the world.

Education de Base (EDB) Project, Senegal

The Education de Base (EDB) project improved in 2008 – 2013 the quality and management of the middle school education system in Senegal, working at the national (policy and systems), regional and school levels. The venture additionally incorporates basic education options for vulnerable children.

EDB, executed by FHI 360, reinpowers center school training through an educational plan that is more applicable to the requirements of students and provides middle schools with access to information and communication technologies to improve teaching and learning. The venture creates public–private organizations to help quality essential training.

EDB gives weak children — especially girls, children in Quranic schools, and street children — quality instruction or expert training. The project returns antagonized children to their families if conceivable.

Innovation for Education, the Mentoring Community of Practice (MCOP), Rwanda

The fast extension of basic education in Rwanda has stressed the conveyance of value schooling while at the same time expanding the interest for successful instructors. The move to guidance in English, in 2013 – 2015, has additionally brought about an earnest need to improve the English-language capability of educators (mcop site) .

The Government of Rwanda is tending to these needs by offering English and academic help to fundamental training instructors through a school-based coaching activity, called the Innovation for Education, the Mentoring Community of Practice (MCOP) project.

In a joint effort with the Ministry of Education, FHI 360 utilizes innovation to straightforwardly associate coaches with their peers and to a library of important and excellent assets that will empower them to discover and share strategies that promote effective teaching and learning. This project is subsidized by the U.K. Division for International Development (DFID).

Teacher Education and Professional Development (TEPD), Kenya

TEPD improve the nature of educating.

Interventions include improvement to instruction at all 23 public teacher training colleges through a PPP involving donors, private companies (Microsoft, Cisco, Intel), and the Government (fhi360 site). To date, 8,000 tutors and educators and 32,000 current and future teachers have been trained.

Edtech suppliers can be partners to schools in the public system, giving both equipment and services to expand learning. A few models from SSA and all around the world include the following initiative:

Siyavula (South Africa) is a math and science public course book supplier. It has partnered broadly with the Government to create and circulate educational plan adjusted materials supported by corporates, which, at times, have upheld the expense of dissemination.

Green Shoots (South Africa) is an NGO project half breed giving assets to in excess of 55,000 children in around 100 government-funded schools. Its online mathematical educational programme gives constant investigation to track and support learning. The programme operates with government-funded schools from no-charges schools in

distraught networks to the best-performing government-funded schools. Almost 10% are upheld by common governments, singular sectors, or the Office of the Premier.

Data frameworks (South Africa): Another basic assistance governments can contract to private suppliers is information frameworks. Information is a basic piece of improving quality in schools; however, neither school-level chairmen nor frameworks level authorities can dependably access or utilize most existing frameworks.

Data-Driven Districts (DDD) (South Africa) is a simple to-utilize information dashboard (financed by the Michael and Susan Dell Foundation) that permits authorities to picture content quickly, gather experiences, and comprehend and improve results. The project has gathered data from in excess of 14,000 schools and 7,000,000 students — almost 60% of the nation's students.

Saving Brains, Kidogo Pre Primary Education, Kenya

Saving Brains promotes the fulfillment of human capital potential by focusing on interventions that nurture and protect early brain development in the first 1,000 days of life. The portfolio currently includes innovations addressing three components of healthy development Health & Nutrition, Enrichment and Protection (savingbraininnovation site).

Saving Brains is an partnership with Grand Challenges Canada, Aga Khan Foundation Canada, the Bernard van Leer Foundation, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, The ELMA Foundation, Grand Challenges Ethiopia, the Maria Cecilia Souto Vidigal Foundation, the Palix Foundation, the UBS Optimus Foundation and World Vision Canada (savingbraininnovation site).

This program is building a network of financially sustainable Early Childhood Development (ECD) centers, based on a “hub and spoke” model. The hubs are “model ECD centers,” while the spokes are child care micro-businesses run by local women (“mamapreneurs”) through Kidogo’s social franchising program, which provides training, mentorship, and learning materials.

Kidogo was established in January 2014 and opened its first youth place in Nairobi, Kenya (Kisumu Ndogo, Kibera) in September 2014. In January 2015, Kidogo opened a second youth place in Kangemi, likewise inside Nairobi County which arrived at operational breakeven inside just a month and a half (8.gsb.columbia.edu, site).

Kidogo's M&E plan is centered on three key measurements: "hub" centers and child development and readiness to learn This considers every kid's comprehensive development, including physical, psychological, psycho-engine, language, and socio-passionate results.

Kidogo's projects prioritize the 2.5 million pre-primary younger students between the ages of 0-6 years living in East Africa's metropolitan ghettos that don't approach satisfactory youth care and instruction. A lion's share of these children experiences the ill effects of hunger, hindering, disregard, everyday publicness to health risks, and absence of positive and steady social associations.

Kidogo sets up two corporate-owned early childhood development centers (“hubs”) and between 5-10 micro-franchised centers (“spokes”) in three Kenyan slums. Each centre will provide adequate nutrition, education and care at a nominal fee (less than \$1 per day) for approximately 30-50 young children between the ages of 6 months to 6 years.

SmartStart, South Africa

SmartStart, South Africa, set up in 2015 is a social franchise that intends to bring quality early (smartstart site). The SmartStart model is intended to empower development and scale, with 850 franchisees set up arriving at 10,000 children in only one year.

Under the SmartStart social franchise model, there are three levels – the Hub (SmartStart), Franchisors (executing shareholders), and Franchisees (SmartStarters).

SmartStart Franchisors enroll, permit and Support Franchisees specifically geographic territories. They additionally utilize Club Coaches who run the organization of SmartStart Clubs and oversee quality assurance.

SmartStarters work as autonomous micro enterprises or community-based services, producing pay through government sponsorships and allowances or parent expenses.

The Hub runs the establishment, contracting with franchisors and giving them training and technical help.

This model gives an organized method of overseeing and checking a cross country early learning programme, and empowers massive expense efficiencies.

Playgroups and childminders are in some cases alluded to as non-center-based ECD programmes. These kinds of projects are regularly depended upon by weak families for both childcare and admittance to fitting learning and development opportunities.

It is faster, simpler, and less expensive to scale up non-center-based ECD programmes. This is because they can be provided out of existing facilities or homes, are inexpensive to set up, are flexible and can grow and adapt to meet community need.

This is because they can be provided out of existing facilities or homes, are inexpensive to set up, are flexible and can grow and adapt to meet community need.

Significantly, research recommends that great results for children can be accomplished in these sorts of settings insofar as the parts of value are set up.

SmartStart results (lifalabantwana site):

In excess of 3 500 franchisees/SmartStarters working the nation over. More than 34 000 children are presently important for the SmartStart programme.

A significant portion of SmartStarters (80%) are actively engaged in the network, with 324 active clubs

Educating Nigerian Girls in New Enterprises (ENGINE), Nigeria

The Coca-Cola Company (TCCC) partnered with the UK Department for International Development's (DFID) and Girl's Education Challenge (GEC) to execute the ENGINE programme, an activity to improve learning results and the financial

status of underestimated juvenile girls matured 16-19 in the Northern Nigerian territories of Kano, Kaduna, the Federal Capital Territory (FCT) and the city of Lagos, Nigeria (prestonaxxociate site).

ENGINE 1 tried to improve the existence of 18,000 marginalized girls, in the four objective states by improving the quality and reach of instruction. Girls additionally mastered and procured request-driven abilities which empowered them to become more skilled workers and micro retailers.

In evaluating whether the programme's sources of info, yields, and results accomplished the objectives set in its hypothesis of progress, it was found that huge changes have been confirmed in the learning results (literacy and numeracy) of the girls who got support from the ENGINE Programme. There was also an increase in confidence level, decision making power and knowledge on financial literacy. The programme additionally recorded a positive effect in changing the view of the gatekeppers towards girls'schooling.

ENGINE 2 in the time frame 2017-2020 changed the existences of marginalized Nigerian girls through improving educational results, enabling successful transitions into income-generating activities, and transferring knowledge to the regional and national education system to create sustainable changes in girls' empowerment

In-school-girls (ISG) advantage from upgraded in-school facilities and improved digital and technology options.

Out-of-school-girls (OSG) accesses promoted learning with custom-made modules, empowering them to finish school while keeping up economic and familial duties. Utilizing creative organizations, girls will profit by fundamental abilities, health schooling, promoted and economic proficiency, and occupation availability training; peer organizations and mentorships and access to finance.

PPP in Housing

The most sensitive issue in PPP housing is the choice of the type of partnership. Unstable macroeconomic conditions, inadequate legal framework and endemic corruption make PPP projects difficult in SSA countries. Herewith we present two successful PPPs from Canada and PPPs in selected SAA countries

Several studies with respect to basic achievement factors and other key components prompting accomplishment in PPPs in development projects have been done (Voordijk , 2009). The most delicate issue is the choice of partnership (Seitanidi and Crane, 2009; Jamali and Keshishian, 2009; Bult-Spiering and Dewulf, 2008; Zhang, 2009). These examinations suggest that the concessionaire consortium needs a solid technical strength, responsibility/ability to collaborate, shared objective/shared vision, public communication/trust, meeting working societies. The economic perspective is considered as key in in realizing added value in content and financial added value.

Herewith we present two fruitful PPPs from Canada and housing PPPs in chose SAA nations

Regent Park, Toronto, Ontario

Regent Park, in downtown Toronto, is populated generally by immigrants. It is likewise one of the most established and biggest public housing developments in Canada, housing roughly 7,500 occupants in 2,083 Rent-gearred-to-income (RGI) units (torontohousing site). The people group experiences higher paces of wrongdoing, drug misuse, and violence. Built more than 50 years prior under the government's public housing programmes, Regent Park's housing stock is in very poor condition.

Toronto Community Housing Corporation (TCHC) is a municipally-owned NGO housing supplier that at present claims and operates the 2,087 RGI units at Regent Park. The association was made in 2002 by the City of Toronto after the Ontario government downloaded duty over social housing to the urban communities. TCHC claims the formative land and embraced various attainability studies to decide the best way to deal with pushing ahead with the rejuvenation by talking with members inside and outside the local sector.

Daniels Corporation supervises the plan, development, and culmination of all new RGI units and the extra market mid-ascent and skyscraper units as per project courses of events. The association is between TCHC (public) and the Daniels Corporation (private) (Moskalyk, 2008).

The project replaces 2,083 rent-geared-to-income (RGI) units fabricate 399 new moderate rental units, 5,400 new market townhouse units. As of March 2020, 1,263 RGI units had been finished (TCHC, 2002).

Daniels is additionally answerable for selling the new market housing, some of which it has centered on offering underneath the normal market cost to low- to moderate-income earners. Qualified buyers will likewise get a 5 percent loan from the Daniels Corporation under its “Triple 5” Down payment Assistance Program, which matches an original 5 percent put down by the purchaser.

The project is assigned up to \$1.61 million in funding from the federal and provincial governments under the Canada-Ontario Affordable Housing Programme Contract to invigorate development for the reasonable housing project.

Woodward's, Canada

Woodward's is an illustration of the utilization of a PPP for the advancement of a mixed income community in Canada (Nicholson, 2012).

Woodward's is situated in a famously crumbled region in the core of Vancouver's Downtown East Side. It was a previous retail chain that shut in 1993 after more than 100 years of activity (Enright, 2010). The structure stayed empty and got involved by vagrants until it was bought by the City of Vancouver in 2003 with the expectation of forming it into Vancouver's first advancement joining both market and non-market housing. In 2004, the City of Vancouver started their acquisition interaction to track down a private accomplice for the redevelopment, welcoming engineers to present recommendations. The proposition introduced to designers was for the City to exchange land to the private sector for the development of the market and non-market housing, with the developer to transfer the non-market housing to the City upon completion as a form of compensation with the condition of building a minimum 100 non-market housing units (Flanigan, 2010). In spite of some underlying hesitance, the City gathered entries from 12 developers. This was shortlisted to four developers before the choice of Westbank Projects Corporation and Petersen Investment Group as the advocates (Ibid.). In the end, the development incorporated 536 market units and 200 non-market units (Macdonald, 2010).

The achievement of the project was very successful with all market units selling outright from the start (Flanigan, 2010). While the real estate market in Vancouver is more grounded than in Toronto, the positive result of the development of a mixed-income community in a well known disintegrated region through a PPP offers significant similitudes to the Regent Park redevelopment.

PPP in low-cost housing, Nakuru, Kenya

Nakuru, the capital city of the Rift Valley, is mainly due to the migration during the post-election violence the third biggest city of Kenya. It has around 750,000 occupants and the populace rate is increasing. The current poverty level is 56%, the city has a 45% joblessness rate and the development of ghettos is a difficult issue (Olwero (2008). Tuts (1998) states that the absence of investment and support in metropolitan foundations since the 1970s has led to a dramatic reduction in the standards of urban services.

The County Government of Nakuru, with the help of the World Bank Group (WBG) by means of award support from the United Kingdom's Department for International Development (DFID), started a project to unlock affordable housing supply within Naivasha sub-county (World Bank 2019b). The point is to build up a housing conveyance model to give a premise to set up a moderate real estate market, to serve families living at the lower part of the income pyramid. The model tries to use overhauled public land to pull in private interest in the conveyance of around 2,300 new moderate housing units obliging roughly 9,200 people, 70% of which fall inside the social and reasonable housing classifications, and the rest of are for middle-higher income households.

The execution of the proposed Naivasha Affordable Housing Project (NAHP) requires the County Government to set up a project company/special purpose vehicle – referred to as a “Settlement Company (SetCo)” in accordance with the Public Finance Management (PFM) Act, 2012 and the PFM (County Governments) Regulations, 2015.

The SetCo's prioritize workers and SACCO members in introductory phases. On the interesting side, KMRC investors are to provide mortgages to end users. On the supply-side, contractors are supported by the SetCo to access project bridging finance. The County is to build up an SPV or Settlement Company (SetCo) on a Joint Venture basis. The SetCo is liable for arranging, sales and promoting, creating, and dealing with the housing estate ensuring long-term sustainability and property value appreciation.

Fleurhof mixed-income housing development, South Africa

Fleurhof is a partnership with South Africa Workforce Housing Fund (SAWHF) and a joint endeavor between International Housing Solutions (IHS), a private value director zeroed in on reasonable housing projects, and Calgro M3, a listed development company specialising in mixed-income residential construction. Under the partnership, IHS has provided the majority of the equity financing and performs the financial structuring, while Calgro M3 manages and oversees the project. The City of Johannesburg financed the infrastructure like Fleurhof Drive and Fleurhof Drive Bridge, reservoir & bulk water pipeline and a sub-station.

The Fleurhof project is giving affordable community housing to more than 30,000 members (EMPEA, 2012). The project utilizes around 1,000 unskilled workers with more than 90% coming from the community. Women comprise 7–10% of the current workforce.

The planned number of units is around 9 000, 66% affordable housing on government owned land (Kutuma, 2017). The development forms a link between Soweto and Johannesburg.

The project began pre-2009 while development started in 2009 with Calgro M3 Holdings, a recorded development organization, being the principal designer.

SAWHF additionally bought 162 units of the development for rental, which it will hold in its portfolio through the duration of the venture. Other public sector shareholders which were engaged with the project are Gauteng Partnership Fund (GPF) and Madulammoho Housing Association (MHA).

The Department of Local Government and Housing (DLGH) ensures the provision of housing across the Gauteng Province. MHA gives reasonable housing solutions for Johannesburg's inner city community.. The total cost of the project was assessed at US\$350 million. The Fleurhof development was completed in 2016. In any case, until August 2020 there is no authority and no housing (Zwane, 2020). But until August 2020 there is no electricity and no housing (Zwane, 2020).

MHA provides affordable housing solutions to Johannesburg's inner city community. The total cost of the project was estimated US\$350 million. The Fleurhof development was completed in 2016.

PPP Housing Programme in Abuja

Housing delivery in Nigeria has been plagued with problems which emanate from either the formulation or implementation of the housing policies and programmes (Olofa and Nwosu, 2015).

Bello and Bello (2005) featured a few purposes behind the incompetence of housing approaches and projects in Nigeria like proprietor occupation, government inclusion in the direct development of housing, divided and precarious housing finance, the high cost of building materials among others.

The incompetence of the housing policies in Nigeria prompts the adoption of PPP for National Housing conveyance (Wendell, 2002).

The Federal Capital Territory Administration (FCTA) Abuja acquainted the PPP programme with diminishing housing shortage in the domain (Zayyanu and Foziah, 2018). The PPP housing plan recorded little accomplishment due to lack of adequate planning and implementation.. The tendering process in the FCT PPP housing project was characterised by lack of transparency and competitiveness

The land assignment under the programme was incongruent with the programme's rules, which specify that the most extreme land designation is 10 hectares for the city and 5 hectares for satellite towns. Any individual who comes in with a paper from a major man can get as much land as they political influence and favouritism have played important role in deciding which firms get the largest allocations.

CGC Nigeria Ltd and Larix Company Ltd got the biggest portions of land under the programme While CGC Nigeria Ltd is an economic giant Chinese company associated with various projects in Nigeria, Larix Company Ltd was accounted for to be financed by cash stole from Afribank Plc.he private partners constructed houses the secondary and tertiary infrastructure as agreed The provisions of the association agreement specify that the FCTA needs to give the necessary the required primary infrastructure. Nonetheless, in opposition to the arrangement, the FCTA neglected to give such a foundation. Many of the mass housing districts were not provided with infrastructure for more than ten years.

The unstable macroeconomic condition, deficient legitimate structure, and endemic corruption in Nigeria have diminished the extent of risks the private sector would acknowledge, which thusly make PPP projects troublesome. Limited access to finance and high-interest rates are some of the drawbacks against the success of PPP housing projects in Nigeria.

PPP and local SMEs

The PPP legitimate system raises mandatory or best conditions for including nearby SMEs in the execution of the PPPs or commitment for contracting authorities to consider separating projects into a few more modest contracts public to SMEs.

The laws, guidelines, and strategies have various methodologies (ppp.worldbank smes site, for example, unique notification components especially coordinated to SMEs, initial monetary advances to the SMEs so they can strengthen their financial capability or extra points for bidders that include subcontracting to SMEs. Herewith some examples from SSA nations.

Malawi

Malawi Public-Private Partnership Bill, 2010 (art.69(j))

The Bill gives lawful premise to needs on level or sort of nearby entity later on PPP guidelines and concessions

The Minister may, on the advice of the Commission, make guidelines for completing or giving effect to the provisions, the level or sort of nearby inclusion that is normal or liked, and whether any concessions might be made in return for local involvement.

Nigeria

Nigeria Public Procurement Act, 2007 (art.49(1)(d))

The Act indicates that the extent of participation by local personnel, the encouragement of employment and the transfer of technology must be analyzed in the evaluation of a proposal

The procuring entity establishes criteria to evaluate the proposals and prescribe the relative weight to be accorded to each of them. The main criterions are economic development potential offered by the proposal, domestic investment or other business

activity, encouragement of employment, transfer of technology and development of managerial, scientific and operational.

Rwanda

Rwanda Law N° 12/2007 of 27/03/2007 on Public Procurement (art.41)

The law gives that local inclination not surpassing 10% might be allowed to Rwanda organizations or Rwandan nationals or bidders in local financial mix bodies part states.

Such local inclination will be remembered for the offering archive and characterized in the acquisition guidelines.

Tanzania

PPP Regulation, 2015 (art.34(5)(6))

The Regulation gives that up to 10% of margin of preference will be conceded to qualified residents of Tanzania or relationship among local and foreign firms in both public and global serious offering and choice.

Tenderers who are residents of Tanzania or relationship among local and foreign firms are qualified to be conceded a margin of preference just in the event that they meet the standards gave in these Regulations are enrolled by the Tanzania Investment Center.

A contracting authority can concede a margin of preference of up to ten (10) percent to help local investors or relationships among nearby and foreign investors as recommended in the Sixth Schedule to these Regulations.

Zambia

Public Procurement Act, 2008 (art.26&28&63)

The Act provides that public national offering and choice will be restricted to residents and nearby bidders while in public worldwide offering and determination, foreign bidders will band together with Zambia residents or providers. Also, a margin of preference might be conceded to a bidder in light of provisions relating to the resident or local providers/ventures or SMEs.

South Africa

Standardised Public-Private Partnerships Provisions

The provisions explain how to reflect the legal requirement under South African to have black participation in projects, regarding the participation of black enterprises at the subcontractor level, the participation by black people in the management control of the subcontractors, employment equity, skills development and procurement opportunities for SMEs.

Conforming to the Black Economic Empowerment Act (BEE),

BEE incorporates a few clauses for its consistency, for example, special Acquisition with SMEs or BEE agreeable providers. Similarly, the contract incorporates pointers and achievements that ought to be accomplished in various phases of the contract, for example, Enterprise Development that is estimated by " Enhanced revenue and/or cost savings and/or twining initiatives facilitated for black owned SMMEs, as a percentage of revenue."

Guidance Document for the Calculation of Local Content

As the presentation of the archive designates, This guideline provides tenderers with a detailed description of how to calculate local content of products (goods, services and works) by components/material/services and enables them to keep an updated record for verification requirements".

Declaration Certificate for Local Production and Content for Designated Sectors

This declaration certificate forms part of the package of standard bidding documents as prescribed in the Preferential Procurement Regulations, which promotes local production and content in designated sectors.

Bid for Procurement of Services to Manufacture, Supply, and Maintain 174 Buses

This record is an offered notice and greeting to bidders for manufacturing, supplying and maintaining 174 buses. The notification necessitates that proposition incorporate local labor and boosts the nearby work content during the manufacturing, supply and maintenance of the bus fleet (Section 6.13).

Similarly, the record builds up that lone tenders that have a higher than the specified least limit for local content as specified (80%) (on the procuring documents) will be qualified for additional assessment.

Benin, Small scale water projects

Benin decentralized its water services in 1999 and responsibility for and duty regarding water arrangement passed to the “communes” (districts) (ppp.worldbank water suppliers). The lawful structure recommends that where there is a water post with or without network associated then the communes should delegate the provision of water to a water service provider or to the water users association.

The Direction Générale Des Eaux has characterized four models of contract:

Model 1 - contract d'affermage between the commune and the operator

The private service provider (PSP) under which duty regarding activity and upkeep of the facility and provision water services to clients is assigned. The commune is responsible for renewal and expansion of the system using the fee paid by the PSP out of revenue to the commune.

Model 2 - a tripartite contract between the commune, the water user association (AUE), and the service provider

The expense paid by the supplier is paid into a shared service of the community and the AUE who jointly manage all renewal and extension of the system.

Model 3 - contract for production by the provider and distribution by the water user association (AUE)

Whereby the supplier is liable for the water booth and conveyance of mass water to the AUE for an expense (by volume) payable by the AUE and the AUE is responsible for operation of the network and delivery to customers and collection of tariffs from customers.

Model 4 - affermage delegation by the commune to the WUA

To operate and maintain the system and provider water services to users.

Uganda

Uganda has a decentralized water sector with the Ministry of Water and the Environment delegating various water supply and sewerage authorities to be liable for water and sewerage administration arrangement.

Under the Water Act 1997, the service has gone into execution contracts with the local water and sewerage authorities. These are public contracts setting out the key execution measures and prerequisites for administration arrangement.

The Performance Contract requires that the authority sub-contracts the services stipulated in the performance contract to an independent operator under a management contract.

Kenya

In Kenya the water sector is decentralized and there is a central administrative body. There are various model water administration arrangement provisions (SPAs) that have been produced for water administration arrangement by the Water Service Providers (publicly held private restricted organizations) in the interest of the Water Service Boards. The Water Service Boards are given Debt regarding the arrangement of water and sanitation services under licenses given by the Water Services Regulatory Board (WASREB) ([wasreb site](#))

For small rural water projects the water service boards can delegate activities to water trusts which can then sub-contract directly to small scale water providers and or water user associations or cooperatives. A number of forms of agreement are currently being developed to support such projects and will be featured here once they have been approved.

Conclusion: what to do

In transport infrastructure PPP projects

Prevent delays in land acquisition.

Prepare pre-development, plan meeting the exhibition (number of vehicles or travelers) and administration prerequisites, in the arranged budget and planning.

Guarantee viable command over the plan and development of the foundation.

Connection between concession provisions and new investments

Make occupations, for the most part for local people

Guarantee higher-limit road: less contamination from sitting vehicles – converting into fuel reserve funds for drivers, a cleaner climate, and better health for members.

Improve political commitment by an intra-agency coordinating committee in charge of consensus-building and stakeholder engagement.

Select experienced concessionaire with solid responsibility by corresponding interest (different projects in the country).

In health projects

Prefer the choice of improving existing establishments rather than more costly new establishments.

Guarantee in the contract that after the finish of the concession agreement the project could be rented back to the private accomplice in pre-decided conditions.

Name an autonomous professional in charge of evaluating performance and penalties.

.

Guarantee greatest risk move to the private operator, shielding the government from most of the financial, operational, and legal risks.

The public partner pays the private operator an agreed price for each performed medical services under a health insurance scheme

Use the existing manpower to operate the new medical services by opening relevant training programs.

In education projects

Include the most vulnerable and disadvantaged communities.

Incline toward modernization of existing educational platforms as opposed to building all the more expensive new one.

In energy projects

Responsibility and adaptability on the part of government

Meet social and environmental targets in the contract.

Separate legislative entity under an independent controller.

Build the financing structure on the basis of a conservative debt-to-equity ratio.

Construction delays, costs and operational efficiencies conservatively managed.

Insurance against political risk

In water projects

The capacity of the operator to create benefits must be straightforwardly identified with performance and increasing efficiencies according to the due to the price cap mechanism. This gives a level of customer insurance. No levy changes in the short to medium term.

In housing projects

Adequate planning and implementation.

The private partner has to provide the secondary and tertiary infrastructure, public infrastructure including water and electricity.

References

Abbasi, N., Wajid, I., Iqbal, Z., and Zafar, F., 2014. Project incompetence case studies and suggestion. *International Journal of Computer Applications* , 88 (6).

Abdo M., Assomull A., Kapoor J., and Wong H. (2019). *Private Schools for Public Goods*. Jacob Foundation and L.E.K. Consulting, Singapore

<https://jacobsfoundation.org/en/publication/private-schools-for-public-goods/>

ADB (2020). *Designing the African Development Bank's PPP Framework Whitepaper for Discussion*. ADB 2020/09/15. Abidjan 01 - Côte D'Ivoire. Content support provided by RebelGroup International bv Wijnhaven Rotterdam The Netherlands

https://www.afdb.org/sites/default/files/2020/09/15/afdb_ppp_framework_whitepaper.pdf

www.rebelgroup.com

AfDB (2017). *Developing coordinated public-private partnerships and systems for financing health in Africa*. AfDB, May 2017

https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Publications/WITS-AfDB_Publication.pdf

ADB Rift Valley Railways Project Country. Multinational (Kenya and Uganda) Executive Summary of the Environmental and Social Assessment. P-Z1-DC0-011.

https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Environmental-and-Social-Assessments/RVR%20ESIA%20Exec%20Summary_English%20final.pdf

AfDB (2013). *Project appraisal report OITC department*, AfDB November 2013

https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Project-and-Operations/Multinational_-_Trans-Sahara_Highway_Project_-_Appraisal_Report.pdf

- Africa Energy (2020). Gabon: The SEEG allocates €15.8 million to water and electricity projects in Libreville. *Africa Energy*. 08 Sep 2020
<https://africa-energy-portal.org/news/gabon-seeg-allocates-eu158-million-water-and-electricity-projects-libreville>
- Africa Renewal (2017). Partnerships giving Africa a new look. Private sector invests in mega projects. *Africa Renewal*. August - November 2017 | Vol. 31 No. 2
https://www.un.org/africarenewal/sites/www.un.org.africarenewal/files/Africa_Renewal_EN_August_November_2017.pdf
- Ait-Hatrit S. (2020). The 4,500km Lagos – Algiers road takes a step closer to reality. *The African Report*, 22nd June 2020
<https://www.theafricareport.com/30615/the-4500km-lagos-algiers-road-takes-a-step-closer-to-reality/>
- Aitken R. (2014). Case studies on PPP frameworks based on Energy Sector Experience in Sub-Saharan Africa. November 2014 . Restio energy , South Africa. Financed by grant from EPSRC/DfID Grant Ref: EP/L002655/1
<http://stepsproject.net/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/Case-studies-on-PPP-frameworks-based-on-Energy-Sector-Experience-in-Sub-Saharan-Africa-Restio-Energy-2.pdf>
- Ajay O. (2020). Sanwo-Olu to Flag off Eti-Osa-Lekki-Epe Stateway Project. *Nairmetrics*, October 30, 2020
<https://nairmetrics.com/2020/10/30/sanwo-olu-to-flag-off-eti-osa-lekki-epe-stateway-project/>
- Al circle (2019). Aluminium Smelting Company at Ikot Abasi, Akwa Ibom State, 07-Feb-2019
<https://www.alcircle.com/news/alscon-in-nigeria-to-resume-operations-after-a-decade-42158>
- Allianz Capital Shareholders (2017). Allianz, EDF Invest and DIF to acquire 5% in Autostrade per l’Italia. Allianz Capital Shareholders, Munich, April 28, 2017
<https://www.allianzcapitalshareholders.com/files/1914/9337/0494/20170428-Allianz-Investment-in-ASPI.pdf>
- Amade, B. e., 2015. Factors for containing incompetence and abandonment of public sector development projects in Nigeria. *Journal of Building Performance* , 6, 2180-2106.
- Apa Nova (2012), The concession contract, retrieved on May 14, 2013.

APEC (2014). Infrastructure Public-Private Partnership Case Studies of APEC Member Economies. APEC 21st Finance Ministers' Meeting Beijing, China 21-22 October 2014. 2014/FMM/019 Agenda Item: 3.3
http://mddb.apec.org/Documents/2014/MM/FMM/14_fmm_019.pdf

Apfalter S. (2012). World Bank Group Support to Public-Private Partnerships Lessons from Experience in Client Countries, FY02–12. Independent Evaluation Group
https://ieg.worldbankgroup.org/sites/default/files/Data/reports/ppp_eval_updated2_0.pdf

Avello P. (2020). Public-Private Partnerships and the risk of corruption in the water sector. Stockholm International Water Institute (SIWI)
https://www.siwi.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/03/Water-Integrity-in-Water-Infrastructure_2020.pdf

Baguec H. and Yeom C. (2020). A Study on Causes of Delay in Road Development Projects across 25 Developing Countries Ludwig Rivera , International School of Urban Sciences, University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea.

Baloyi, L., and Bekker, M., 2011. Causes of development cost and time overruns: The 2010 FIFA World Cup stadia in South Africa. *Acta Structilia* , 18 (1), 51-67.
Bio Energy International (2017). HZI hands over its first energy-from-waste plant in Poland. *Bioenergy International Heat & Authority*. March 28, 2017
<https://bioenergyinternational.com/heat-authority/hzi-hands-first-energy-waste-plant-poland>

Botchkarev, A., 2015. Accuracy of estimating project costs and benefits: An overview of research in information systems. *Journal of Emerging Trends in Computing and Information Sciences* , 6 (6), 290-298.

BRIDGE (2020). Public private partnerships transforming public education systems in Africa. BRIDGE 20 January 2020
<https://www.bridgeinternationalacademies.com/public-private-partnerships-transforming-public-education-systems-in-africa/>
Bucharest Municipality (2015), Improving the Productivity of the Public Water Service through concession in Bucharest, the capital city of Romania, CAPITAL SUMMIT 2015, Belgrade, 22-24th April 2015.

Bult-Spiering, M. and G. Dewulf (2008). Strategic Issues in Public-Private Partnerships: An international perspective. Oxford, Blackwell Publishing Ltd
Caranta R. (2015), The changes to the public contract directives and the story they tell about how EU law operates in COMMON MARKET LAW REVIEW CONTENTS
Vol. 52 No. 2 April 2015, pp 391-460
<https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/302008268.pdf>

Carter L. (2015). Five secrets of success of Sub-Saharan Africa's first road PPP. blogs world bank May 29, 2015
<https://blogs.worldbank.org/ppps/five-secrets-success-sub-saharan-africa-s-first-road-ppp>

Chaudhry S. and Uboweja A. (2014). Public private partnerships in school education. Learning and Insights for India. Working Paper March 2 . Central Plaza Foundation
http://ficci.in/spdocument/20380/CSF-FICCI_Public-Private-Partnerships_Mar-2014.pdf

COMOD Africa (2019 September). Ghana-Chine : PPP pour une usine de transformation de cacao. *COMOD Africa*, September 2019
<http://www.comodafrica.com/23-09-2019-ghana-chine-ppp-pour-une-usine-de-transformation-de-cacao>

COMOD Africa (2019 July). Au Nigeria, un partenariat public-privé pour l'approvisionnement en lait local. *COMOD Africa*, July 30th 2019
<http://www.comodafrica.com/30-07-2019-au-nigeria-un-partenariat-public-privé-pour-lapprovisionnement-en-lait-local>

Development Review (March 2020). Phase 4 of Azito gas-fired authority plant project in Côte d'Ivoire commences, *Development Review Online*. Modified date: Mar 26, 2020
<https://developmentreviewonline.com/news/phase-4-of-azito-gas-fired-authority-plant-project-in-cote-divoire-commences/>

Development Review (January 2020). Nkhotakota Solar Authority Plant in Malawi guaranteed a US\$ 67M subsidy, Modified date: Jan 6, 2020 *Development Review*
<https://developmentreviewonline.com/2020/01/67m-for-nkhotakota-solar-authority-plant-development-in-malawi/>

- Cristea M.(2020). Apa Nova looking to reduce its impact on the environment.
Business Review,10/11/2020
<https://business-review.eu/br-exclusive/apa-nova-looking-to-reduce-its-impact-on-the-environment-214673>
- Cuthbert N. and Mukherjee U. (2016).Overview of PPP laws/frameworks in selected African jurisdictions.Dentons,Africa **December 7 2016**
- Damoah, I. (2015). An investigation into the causes and effects of project incompetence in government projects in developing countries: Ghana as a case study (Doctoral dissertation, Liverpool John Moores University).
- Darwin M., Seong H.H., Nair T.and Bhattacharya A.(2019), Private Collaboration in Infrastructure. 2019 annual report.
<https://ppi.worldbank.org/content/dam/PPI/documents/private-collaboration-infrastructure-annual-2019-report.pdf>
- Delmon J. (2010). Agreement Options for Public-Private Partnerships in Infrastructure Sorting out the forest from the trees: BOT, DBFO, DCMF, concession, lease... The World Bank Finance Economics & Urban Department Finance and Guarantees Unit January 2010
<https://library.pppknowledgelab.org/d/2196/download>
- Demby S., Dickey M., Fintel N., Garg J., Han Y., Hla M., Kish M., Lang M., Odayar V., Lara M., Omer R., Sha S. and Vainikos A. (2019). Utilizing public-private partnerships to further the prevention & treatment of HIV/AIDS, December 2019. The Harvard University Institute of Politics Health Policy Programme,Institute of Politics Harvard University Cambridge, MA
https://iop.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/sources/programme/IOP_Policy_Programme_2019_HIV_AIDS_prevention_treatment_public_private_partnerships.pdf
- Dosumu, O., and Aigbavboa, C., 2017. Impact of design errors on variation cost of selected building project in Nigeria. *Procedia engineering* , 196, 847-856.

Douglas L. and Dempsey S. (2019). The Lesotho Highlands Water Project: Impacts of Large-Scale Water Development on Local Communities. The urge to help, December 30, 2019

<https://theurgetohelp.com/articles/the-lesotho-highlands-water-project-impacts-of-large-scale-water-development-on-local-communities/>

EMPEA (2012). Fleurhof mixed-income housing development. EMPEA August 2012

https://housingfinanceafrica.org/app/uploads/EMPEA_case_study_fleurhof.pdf

Enright, R. (2010). Guiding principals. In R. Enright (Ed.), *Body Heat: The story of the Woodward's redevelopment* (pp. 67-72). London, UK: Read Lea

EPEC – WBIF (2018). A Guide to Training and Procuring a PPP Project. European

PPP Expertise Centre (EPEC) and Western Balkans Investment Framework (WBIF).

<https://www.wbif.eu/storage/app/media/Library/8.%20Public%20Private%20Partnership/3.%203-PPP-Preparation-and-Procurement-Guide-FINAL-310818.pdf>

EPEC (2014). Establishing and Reforming PPP Units Analysis of EPEC Member PPP

Units and lessons learnt The European PPP Expertise Centre (EPEC), August 2014

EPEC (2012). Poznan Waste-to-Energy Project, Poland Using EU Funds in PPPs.

European PPP Expertise Centre (EPEC). Case Study, June 2012

https://www.eib.org/attachments/epec/epec_using_eu_funds_in_ppps_case_study_en.pdf

Essar (2017). Essar Ports signs concession contract with Mozambique government to develop new 20 MTPA coal terminal in Beira Port. August 4, 2017

<https://www.essar.com/essar-ports-signs-concession-contract-mozambique-government-develop-new-20-mtpa-coal-terminal-beira-port/>

Fabian, C., and Amir, A., 2011. The Chad- Cameroon Pipeline Project--Assessing the World Bank's Failed Experiment to Direct Oil Revenues towards the Poor. *The Law and Development Review* , 4 (1), 32-65.

Farlam P. (2005). Working Together Assessing Public–Private Partnerships in Africa. The South African Institute of International Affairs. Nepad Policy Center Series.

SAIIA's Nepad and Governance Project is funded by the Royal Netherlands Embassy, Pretoria. Nepad Policy Center Report No. 2. February 2005

<https://www.oecd.org/investment/investmentfordevelopment/34867724.pdf>

FEMIP (2011). Study on PPP Legal & Financial Frameworks in the Mediterranean Shareholder Countries Volume 2 – Country Analysis. European Investment Bank Volume 2 – May 2011

<https://www.eib.org/attachments/med/ppp-study-volume-2.pdf>

Flanigan, M. (2010). Rules of engagement. In R. Enright (Ed.), *Body Heat: The story of the Woodward's redevelopment* (pp. 81-86). London, UK: Read Leaf.

Frone S. and Frone D.F.. (2018). issues of productivity for public-private partnerships in the water sector. *Studies and Scientific Researches. Economics Edition*, No 27, 2018

[STUDIES AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHES. ECONOMICS EDITION \(ub.ro\)](#)

GI (2020). Annual Report For the year ended 30 June 2020. Global Infrastructure Hub.

<https://cdn.gihub.org/umbraco/media/3243/board-approved-annual-report-year-ending-30-june-2020-final-signed.pdf>

Global Infrastructure Hub (2018). Strategic Plan 2019 – 2022 . Global Infrastructure Hub, March 2018. World Bank, Washington

Gondard C. (2018). History repeated. How Public Private Partnerships are failing. A report coordinated by Eurodad October 2018, edited by María José Romero and Julia Ravenscroft (Eurodad).In cooperation with the Heinrich-Böll-Stiftung e.V.

https://d3n8a8pro7vhm.cloudfront.net/eurodad/pages/508/attachments/original/1590679608/How_Public_Private_Partnerships_are_failing.pdf?1590679608

GPE (2020). Results report 2020. Global Partnership for Education. Washington, D.C.

<https://www.globalpartnership.org/sites/default/files/docs/results-report-2020/2020-09-GPE-Results-Report-2020.pdf>

Hamilton G.(2012). Discussion paper: a preliminary reflection on the best practice in ppp in healthcare sector: a review of different ppp case studies and experiences. ‘PPPs in Health Manila 2012: Developing Models, Ensuring Sustainability: Perspectives from Asia and Europe, Manila, Philippines

https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/ceci/images/ICoE/PPPHealthcareSector_DiscPaper.pdf

Handshake (2012). Food PPPs. Handshake IFC quarterly journal on PPP, April 2012

https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/bridge/77f0c0bf-7f7d-44fa-9710-b37e172c524b/Handshake_Issue5_WEBFINAL.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CVID=IKbDR5u

Hannington O. Kangai E. (2013). Agribusiness Public-Private Partnerships A country report of Kenya. Edited by Pilar Santacoloma Eva Gálvez-Nogales Nomathemba Mhlanga Marlo Rankin Alexandra Röttger FAO Roma Italy

<http://www.fao.org/3/aq232e/aq232e.pdf>

Health E News (2007). Win-win partnership in Bloemfontein
Health-e News, 4th December 2007

<https://health-e.org.za/2007/12/04/win-win-partnership-in-bloemfontein/>

Heeks, R., 2006. Health information systems: Incompetence, success and improvisation. *International Journal of Informatics* , 75 (2), 125-137.

van den Heever A. (2017). Developing coordinated public-private partnerships and systems for financing health in Africa Experiences from Africa and India. May 2017. African Development Bank, the Health Systems Research India Initiative (HSRII) and the University of the Witwatersrand (Wits)

https://www.afdb.org/fileadmin/uploads/afdb/Documents/Publications/WITS-AfDB_Publication.pdf

Herman T. and Lefort C. (2021). New law on PPPs adopted in Senegal. Herbert Smith Free Hills (SHF)

<https://sites-herbertsmithfreehills.vuturevx.com/20/24346/landing-pages/5652s-v2.pdf>

Hundermark C. (2021). Continued Public-Private Cooperation Vital for South African Energy Growth. *Africa news*, 2021/02/05

<https://www.africanews.com/2021/02/05/continued-public-private-cooperation-vital-for-south-african-energy-growth-by-charne-hundermark/>

ICA (2009). Attracting Investors to African Public-Private Partnerships A Project Preparation Guide. Infrastructure Consortium for Africa (ICA). e Public-Private Infrastructure Advisory Facility (PPIAF). The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank 1818 H Road NW Washington DC
<https://publicknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/2588/461310revised017808213773070Revised.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

IFAD (2013). IFAD and public-private partnerships: Selected project experiences. The International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD).
<https://www.ifad.org/documents/38714170/39135645/IFAD+and+public-private+partnerships+-+selected+project+experiences/52a2253a-feef-4445-9d96-e5708c748eb5>

Infrascope (2019). The Enabling Environment for Public-Private Partnerships Côte d'Ivoire
The Economist Intelligence Unit Limited 2019
https://infrascope.eiu.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/EIU_MCC_COTE-D-IVOIRE_proof_06.pdf

International Finance (2020). Jubilee acquires 9.44% stake in Bujagali Energy.
International Finance, July 1, 2020

<https://internationalfinance.com/jubilee-acquires-9-44-stake-in-bujagali-energy/>

Jamali, D. and T. Keshishian (2009). "Uneasy Alliances: Lessons Learned from Partnerships Between Industries and NGOs in the context of CSR." *Journal of Business Ethics* 84(2): 277-295.

Kassa Y.F. (2020). Determinants of Infrastructure Project Delays and Cost Escalations: The Cases of Federal Road and Railway Development Projects in Ethiopia. Federal Road and Railway Development. *American Scientific Research Journal for Engineering, Technology, and Sciences (ASRJETS)*. Vol 63 No 1 (2020)
https://asrjetsjournal.org/index.php/American_Scientific_Journal/article/view/5448

Khatleli N. (2020). Guidelines for implementing PPPs in SADC. South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA). Policy Briefing 193 January 2020.
<https://media.africaportal.org/documents/Policy-Briefing-193-Khatleli.pdf>

Ted Kolderie, "Ray Budde and the Origins of the 'Charter Concept,'" *Education Evolving*, Saint Paul, Minn.: *Education Evolving* and Center for Policy Design, 2005.

<http://www.educationevolving.org/pdf/Ray-Budde-Origins-Of-Chartering.pdf>

Kutama N. (2017). Affordable housing public private partnerships: A Case Study of International Housing Solutions. Research Report submitted to the Faculty of Engineering and the Built Environment, University of Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, in partial completion of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Building, October 2017

http://wiredspace.wits.ac.za/bitstream/handle/10539/24081/Revised%20Final%20Doc_Oct%202017_examiners%20corrections%20done_dsr%20-%20Copy.pdf?sequence=2

LaRocque N. (2008) Public-Private Partnerships in Basic Education: An International Review. CFBT Education Trust, May 2008
https://olcstage.worldbank.org/sites/default/files/CfBT_LaRocque_PPPs%20in%20Basic%20Education%20An%20International%20Review_0.pdf

Ledtke S. (2020). Inaugural public-private training programme for African infrastructure sector starts. *Engineering News*, 6th october 2020

<https://www.engineeringnews.co.za/article/inaugural-publicprivate-training-programme-for-african-infrastructure-sector-starts-2020-10-06>

Mananavire B. (2020). NatPharm top bosses sacked in tender controversy. *The Independent* July 18, 2020.

<https://www.theindependent.co.zw/2020/07/18/natpharm-top-bosses-sacked-in-tender-controversy/>

McIntyre N. and Weale S. (2019). "More than 300 English primary schools forced to become academies". *The Guardian*. 11 July 2019.

Manope S. (2020). Cameroon's Gas to Authority Market I Distress. *Africa Gas and Oil report*. June 30, 2020

africaoilgasreport.com

Moskalyk A. (2008). The Role of Public-Private Partnerships in Funding Social Housing in Canada CPRN Research Report | September 2008

<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download;jsessionid=93EC803BBF739AF4BF46BF559653FC1B?doi=10.1.1.494.1769&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

Mugwagwa J. and Banda G. (2020). The role of public private partnerships in health systems: experiences from Southern Africa., The Scinnovent center, policy brief No.13/2020, Nairobi, Kenya.

<https://idl-bnc->

idrc.dspace.org/bitstream/handle/10625/59552/59734.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y

Ndangira (2019). Operations Director, Rwanda – Educate! December 3, 2019, Rwanda <https://www.ndangira.net/operations-director-rwanda-educate/>

Ngware M., Hungi N., Kitsao-Wekulo P., Njagi J. and Mutisya M. (2018). [Impact evaluation of a school readiness intervention among pre-primary school learners in Kenya: Tayari Wave 3 Report](#). The African Population and Health Research Center (APHRC).

<https://aphrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/10/Tayari-Wave-III-Report.pdf>

Ngware, M.W., Hungi, N., Kitsao-Wekulo, P., Mutisya, M. & Muhia, N.G. (2016). The Tayari Pre-Primary Programme in Kenya: Getting Children Ready for Primary School. Baseline Report. African Population and Health Research Center, Nairobi.

https://aphrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Final-Tayari-Baseline-Report_October-2016.pdf

Nicholson M. (2012). A Comparison of the RFP Procurement Processes for the Revitalization of Regent Park. A report submitted to the School of Urban and

Regional Planning in conformity with the requirements for the degree of Master of Urban and Regional Planning Queen's University Kingston, Ontario, Canada

<https://qspace.library.queensu.ca/bitstream/handle/1974/7296/Michelle%20L%20Nicholson%20Report.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

Oil and Gas Coodness (2019). 20bn \$ Ogidigben gas industrial park project disrupted, *Oil and Gas Coodness* May 6, 2019

<https://oilandgasgoodness.com/post/20bn-ogidigben-gas-industrial-park-project-disrupted>

Okoth J. (2020). Nairobi Stateway Development Operates Begin. *kenyawallroad*, June 10, 2020

Olofa S and Nwosu A. (2015). Investigating the problems associated with public private partnership in the process of housing delivery in Nigeria. *International Journal of Education and Research* Vol. 3 No. 1 January 2015 123

<https://www.ijern.com/journal/2015/January-2015/11.pdf>

Olurounbi R. (2019). Back to the future as Nigeria turns to Russia on Ajaokuta steel project. *The Africa Report*, 30 October 2019

<https://www.theafricareport.com/19380/back-to-the-future-as-nigeria-turns-to-russia-on-ajaokuta-steel-project/>

Otuh K. (2020). When will ALSCON resume the production of Aluminium? *Business Day* Jan 26, 2020

<https://businessday.ng/real-sector/article/when-will-alscon-resume-the-production-of-aluminium/>

Patrinos H.A., Barrera-Osorio F. and Guáqueta J. (2009). The Role and Impact of Public-Private Partnerships in Education. The International Bank for Redevelopment and Development / The World Bank NW Washington DC.
<http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/453461468314086643/pdf/479490PUB0Role101OFFICIAL0USE0ONLY1.pdf>

- Pourrostan, T., and Ismail, A., (2011). Significant Factors Causing and effects of Delay in Iranian Development Projects. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* , 5 (7), 45-450.
- Authority Africa (2019). Funding Secured for Temane Transmission Project. *Authority Africa*
Oct 15, 2019
<https://medium.com/authority-africa/funding-secured-for-temane-transmission-project-d90b2c5fe860>
- Authority Technology. Phu My Authority Plants, Vietnam’s Largest Authority Plant Project. *Authority Technology*.
<https://www.authority-technology.com/projects/phu-my-authority-plants/>
- Prats J. Demaestri E. and Chiara J. (2018). Public–Private Partnership Databases Analytical Description and Comparative Analysis of National and International Databases Institutions for Development Sector Bridgeivity, Markets, and Finance Division. Discussion paper N° IDB-DP- 585 May 2018
<https://publications.iadb.org/publications/english/document/Public-Private-Partnership-Databases-Analytical-Description-and-Comparative-Analysis-of-National-and-International-Databases.pdf>
- Preneur F. (2012) IFC's quarterly journal on public-private partnerships. Handshake IFC quarterly, Issue 5, 2012
https://www.academia.edu/32235927/IFCs_quarterly_journal_on_public_private_partnerships?email_work_card=thumbnail
- Ridolfi R. (2004). Resource book on PPP case studies. European Commission Directorate-General Regional Policy June 2004
https://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/sources/docgener/guides/pppresourcebook.pdf
- Rijnsburger R. (2020). Public-private partnerships hold the key to future development September 22, 2020 Microsoft News Center
<https://news.microsoft.com/en-xm/2020/09/22/public-private-partnerships-hold-the-key-to-future-development/>

RTI International (2018). Tanayari at a glance. RTI international, October 2018, Nairobi
<https://ierc-publicfiles.s3.amazonaws.com/public/resources/Tayari%20a%20glance%202018%20FINAL.pdf>

Rwanda Development Board (2018).Public Private Partnership Guidelines. Official Gazette n°29bis of 16/07/2018
<https://rdb.rw/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/PPP-Guidelines.pdf>

Sahle A. (2018). Causes of incompetence of projects financed by development bank of Ethiopia: the case of Dessie district A Research Project Submitted to Addis Ababa University, School of Commerce in Partial Completion of the Requirements for the Master's Degree in Project Management Advisor Name: Solomon Markos (Dr.)
<http://etd.aau.edu.et/bitstream/handle/123456789/17534/Alex%20Sahle.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

Seitanidi, M. and A. Crane (2009). "Implementing CSR Through Partnerships: Agreement the Selection, Design and Institutionalisation of Nonprofit-Business Partnerships." *Journal of Business Ethics* 85(0): 413-429.

Sidlo K.W. Sharia-compliant investments in infrastructure and development capital financing in DFID/CDC/PIDG geographies. CASE – Center for Social and Economic Research K4D 15 September 2017
<https://gsdrc.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/10/165Sharia-compliantinvestmentsininfrastructureanddevelopmentcapitalfinancing.pdf>

Sello L. (2021). Lesotho: Govt Cuts Ties With the Tšepong Consortium. *Lesotho Time*. 24 MARCH 2021
<https://allafrica.com/stories/202103240437.html>

Senkasi (2018) What has Universal Secondary Education achieved in Uganda? *Monitor*, June 29, 2018
<https://www.monitor.co.ug/uganda/oped/commentary/what-has-universal-secondary-education-achieved-in-uganda--1765068>

Sobják A. (2018). Corruption Risks in Infrastructure Investments in Sub-Saharan Africa, 2018 OECD Anti - Corruption and Integrity Forum
<https://www.oecd.org/corruption/integrity-forum/academic-papers/Sobjak.pdf>

Sonbal, H. S. et al. (2017). Promoting Bridgeivity for Sustainable Development
https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/devel_e/a4t_e/gr17_e/isdb_publication.pdf

Stansbury N. (2005), “Exposing the foundations of corruption in development”, in Transparency International, Global Corruption Report 2005: Corruption in Development and Post-Conflict Redevelopment,
https://www.transparency.org/whatwedo/publication/global_corruption_report_2005_corruption_in_development_and_post_conflict.

Darroch F. (2005). Case study: Lesotho puts international business in the dock 31 in Stansbury N. (2005), “Exposing the foundations of corruption in development”, in Transparency International, Global Corruption Report 2005: Corruption in Development and Post-Conflict Redevelopment,
https://www.transparency.org/whatwedo/publication/global_corruption_report_2005_corruption_in_development_and_post_conflict.

Sudhakar, G., 2016. Critical Incompetence Factors (CFFs) of IT Projects. International Journal of Management Research , 4 (2).

Sulser P.O. (2018). *Infrastructure PPPs in the most challenging developing countries: Closing the gap*. Published in Great Britain by EuromoneyTrading Limited, the owner of International Financial Law Review.

Taherdoost, H., and Keshavarzsalehc, A., 2016. Critical Factors that Lead to Projects’ Success/Incompetence in Global Marketplace. Procedia Technology , 22, 1066-1075.

Turner G. (2018). Two years after we exposed Rift Valley Railways, World Bank corruption probe sanctions. *Finance Uncovered* April 22, 2018
<https://www.financeuncovered.org/investigations/two-years-exposed-rift-valley-railways-world-bank-sanctions-companies-corruption-probe/>

UNECE (2018). Guiding Principals on People-First Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) for the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN SDGs) (3 May 2018)
https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/ceci/documents/2018/PPP/Forum/Documents/The_8_Guiding_Principals_for_People-first_PPPs_in_support_of_the_UN_SDGs-Part_II.pdf

UNECE International PPP Forum (2017). “Implementing the United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development through effective, people-first Public-Private Partnerships” 9 – 11 May 2017 City University of Hong Kong Lau Ming Wai Academic Building Dossier of Draft PPP Standards

https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/ceci/documents/2017/PPP/Forum/UNECE_-_Forum_Dossier_PPP_Standards.pdf

UNCITRAL (2020). Legislative Guide on Public-Private Partnerships, United Nations, January 2020, Vienna, Austria

https://uncitral.un.org/sites/uncitral.un.org/files/media-documents/uncitral/en/19-10872_ebook_final.pdf

Vallée M. (2018). PPP laws in Africa: confusing or clarifying? World Bank Blog, February 22, 2018

<https://blogs.worldbank.org/ppps/ppp-laws-africa-confusing-or-clarifying>

Vigani S. and De Lameillieure S (2021). Shareholding for impact in Côte d’Ivoire: a blueprint for public-private collaboration in education financing, Global Partnership, January 28, 2021

<https://www.globalpartnership.org/blog/shareholding-impact-cote-divoire-blueprint-public-private-collaboration-education-financing>

Virtuosity Consulting (2005). Successful Examples of Public-Private Partnerships and Private Sector Involvement in Transport Infrastructure Development. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Under Contract with the OECD/ECMT Transport Research Centre Contract # CEM JA00028491

<https://www.itf-oecd.org/sites/default/files/docs/pppsuccessstories.pdf>

Voordijk J.T., de Boer S.J. and van der Werf E. (2009). Public-private partnerships in low-cost housing, a case study in Nakuru, Kenya: Kidole kimoja hakivunji chawa.

Timon Bruggema S0123110 10-2009

<https://essay.utwente.nl/68863/1/Bruggema-Timon.pdf>

West A. and Wolfe D. (2018). Academies, the School System in England and a Vision for the Future. Clare Market Papers No. 23 Education Research Group, Department of Social Policy London School of Economics and Political Science

<http://eprints.lse.ac.uk/88240/1/Academies%20Vision%20Report%202%20JUNE.pdf>

World Bank (2019a). Private Collaboration in Infrastructure (PPI) 2019 Annual report. World Bank

<https://ppi.worldbank.org/content/dam/PPI/documents/private-collaboration-infrastructure-annual-2019-report.pdf>

World Bank (2019b). Unlocking supply of affordable housing in kenya naivasha affordable housing project (nahp) “the naivasha prototype” Business Case Report Final Report June 2018 [Revised May 2019]

<http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/573321585887752524/pdf/Unlocking-Supply-of-Affordable-Housing-in-Kenya-The-Naivasha-Prototype.pdf>

World Bank (2018). Project Performance Assessment Report Mozambique Southern Africa Regional Gas Project (P082308). Report No. 132744, December 6, 2018

http://ieg.worldbank.org/ieg-search?field_report_type_tags_1=1962&search_api_fulltext=Mozambique&field_topic=All&field_sub_category=All&content_type_1=&field_organization_tags=All&type_2_op=not&type_2%5B%5D=expert&sort_by=search_api_relevance&sort_order=DESC

World Bank (2014). Public-Private Partnerships Reference Guide. International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank, Asian Development Bank, and Inter-American Development Bank. 2014

<http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/600511468336720455/pdf/903840PPP0Refe0Box385311B000PUBLIC0.pdf>

World Bank (2009). Attracting Investors to African Public-Private Partnerships A Project Preparation Guide. The World Bank Washington USA

<https://publicknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/2588/461310revised017808213773070Revised.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

Yescombe E.R. (2017). Public-Private Partnerships in Sub-Saharan Africa Case Studies for Policyproducers. UONGOZI Institute, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.

https://media.africaportal.org/documents/Public-Private-Partnerships-in-Sub-Saharan-Africa_Digital_FINAL-4_zZ5ae2z.pdf

Yescombe E.R. (2007). Public-Private Partnerships, 1st Edition. Buttervalue-Heinemann. Published Date: 20th March 2007

https://www.elsevier.com/books/public-private-partnerships/yescombe/978-0-7506-8054-7?start_rank=1&sortby=sortByDateDesc&author=e.%20r.%20yescombe

Yusuf, A., (2018). \$20bn Ogidigben Gas Park contracts hit the rock. Retrieved August 20, 2019, from <https://www.newtelegraphng.com/2018/06/20bn-ogidigben-gas-park-contracts-hit-the-rock/>

Zhang, X. (2009). "Best value concessionaire selection through a fuzzy logic system." *Expert Systems with Applications* 36(4): 7519-7527

Zuofa, T. and Ochieng E.G., (2014). Project incompetence: The way forward and panacea for development. *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol. 9, No. 11; 2014

<http://www.ccsenet.org/journal/index.php/ijbm/article/view/39824>

Zwane S. (2020). Fleurhof-flats-ready-to-house-people. *The Daily Sun*. August 20th, 2020

<https://www.dailysun.co.za/News/fleurhof-flats-ready-to-house-people-20200820>

Web sites

<https://www8.gsb.columbia.edu/socialenterprise/socialventures/portfolio/kidogo>

<https://www.afdb.org/en/topics-and-sectors/initiatives-partnerships/programme-for-infrastructure-development-in-africa-pida>

<https://www.afslf.org/public-resources/ppp-legal-framework-toolkit>

https://www.afslf.org/sites/default/files/PPP%20Country%20Profile%20-%20Burkina%20Faso_0.pdf

www.africa50.com

<https://www.africainfracellowship.org/en/ageafrica.org>

http://www.amchamcam.org/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=208:cameroon-governments-and-actis-make-aes-deal-official&catid=1:business-news&Itemid=198

<https://aphrc.org/project/impact-evaluation-of-the-tayari-preschool-programme-in-kenya/>

<https://www.boad.org/en/the-board-of-directors-of-the-west-african-development-bank-boad-approves-new-loans-totaling-xof50-billion/>

<https://www.boad.org/en/who-we-are/>

<https://www.brac.net>

<https://bridge.sch.ng/common-entrance/common-entrance-exam/national-exam-results-2020/>

bwb.de

<https://cdn.github.org/uat-umbraco/media/1074/gi-hub-strategic-plan-2019-2022.pdf>

<https://www.cocoalife.org/in-the-cocoa-origins/cocoa-life-in-ghana>

<https://devtracker.fcdo.gov.uk/projects/GB-SC-SC030289-91221>

<https://www.christiecompany.com/africa-integras>

eadb.org

<http://ecs.force.com/mbdata/mbquestNB2C?rep=CS1716>

<http://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/ru/634571468152711050/pdf/586680BR0procu01C0dislosed010170110.pdf>

<https://www.educaid.org.uk/>

<https://www.esc->

pau.fr/ppp/documents/featured_projects/south_africa_bloemfontein.pdf

experienceeducate.org/rwanda

<https://ewsdata.rightsindevelopment.org/files/documents/64/AFDB-P-Z1-FA0-164.pdf>

<http://www.fao.org/3/aq232e/aq232e.pdf>

<http://www.fao.org/3/ar848e/ar848e08.pdf>

<https://www.fhi360.org/projects/kenya-teacher-education-and-professional-development-tepd-programme>

http://fhifoundation.wpengine.com/?page_id=2431

gtac.gov.za

<https://www.gtac.gov.za/tender-info/ppp-tenders>
https://www.hiltonfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Safe_Water_Strategy_Document_FINAL_12.14.16-3.pdf

<http://idb-40.org/assets/2014/5/4/pdf/f332382b-45d2-4606-b421-a40f012c100c.pdf>
<https://ijglobal.com/data>
www.in-perspective.org/pages/introduction

<https://www.github.org/resources/showcase-projects/n4-toll-route/>
<https://www.github.org/>
<https://www.github.org/https://gloaled.gse.harvard.edu/educate-org>

<https://www.globalpartnership.org/who-we-are/about-gpe>
<https://www.gov.uk/types-of-school/academies>

https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/bridge/Industry_EXT_Content/IFC_External_Corporate_Site/PPP

https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/bridge/5e5ae9d4-b56b-48ec-9117-682b5a4e21ae/PPPStories_Gabon_SocietedEnergie.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CVID=1HI9VQW

https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/news_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/news+and+events/news/how+warehouse+receipts+can+improve+lives

<https://ijglobal.com/about>
<http://leapschool.org.za/aboutleap/the-leap-story/>
lifalabantwana.co.za/smartstart-btolling-early-learning-services-to-more-than-30-000-of-sas-children

<http://www.mcop.rw/>
<https://www.microsoft.com/africa/4afrika/mawingu.aspx>
miga.org

<https://www.miga.org/project/kribi-authority-development-corporation-0>

https://nces.ed.gov/programmes/coe/indicator_cgb.asp
<https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/sites/b51df052-en/index.html?itemId=/content/component/5e331623->

en&_csp_=b14d4f60505d057b456dd1730d8fcea3&itemIGO=oced&itemContentType=chapter

<https://oxfordbusinessgroup.com/overview/en-route-development-substantial-investment-road-sea-and-air-infrastructure-set-boost-economic>
<https://pfp4sa.org/images/documents/Shareholders%20for%20Possibility.%20Overview%20Feb%202020.pdf>

<https://ppi.worldbank.org/en/about-us/about-ppi>

<https://ppi.worldbank.org/en/snapshots/project/Nkhotakota-solar-plant-10480>

<https://ppp-certification.com/ppp-certification-guide/66-determining-corporate-structure-project-vehicle-and-project-contracts>

<https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/contracts/leases-and-affermage-contracts>

<https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/financing/sources>

<https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/laws-and-regulations-inclusion-smes-ppps>

<https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/ppp-sector/water-sanitation/small-water-providers>

<https://ppp.worldbank.org/public-private-partnership/ppi-project-summary-romania-bucharest-concession-contract-water-and-sanitation-services>

<http://prestonassociate.com/engine.html>

<https://www.savingbrainsinnovation.net/about/>

<https://www.smartstart.org.za>

<https://www.symphonia.net/>

<https://www.suez.com.au/en-au/our-offering/success-stories/waste/poznan-energy-from-waste>

<https://thikaauthority.co.ke>

<https://www.transisrael.co.il/ContentPage?id=88>

<https://www.torontohousing.ca/capital-initiatives/revitalization/Regent-Park/Pages/Progress-of-Regent-Park.aspx>

<http://www.treasury.gov.za/documents/national%20budget/2018/review/Annexure%20E.p>

<https://ulii.org/ug/judgment/hc-civil-division-uganda/2020/59>

https://unece.org/fileadmin/DAM/ceci/documents/2014/Astana_Operateshop_May/Ye

[huda_Raveh.pdf](#)

<https://www.uwrsa.go.ug>

<https://www.volvoce.com/global/en/this-is-volvo-ce/what-we-believe-in/building-tomorrow/authoritying-the-local-community-with-wind/>

<https://www.warchild.ca/>

<https://wasreb.go.ke/water-service-providers/>

https://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/gproc_e/gp_gpa_e.htm

https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/rev-gpr-94_01_e.htm

Index

A

AfDB, 6, 93, 187
Affermage, 2, 27
Africa 50, 2, 53
Africa50, 54
agri, 3, 100
Agricultural, 3, 7, 8, 9, 100, 108, 113, 188
Agriculture, 111
Australia, 87

B

Benin, 4, 50, 174
BOAD, 2, 8, 49
BOO, 6
BOT, 2, 28, 44, 94, 123, 184
Brazzaville, 57
Burkina Faso, 4, 45, 51, 100, 131

C

cacao, 120, 183
Cameroon, 3, 90, 185
Canada, 4, 164, 166, 190, 191, 195
China, 6, 91, 182
cocoa, 111, 112
Construction, 13, 44, 45, 121, 150, 178, 182, 183,
188, 191, 192
corruption, 2, 31, 36, 164, 182, 194
Côte d'Ivoire, 3, 85, 101, 188
Cote d'Ivoire, 3, 75

D

Dakar, 3, 44, 55, 72

E

EBRD, 37, 40
education, 4, 5, 133, 147, 149, 178, 182, 183
Education, 4, 8, 9, 133, 136, 143, 144, 145, 146,
151, 159, 160, 189, 191, 195
electric station, 45
energy, 3, 5, 55, 82, 86, 88, 89, 100, 178, 181, 188,
200
Energy, 6, 9, 44, 88, 93, 181, 185, 188
Ethiopia, 13, 188, 193
EU, 37, 40, 185

F

fail, 2, 10
failure, 11, 180, 181, 184, 193, 197
farmers, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108,
109, 110, 113, 115
finance, 2, 35, 47, 49, 69, 78, 79, 102, 111, 123,
124, 168, 170
Finance, 2, 6, 8, 28, 37, 45, 93, 144, 167, 182, 184,
188, 194
Financing, 3, 49
Fruit, 119

G

Gabon, 3, 97
Gas, 3, 12, 83, 90, 91, 196, 197
Germany, 3, 94, 95
Ghana, 3, 4, 92, 102, 120, 155, 183, 184
GPA, 9, 37, 39

H

health, 5, 36, 124, 126, 148, 177, 178, 187, 190
Health, 3, 8, 122, 124, 129, 184, 186, 187
Hospital, 3, 6, 8, 9, 122, 123, 124, 128
Housing, 2, 4, 8, 9, 164, 169, 189, 190, 196
Hydropower, 3, 56, 92

I

IFAD, 3, 7, 100, 101, 104, 106, 107, 108, 110, 111,
113, 114, 188
India, 3, 4, 123, 133, 135, 183, 187
institutional framework, 2, 37
Israel, 3, 70
Italy, 3, 69, 87, 93, 187

K

K-12, 4
Kenya, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 33, 34, 45, 75, 91, 153, 155,
159, 167, 176, 187, 190, 195, 196
Kinshasa, 57

L

Lagos, 7, 8, 77
Lekki, 3, 8, 77, 78, 181

M

Madagascar, 8, 105
Malawi, 3, 4, 88, 89, 106, 147, 171, 183
Mali, 8, 50, 100, 102
Maputo, 3, 8, 79
MIGA, 3, 66, 87
Mozambique, 3, 79, 80, 86, 91, 108, 185, 196

N

Nairobi, 3, 75, 118, 190, 191
Niger, 3, 4, 9, 98
Nigeria, 3, 4, 11, 46, 54, 77, 142, 171, 181, 183,
184, 191

P

pharma, 36
PIDA, 8
Poland, 3, 82, 185
Port, 3, 8, 46, 78, 79, 80, 185
power, 3, 50, 55, 56, 83, 85, 86, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92,
121, 123, 183
PPP farming, 102
PPP law, 2, 39, 41

R

Railway, 13, 44, 188
Rehabilitate, 2, 29, 30
Rehabilitation, 50
RLT, 30
Road, 3, 13, 57, 182, 188
Romania, 3, 94
Rural, 8, 105, 106, 108
Rwanda, 4, 9, 16, 109, 172, 190, 193

S

School, 4, 133, 135, 136, 145, 182, 190, 193, 195

schools, 4, 134, 135, 136, 137, 143, 145, 146, 149,
150, 151, 180, 189
Senegal, 3, 43, 55, 72, 73, 101
SMEs, 4, 171, 173
South Africa, 3, 4, 9, 44, 88, 124, 127, 129, 130,
157, 168, 173, 181, 182
SPV, 47
Students, 150
Swaziland, 9, 112, 113

T

Tanzania, 4, 42, 121, 130, 172, 196
Toronto, 4, 9, 164
transport, 3, 5, 69, 77, 103, 177

U

Uganda, 2, 3, 5, 33, 41, 92, 114, 150, 151, 175
UK, 3, 9, 71, 185, 186
UNCITRAL, 9, 37, 195
UNECE, 37, 40, 194
US, 4, 133, 169, 183

V

Vietnam, 3, 83

W

WAMU, 9, 49
water, 3, 4, 5, 94, 95, 96, 98, 112, 123, 150, 168,
174, 175, 176, 178, 179, 182, 200
Water, 3, 9, 94, 97, 98, 113, 182
World Bank, 34, 37, 87, 93, 121, 184, 185, 188,
191, 194, 195, 196
WTO, 9, 37, 39

Z

Zambia, 4, 129, 172
Zimbabwe, 2, 4, 36, 132

Huge assets have been lost by governments and local authorities because of failed public projects. Fundamental inspiration for utilizing PPPs in public projects is their capability to improve value for money (VfM) for shareholders. Principal political contention against PPPs is that privately-owned industries make benefits on public resources. Accompanying chapters present the PPP models, PPP effective experience of chosen nations, and the execution of PPP models in SSA nations in transport and ports, energy, water, health, agribusiness, education and housing sectors. Approaches to guarantee their positive effect on the value produced for the public in the various sectors are proposed.

In transport projects, political responsibility by an intra-agency planning board of trustees accountable for contract building and shareholder commitment is required. In health projects, the choice of improving existing establishments is desirable over costly new establishments. In education to incorporate the most vulnerable and impeded communities is required. In energy ventures to meet social and environmental targets in the contract is required. In water projects, the capacity of the administrator to create benefits must be straightforwardly identified with performance. In housing projects relevant planning and execution guarantee high public value.

DR ILAN BIJAOU

International Business and Innovation Institute
Bar Ilan University